arXiv:math/0109036v2 [math.GT] 14 Apr 2004

TOPOLOGICAL EQUIVALENCE OF LINEAR REPRESENTATIONS FOR CYCLIC GROUPS: I

IAN HAMBLETON AND ERIK K. PEDERSEN

Abstract. In the two parts of this paper we prove that the Reidemeister torsion invariants determine topological equivalence of G–representations, for G a finite cyclic group.

1. Introduction

Let G be a finite group and V, V' finite dimensional real orthogonal representations of G. Then V is said to be *topologically equivalent* to V' (denoted $V \sim_t V'$) if there exists a homeomorphism $h\colon V \to V'$ which is G-equivariant. If V, V' are topologically equivalent, but not linearly isomorphic, then such a homeomorphism is called a non-linear similarity. These notions were introduced and studied by de Rham [31], [32], and developed extensively in [3], [4], [22], [23], and [8]. In the two parts of this paper, referred to as [**I**] and [**II**], we complete de Rham's program by showing that Reidemeister torsion invariants and number theory determine non-linear similarity for finite cyclic groups.

A G-representation is called *free* if each element $1 \neq g \in G$ fixes only the zero vector. Every representation of a finite cyclic group has a unique maximal free subrepresentation.

Theorem. *Let G be a finite cyclic group and V_1, V_2 be free G–representations. For any G–representation W, the existence of a non-linear similarity $V_1 \oplus W \sim_t V_2 \oplus W$ is entirely determined by explicit congruences in the weights of the free summands V_1, V_2, and the ratio $\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)$ of their Reidemeister torsions, up to an algebraically described indeterminacy.*

The notation and the indeterminacy are given in Section 2 and a detailed statement of results in Theorems A–E. For cyclic groups of 2-power order, we obtain a complete classification of non-linear similarities (see Section 11).

In [3], Cappell and Shaneson showed that non-linear similarities $V \sim_t V'$ exist for cyclic groups $G = C(4q)$ of every order $4q \geqq 8$. On the other hand, if $G = C(q)$ or $G = C(2q)$, for q odd, Hsiang–Pardon [22] and Madsen–Rothenberg [23] proved that topological equivalence of G–representations implies linear equivalence (the case $G = C(4)$ is trivial). Since linear G-equivalence for general finite groups G is detected by restriction to cyclic subgroups, it is reasonable to study this case first. For the rest of the paper, unless otherwise mentioned, G denotes a finite cyclic group.

Further positive results can be obtained by imposing assumptions on the isotropy subgroups allowed in V and V'. For example, de Rham [31] proved in 1935 that piecewise linear similarity implies linear equivalence for free G-representations, by using Reidemeister

---

Date: March 15, 2004.

Partially supported by NSERC grant A4000 and NSF grant DMS 9104026. The authors also wish to thank the Max Planck Institut für Mathematik, Bonn, for its hospitality and support.

torsion and the Franz Independence Lemma. Topological invariance of Whitehead torsion shows that his method also rules out non–linear similarity in this case. In [17, Thm.A] we studied "first–time" similarities, where $\operatorname{Res}_K V \cong \operatorname{Res}_K V'$ for all proper subgroups $K \subsetneqq G$, and showed that topological equivalence implies linear equivalence if V, V' have no isotropy subgroup of index 2. This result is an application of bounded surgery theory (see [16], [17, §4]), and provides a more conceptual proof of the Odd Order Theorem. These techniques are extended here to provide a necessary and sufficient condition for non-linear similarity in terms of the vanishing of a bounded transfer map (see Theorem 3.5). This gives a new approach to de Rham's problem. The main work of the present paper is to establish methods for effective calculation of the bounded transfer in the presence of isotropy groups of arbitrary index.

An interesting question in non–linear similarity concerns the minimum possible dimension for examples. It is easy to see that the existence of a non–linear similarity $V \sim_t V'$ implies $\dim V = \dim V' \geqq 5$. Cappell, Shaneson, Steinberger and West [8], proved that 6-dimensional similarities exist for $G = C(2^r)$, $r \geqq 4$ and referred to the 1981 Cappell-Shaneson preprint (now published [6]) for the complete proof that 5–dimensional similarities do not exist for any finite group. See Corollary 9.3 for a direct argument using the criterion of Theorem A in the special case of cyclic 2-groups.

In [4], Cappell and Shaneson initiated the study of *stable* topological equvalence for G–representations. We say that V_1 and V_2 are stably topologically similar ($V_1 \approx_t V_2$) if there exists a G–representation W such that $V_1 \oplus W \sim_t V_2 \oplus W$. Let $R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G) = R(G)/R_t(G)$ denote the quotient group of the real representation ring of G by the subgroup $R_t(G) = \{[V_1] - [V_2] \mid V_1 \approx_t V_2\}$. In [4], $R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G) \otimes \mathbf{Z}[1/2]$ was computed, and the torsion subgroup was shown to be 2–primary. As an application of our general results, we determine the structure of the torsion in $R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G)$, for G any cyclic group (see [**II**], Section 13). In Theorem E we give the calculation of $R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G)$ for $G = C(2^r)$. This is the first complete calculation of $R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G)$ for any group that admits non–linear similarities.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
2. Statement of Results 3
3. A criterion for non–linear similarity 7
4. Bounded $\mathbf{R}_-$ transfers 11
5. Some basic facts in K- and L-theory 14
6. The computation of $L^p_1(\mathbf{Z}G, w)$ 16
7. The proof of Theorem A 19
8. The proof of Theorem B 22
9. Cyclic 2–Groups: Preliminary Results 24
10. The proof of Theorem E 28
11. Non–Linear Similarity for Cyclic 2-Groups 31

References 35

2. Statement of Results

We first introduce some notation, and then give the main results. Let $G = C(4q)$, where $q > 1$, and let $H = C(2q)$ denote the subgroup of index 2 in G. The maximal odd order subgroup of G is denoted G_{odd}. We fix a generator $G = \langle t \rangle$ and a primitive $4q^{th}$-root of unity $\zeta = \exp 2\pi i/4q$. The group G has both a trivial 1-dimensional real representation, denoted $\mathbf{R}_+$, and a non-trivial 1-dimensional real representation, denoted $\mathbf{R}_-$.

A *free* G–representation is a sum of faithful 1-dimensional complex representations. Let t^a, $a \in \mathbf{Z}$, denote the complex numbers $\mathbf{C}$ with action $t \cdot z = \zeta^a z$ for all $z \in \mathbf{C}$. This representation is free if and only if $(a, 4q) = 1$, and the coefficient a is well–defined only modulo $4q$. Since $t^a \cong t^{-a}$ as real G–representations, we can always choose the weights $a \equiv 1 \bmod 4$. This will be assumed unless otherwise mentioned.

Now suppose that $V_1 = t^{a_1} + \cdots + t^{a_k}$ is a free G–representation. The Reidemeister torsion invariant of V_1 is defined as

$$\Delta(V_1) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} (t^{a_i} - 1) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]/\{\pm t^m\} \ .$$

Let $V_2 = t^{b_1} + \cdots + t^{b_k}$ be another free representation, such that $S(V_1)$ and $S(V_2)$ are G-homotopy equivalent. This just means that the products of the weights $\prod a_i \equiv \prod b_i \bmod 4q$. Then the Whitehead torsion of any G-homotopy equivalence is determined by the element

$$\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2) = \frac{\prod(t^{a_i} - 1)}{\prod(t^{b_i} - 1)}$$

since $\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G) \to \mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Q}G)$ is monic [26, p.14]. When there exists a G-homotopy equivalence $f \colon S(V_2) \to S(V_1)$ which is freely G-normally cobordant to the identity map on $S(V_1)$, we say that $S(V_1)$ and $S(V_2)$ are freely *G-normally cobordant*. More generally, we say that $S(V_1)$ and $S(V_2)$ are *s–normally cobordant* if $S(V_1 \oplus U)$ and $S(V_2 \oplus U)$ are freely G-normally cobordant for all free G–representations U. This is a necessary condition for non-linear similarity, which can be decided by explicit congruences in the weights (see [35, Thm. 1.2] and [**II**], Section 12).

This quantity, $\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)$ is the basic invariant determining non-linear similarity. It represents a unit in the group ring $\mathbf{Z}G$, explicitly described for $G = C(2^r)$ by Cappell and Shaneson in [5, §1] using a pull-back square of rings. To state concrete results we need to evaluate this invariant modulo suitable indeterminacy.

The involution $t \mapsto t^{-1}$ induces the identity on $\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G)$, so we get an element

$$\{\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)\} \in H^0(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G))$$

where we use $H^i(A)$ to denote the Tate cohomology $H^i(\mathbf{Z}/2; A)$ of $\mathbf{Z}/2$ with coefficients in A.

Let $\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)$ denote the Whitehead group $\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G)$ together with the involution induced by $t \mapsto -t^{-1}$. Then for $\tau(t) = \frac{\prod(t^{a_i}-1)}{\prod(t^{b_i}-1)}$, we compute

$$\tau(t)\tau(-t) = \frac{\prod(t^{a_i} - 1)\prod((-t)^{a_i} - 1)}{\prod(t^{b_i} - 1)\prod((-t)^{b_i} - 1)} = \prod \frac{(t^2)^{a_i} - 1}{((t^2)^{b_i} - 1)}$$

which is clearly induced from $\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H)$. Hence we also get a well defined element

$$\{\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)\} \in H^1(\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)/\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H)) \ .$$

This calculation takes place over the ring $\Lambda_{2q} = \mathbf{Z}[t]/(1+t^2+\cdots+t^{4q-2})$, but the result holds over $\mathbf{Z}G$ via the involution–invariant pull-back square

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathbf{Z}G \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Lambda_{2q} \arrow[d] \\ \mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{Z}/2] \arrow[r] & \mathbf{Z}/2q[\mathbf{Z}/2] \end{tikzcd}$$

Consider the exact sequence of modules with involution:

$$K_1(\mathbf{Z}H) \to K_1(\mathbf{Z}G) \to K_1(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G) \to \widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}H) \to \widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}G) \tag{2.1}$$

and define $\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G) = K_1(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G)/\{\pm G\}$. We then have a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G)/\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H) \to \operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G) \to \mathbf{k} \to 0$$

where $\mathbf{k} = \ker(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}H) \to \widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}G))$. Such an exact sequence of $\mathbf{Z}/2$-modules induces a long exact sequence in Tate cohomology. In particular, we have a coboundary map

$$\delta \colon H^0(\mathbf{k}) \to H^1(\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)/\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H)) \ .$$

Our first result deals with isotropy groups of index 2, as is the case for all the non–linear similarities constructed in [3].

Theorem A. *Let $V_1 = t^{a_1} + \cdots + t^{a_k}$ and $V_2 = t^{b_1} + \cdots + t^{b_k}$ be free G–representations, with $a_i \equiv b_i \equiv 1 \bmod 4$. There exists a topological similarity $V_1 \oplus \mathbf{R}_- \sim_t V_2 \oplus \mathbf{R}_-$ if and only if*

(i) $\prod a_i \equiv \prod b_i \bmod 4q$,
(ii) $\operatorname{Res}_H V_1 \cong \operatorname{Res}_H V_2$, *and*
(iii) *the element $\{\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)\} \in H^1(\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)/\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H))$ is in the image of the coboundary $\delta \colon H^0(\mathbf{k}) \to H^1(\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)/\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H))$.*

Remark 2.2. The condition (iii) simplifies for G a cyclic 2-group since $H^0(\mathbf{k}) = 0$ in that case (see Lemma 9.1). Theorem A should be compared with [3, Cor.1], where more explicit conditions are given for "first-time" similarities of this kind under the assumption that q is odd, or a 2-power, or $4q$ is a "tempered" number. See also [**II**], Theorem 9.2 for a more general result concerning similarities without $\mathbf{R}_+$ summands. The case $\dim V_1 = \dim V_2 = 4$ gives a reduction to number theory for the existence of 5-dimensional similarities (see Remark 7.2).

Our next result uses a more elaborate setting for the invariant. Let

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Z}H & \to & \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_2 H \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{Z}G & \to & \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_2 G \end{pmatrix}$$

and consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to K_1(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G) \to K_1(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_2 H \to \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_2 G) \to K_1(\Phi) \to \widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G) \to 0 \ . \tag{2.3}$$

Again we can define the Whitehead group versions by dividing out trivial units $\{\pm G\}$, and get a double coboundary

$$\delta^2\colon H^1(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}H\to\mathbf{Z}G^-))\to H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H\to\mathbf{Z}G^-))\ .$$

There is a natural map $H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)/\,\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H))\to H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H\to\mathbf{Z}G^-))$, and we will use the same notation $\{\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)\}$ for the image of the Reidemeister torsion invariant in this new domain. The non-linear similarities handled by the next result have isotropy of index $\leqq 2$.

Theorem B. *Let $V_1 = t^{a_1}+\cdots+t^{a_k}$ and $V_2 = t^{b_1}+\cdots+t^{b_k}$ be free G–representations. There exists a topological similarity $V_1\oplus\mathbf{R}_-\oplus\mathbf{R}_+\sim_t V_2\oplus\mathbf{R}_-\oplus\mathbf{R}_+$ if and only if*

(i) $\prod a_i\equiv\prod b_i \bmod 4q$,
(ii) $\mathrm{Res}_H V_1\cong\mathrm{Res}_H V_2$, *and*
(iii) *the element $\{\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)\}$ is in the image of the double coboundary*

$$\delta^2\colon H^1(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}H\to\mathbf{Z}G^-))\to H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H\to\mathbf{Z}G^-))\ .$$

This result can be applied to 6-dimensional similarities.

Corollary 2.4. *Let $G = C(4q)$, with q odd, and suppose that the fields $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_d)$ have odd class number for all $d \mid 4q$. Then G has no 6-dimensional non-linear similarities.*

Remark 2.5. For example, the class number condition is satisfied for $q\leqq 11$, but not for $q = 29$. The proof is given in [**II**], Section 11. This result corrects [8, Thm.1(i)], and shows that the computations of $R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G)$ given in [8, Thm. 2] are incorrect. We explain the the source of these mistakes in Remark 6.4.

Our final example of the computation of bounded transfers is suitable for determining stable non–linear similarities inductively, with only a minor assumption on the isotropy subgroups. To state the algebraic conditions, we must again generalize the indeterminacy for the Reidemeister torsion invariant to include bounded K–groups (see [**II**], Section 5). In this setting $\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}H\to\mathbf{Z}G)=\widetilde{K}_0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ and $\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H\to\mathbf{Z}G)=\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$. We consider the analogous double coboundary

$$\delta^2\colon H^1(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})))\to H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})))$$

and note that there is a map $\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})\to\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ induced by the inclusion on the control spaces. We will use the same notation $\{\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)\}$ for the image of our Reidemeister torsion invariant in this new domain.

Theorem C. *Let $V_1 = t^{a_1}+\cdots+t^{a_k}$ and $V_2 = t^{b_1}+\cdots+t^{b_k}$ be free G–representations. Let W be a complex G–representation with no $\mathbf{R}_+$ summands. Then there exists a topological similarity $V_1\oplus W\oplus\mathbf{R}_-\oplus\mathbf{R}_+\sim_t V_2\oplus W\oplus\mathbf{R}_-\oplus\mathbf{R}_+$ if and only if*

(i) *$S(V_1)$ is s–normally cobordant to $S(V_2)$,*
(ii) $\mathrm{Res}_H(V_1\oplus W)\oplus\mathbf{R}_+\sim_t\mathrm{Res}_H(V_2\oplus W)\oplus\mathbf{R}_+$, *and*
(iii) *the element $\{\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)\}$ is in the image of the double coboundary*

$$\delta^2\colon H^1(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathcal{C}_{W_{max}\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})))\to H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{W_{max}\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})))\ ,$$

where $0\subseteq W_{max}\subseteq W$ is a complex subrepresentation of real dimension $\leqq 2$, with maximal isotropy group among the isotropy groups of W with 2-power index.

Remark 2.6. The existence of a similarity implies that $S(V_1)$ and $S(V_2)$ are s-normally cobordant. In particular, $S(V_1)$ must be freely G-normally cobordant to $S(V_2)$ and this unstable normal invariant condition is enough to give us a surgery problem. The computation of the bounded transfer in L–theory leads to condition (iii), and an expression of the obstruction to the existence of a similarity purely in terms of bounded K-theory. To carry out this computation we may need to stabilize in the free part, and this uses the s-normal cobordism condition.

Remark 2.7. Theorem C is proved in [**II**], Section 9. Note that $W_{max} = 0$ in condition (iii) if W has no isotropy subgroups of 2-power index. Theorem C suffices to handle stable topological similarities, but leaves out cases where W has an odd number of $\mathbf{R}_-$ summands (handled in [**II**], Theorem 9.2 and the results of [**II**], Section 10). Simpler conditions can be given when $G = C(2^r)$ (see Section 9 in this part).

The double coboundary in (iii) can also be expressed in more "classical" terms by using the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to \mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{W_{max}\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to K_1(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{W_{max}\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to 0 \tag{2.8}$$

derived in [**II**], Corollary 6.9. We have $K_1(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{W_{max}\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) = K_{-1}(\mathbf{Z}K)$, where K is the isotropy group of W_{max}, and $\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) = \mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G)$. The indeterminacy in Theorem C is then generated by the double coboundary

$$\delta^2\colon H^1(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-)) \to H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-))$$

used in Theorem B and the coboundary

$$\delta\colon H^0(K_{-1}(\mathbf{Z}K)) \to H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-))$$

from the Tate cohomology sequence of (2.8).

Finally, we will apply these results to $R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G)$. In Part **II**, Section 3, we will define a subgroup filtration

$$R_t(G) \subseteq R_n(G) \subseteq R_h(G) \subseteq R(G) \tag{2.9}$$

on the real representation ring $R(G)$, inducing a filtration on

$$R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G) = R(G)/R_t(G)\ .$$

Here $R_h(G)$ consists of those virtual elements with no homotopy obstruction to similarity, and $R_n(G)$ the virtual elements with no normal invariant obstruction to similarity (see [**II**], Section 3 for more precise definitions). Note that $R(G)$ has the nice basis $\{t^i, \delta, \epsilon \mid 1 \leqq i \leqq 2q-1\}$, where $\delta = [\mathbf{R}_-]$ and $\epsilon = [\mathbf{R}_+]$.

Let $R^{free}(G) = \{t^a \mid (a, 4q) = 1\} \subset R(G)$ be the subgroup generated by the free representations. To complete the definition, we let $R^{free}(C(2)) = \{\mathbf{R}_-\}$ and $R^{free}(e) = \{\mathbf{R}_+\}$. Then

$$R(G) = \bigoplus_{K \subseteq G} R^{free}(G/K)$$

and this direct sum splitting intersected with the filtration above gives the subgroups $R^{free}_h(G)$, $R^{free}_n(G)$ and $R^{free}_t(G)$. In addition, we can divide out $R^{free}_t(G)$ and obtain subgroups $R^{free}_{h,\mathrm{Top}}(G)$ and $R^{free}_{n,\mathrm{Top}}(G)$ of $R^{free}_{\mathrm{Top}}(G) = R^{free}(G)/R^{free}_t(G)$. By induction on the order of G, we see that it suffices to study the summand $R^{free}_{\mathrm{Top}}(G)$.

Let $\widetilde{R}^{free}(G) = \ker(\mathrm{Res}\colon R^{free}(G) \to R^{free}(G_{odd}))$, and then project into $R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G)$ to define

$$\widetilde{R}^{free}_{\mathrm{Top}}(G) = \widetilde{R}^{free}(G)/R^{free}_t(G)\ .$$

In Part **II**, Section 4 we prove that $\widetilde{R}^{free}_{\mathrm{Top}}(G)$ is *precisely* the torsion subgroup of $R^{free}_{\mathrm{Top}}(G)$, and in [**II**], Section 13 we show that the subquotient $\widetilde{R}^{free}_{n,\mathrm{Top}}(G) = \widetilde{R}^{free}_n(G)/R^{free}_t(G)$ always has exponent two.

Here is a specific computation (correcting [8, Thm. 2]), proved in [**II**], Section 13.

Theorem D. *Let $G = C(4q)$, with $q > 1$ odd, and suppose that the fields $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_d)$ have odd class number for all $d \mid 4q$. Then $\widetilde{R}^{free}_{\mathrm{Top}}(G) = \mathbf{Z}/4$ generated by $(t - t^{1+2q})$.*

For any cyclic group G, both $R^{free}(G)/R^{free}_h(G)$ and $R^{free}_h(G)/R^{free}_n(G)$ are torsion groups which can be explicitly determined by congruences in the weights (see [**II**], Section 12 and [35, Thm.1.2]).

We conclude this list of sample results with a calculation of $R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G)$ for cyclic 2-groups.

Theorem E. *Let $G = C(2^r)$, with $r \geqq 4$. Then*

$$\widetilde{R}^{free}_{\mathrm{Top}}(G) = \big\langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{r-2}, \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_{r-3} \big\rangle$$

subject to the relations $2^s \alpha_s = 0$ for $1 \leqq s \leqq r-2$, and $2^{s-1}(\alpha_s + \beta_s) = 0$ for $2 \leqq s \leqq r-3$, together with $2(\alpha_1 + \beta_1) = 0$.

The generators for $r \geqq 4$ are given by the elements

$$\alpha_s = t - t^{5^{2^{r-s-2}}} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_s = t^5 - t^{5^{2^{r-s-2}}+1}.$$

We remark that $\widetilde{R}^{free}_{\mathrm{Top}}(C(8)) = \mathbf{Z}/4$ generated by $t - t^5$. In Theorem 11.6 we use this information to give a complete topological classification of linear representations for cyclic 2-groups.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to express their appreciation to the referee for many constructive comments and suggestions.

3. A CRITERION FOR NON–LINEAR SIMILARITY

Our approach to the non-linear similarity problem is through bounded surgery theory (see [11][16], [17]). First an elementary observation about topological equivalences for cyclic groups.

Lemma 3.1. *If $V_1 \oplus W \sim_t V_2 \oplus W'$, where V_1, V_2 are free G–representations, and W and W' have no free summands, then there is a G-homeomorphism $h\colon V_1 \oplus W \to V_2 \oplus W$ such that*

$$h| \bigcup_{1 \neq H \leq G} W^H$$

is the identity.

Proof. Let h be the homeomorphism given by $V_1 \oplus W \sim_t V_2 \oplus W'$. We will successively change h, stratum by stratum. For every subgroup K of G, consider the homeomorphism of K-fixed sets

$$f^K \colon W^K \to W'^K.$$

This is a homeomorphism of G/K, hence of G–representations. As G–representations we can split

$$V_2 \oplus W' = U \oplus W'^K \sim_t U \oplus W^K = V_2 \oplus W''$$

where the similarity uses the product of the identity and $(f^K)^{-1}$. Notice that the composition of f with this similarity is the *identity* on the K-fixed set. Rename W'' as W' and repeat this successively for all subgroups. We end up with $W = W'$ and a G–homeomorphism inducing the identity on the singular set. □

One consequence is

Lemma 3.2. *If $V_1 \oplus W \sim_t V_2 \oplus W$, then there exists a G-homotopy equivalence $S(V_2) \to S(V_1)$.*

Proof. We may assume that W contains no free summand, since a G-homotopy equivalence $S(V_2 \oplus U) \to S(V_1 \oplus U)$, with U a free G-representation, is G-homotopic to $f \times 1$, where $f \colon S(V_2) \to S(V_1)$ is a G-homotopy equivalence. If we 1-point compactify h, we obtain a G-homeomorphism

$$h^+ \colon S(V_1 \oplus W \oplus \mathbf{R}) \to S(V_2 \oplus W \oplus \mathbf{R}).$$

After an isotopy, the image of the free G-sphere $S(V_1)$ may be assumed to lie in the complement $S(V_2 \oplus W \oplus \mathbf{R}) - S(W \oplus \mathbf{R})$ of $S(W \oplus \mathbf{R})$ which is G-homotopy equivalent to $S(V_2)$. □

Any homotopy equivalence $f \colon S(V_2)/G \to S(V_1)/G$ defines an element $[f]$ in the structure set $\mathcal{S}^h(S(V_1)/G)$. We may assume that $\dim V_i \geqq 4$. This element must be non-trivial: otherwise $S(V_2)/G$ would be topologically h-cobordant to $S(V_1)/G$, and Stallings infinite repetition of h-cobordisms trick would produce a homeomorphism $V_1 \to V_2$ contradicting [1, 7.27] (see also [24, 12.12]), since V_1 and V_2 are free representations. More precisely, we use Wall's extension of the Atiyah–Singer equivariant index formula to the topological locally linear case [34]. If $\dim V_i = 4$, we can cross with $\mathbf{CP}^2$ to avoid low–dimensional difficulties. Crossing with W and parameterising by projection on W defines a map from the classical surgery sequence to the bounded surgery exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} L^h_n(\mathbf{Z}G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{S}^h(S(V_1)/G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & {[S(V_1)/G, \mathrm{F}/\mathrm{Top}]} \arrow[d] \\ L^h_{n+k}(\mathcal{C}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \arrow[r] & \mathcal{S}^h_b\begin{pmatrix} S(V_1)\times W/G \\ \downarrow \\ W/G \end{pmatrix} \arrow[r] & {[S(V_1)\times_G W, \mathrm{F}/\mathrm{Top}]} \end{tikzcd} \tag{3.3}$$

The L–groups in the upper row are the ordinary surgery obstruction groups for oriented manifolds and surgery up to homotopy equivalence. In the lower row, we have bounded L–groups (see [**II**], Section 5) corresponding to an orthogonal action $\rho_W \colon G \to O(W)$, with orientation character given by $\det(\rho_W)$. Our main criterion for non–linear similarities is:

Theorem 3.4. *Let V_1 and V_2 be free G–representations with $\dim V_i \geqq 2$. Then, there is a topological equivalence $V_1 \oplus W \sim_t V_2 \oplus W$ if and only if there exists a G–homotopy equivalence $f\colon S(V_2) \to S(V_1)$ such that the element $[f] \in \mathcal{S}^h(S(V_1)/G)$ is in the kernel of the bounded transfer map*

$$trf_W\colon\ \mathcal{S}^h(S(V_1)/G) \to \mathcal{S}^h_b\begin{pmatrix} S(V_1)\times_G W \\ \downarrow \\ W/G \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. For necessity, we refer the reader to [17] where this is proved using a version of equivariant engulfing. For sufficiency, we notice that crossing with $\mathbf{R}$ gives an isomorphism of the bounded surgery exact sequences parameterized by W to simple bounded surgery exact sequence parameterized by $W \times \mathbf{R}$. By the bounded s-cobordism theorem, this means that the vanishing of the bounded transfer implies that

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S(V_2) \times W \times \mathbf{R} \arrow[r, "f\times 1"] & S(V_1) \times W \times \mathbf{R} \arrow[d] \\
 & W \times \mathbf{R}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is within a bounded distance of an equivariant homeomorphism h, where distances are measured in $W \times \mathbf{R}$. We can obviously complete $f \times 1$ to the map

$$f * 1\colon S(V_2) * S(W \times \mathbf{R}) \to S(V_1) * S(W \times \mathbf{R})$$

and since bounded in $W \times \mathbf{R}$ means small near the subset

$$S(W \times \mathbf{R}) \subset S(V_i) * S(W \times \mathbf{R}) = S(V_i \oplus W \oplus \mathbf{R}),$$

we can complete h by the identity to get a G-homeomorphism

$$S(V_2 \oplus W \oplus \mathbf{R}) \to S(V_1 \oplus W \oplus \mathbf{R})$$

and taking a point out we have a G-homeomorphism $V_2 \oplus W \to V_2 \oplus W$ □

By comparing the ordinary and bounded surgery exact sequences (3.3), and noting that the bounded transfer induces the identity on the normal invariant term, we see that a necessary condition for the existence of any stable similarity $f\colon V_2 \approx_t V_1$ is that $f\colon S(V_2) \to S(V_1)$ has s–normal invariant zero. Assuming this, under the natural map

$$L^h_n(\mathbf{Z}G) \to \mathcal{S}^h(S(V_1)/G),$$

where $n = \dim V_1$, the element $[f]$ is the image of $\sigma(f) \in L^h_n(\mathbf{Z}G)$, obtained as the surgery obstruction (relative to the boundary) of a normal cobordism from f to the identity. The element $\sigma(f)$ is well-defined in $\tilde{L}^h_n(\mathbf{Z}G) = \mathrm{Coker}(L^h_n(\mathbf{Z}) \to L^h_n(\mathbf{Z}G))$. Since the image of the normal invariants

$$[S(V_1)/G \times I, S(V_1)/G \times \partial I, \mathrm{F/Top}] \to L^h_n(\mathbf{Z}G)$$

factors through $L^h_n(\mathbf{Z})$ (see [15, Thm.A, 7.4] for the image of the assembly map), we may apply the criterion of 3.4 to any lift $\sigma(f)$ of $[f]$. This reduces the evaluation of the bounded transfer on structure sets to a bounded L–theory calculation.

Theorem 3.5. *Let V_1 and V_2 be free G–representations with $\dim V_i \geqq 2$. Then, there is a topological equivalence $V_1 \oplus W \sim_t V_2 \oplus W$ if and only if there exists a G–homotopy equivalence $f\colon S(V_2) \to S(V_1)$, which is G–normally cobordant to the identity, such that $trf_W(\sigma(f)) = 0$, where $trf_W\colon L^h_n(\mathbf{Z}G) \to L^h_{n+k}(\mathcal{C}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ is the bounded transfer.*

The rest of the paper is about the computation of these bounded transfer homomorphisms in L–theory. We will need the following result (proved for K_0 in [17, 6.3]).

Theorem 3.6. *Let W be a G–representation with $W^G = 0$. For all $i \in \mathbf{Z}$, the bounded transfer $trf_W\colon K_i(\mathbf{Z}G) \to K_i(\mathcal{C}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ is equal to the cone point inclusion $c_*\colon K_i(\mathbf{Z}G) = K_i(\mathcal{C}_{pt,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to K_i(\mathcal{C}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$.*

Proof. Let G be a finite group and V a representation. Crossing with V defines a transfer map in K–theory $K_i(RG) \to K_i(\mathcal{C}_{V,G}(R))$ for all i, where R is any ring with unit [16, p.117]. To show that it is equal to the map $K_i(\mathcal{C}_{0,G}(R)) \to K_i(\mathcal{C}_{V,G}(R))$ induced by the inclusion $0 \subset V$, we need to choose models for K–theory.

For RG we choose the category of finitely generated free RG modules, but we think of it as a category with cofibrations and weak equivalences with weak equivalences isomorphisms and cofibrations split inclusions. For $\mathcal{C}_{V,G}(R)$ we use the category of finite length chain complexes, with weak equivalences chain homotopy equivalences and cofibrations sequences that are split short exact at each level. The K–theory of this category is the same as the K-theory of $\mathcal{C}_{V,G}(R)$. For an argument working in this generality see [9].

Tensoring with the chain complex of (V, G) induces a map of categories with cofibrations and weak equivalences hence a map on K–theory. It is elementary to see this agrees with the geometric definition in low dimensions, since the identification of the K–theory of chain complexes of an additive category with the K–theory of the additive category is an Euler characteristic (see e. g. [9]).

By abuse of notation we denote the category of finite chain complexes in $\mathcal{C}_{V,G}(R)$ simply by $\mathcal{C}_{V,G}(R)$. We need to study various related categories. First there is $\mathcal{C}^{iso}_{V,G}(R)$ where we have replaced the weak equivalences by isomorphisms. Obviously the transfer map, tensoring with the chains of (V, G) factors through this category. Also the transfer factors through the category $\mathcal{D}^{iso}_{V,G}(R)$ with the same objects, and isomorphisms as weak equivalences but the control condition is 0-control instead of bounded control. The category $\mathcal{D}^{iso}_{V,G}(R)$ is the product of the full subcategories on objects with support at 0 and the full subcategory on objects with support on $V - 0$, $\mathcal{D}^{iso}_{0,G}(R) \times \mathcal{D}^{iso}_{V-0,G}(R)$, and the transfer factors through chain complexes concentrated in degree 0 in $\mathcal{D}^{iso}_{0,G}(R)$ crossed with chain complexes in the other factor.

But the subcategory of chain complexes concentrated in degree zero of $\mathcal{D}^{iso}_{0,G}(R)$ is precisely the same as $\mathcal{C}_{0,G}(R)$ and the map to $\mathcal{C}_{V,G}(R)$ is induced by inclusion. So to finish the proof we have to show that the other factor $\mathcal{D}^{iso}_{V-0,G}(R)$ maps to zero. For this we construct an intermediate category $\mathcal{E}^{iso}_{V-0,G}(R)$ with the same objects, but where the morphisms are bounded radially and 0-controlled otherwise (i. e. a nontrivial map between objects at different points is only allowed if the points are on the same radial line, and there is a bound on the distance independent of the points). This category has trivial K-theory since we can make a radial Eilenberg swindle toward infinity. Since the other factor $\mathcal{D}^{iso}_{V-0,G}(R)$

maps through this category, we find that the transfer maps through the corner inclusion as claimed. □

Remark 3.7. It is an easy consequence of the filtering arguments based on [16, Thm.3.12] that the bounded L–groups are finitely generated abelian groups with 2–primary torsion subgroups. We will therefore localize all the L–groups by tensoring with $\mathbf{Z}_{(2)}$ (without changing the notation), and this loses no information for computing bounded transfers. One concrete advantage of working with the 2–local L–groups is that we can use the idempotent decomposition [13, §6] and the direct sum splitting $L^h_n(\mathcal{C}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z})) = \oplus_{d|q} L^h_n(\mathcal{C}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z}))(d)$. Since the "top component" $L^h_n(\mathcal{C}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z}))(q)$ is just the kernel of the restriction map to all odd index subgroups of G, the use of components is well-adapted to inductive calculations.

A first application of these techniques was given in [17, 5.1].

Theorem 3.8. *For any G–representation W, let $W = W_1 \oplus W_2$ where W_1 is the direct sum of the irreducible summands of W with isotropy subgroups of 2–power index. If $W^G = 0$, then*

- (i) *the inclusion $L^h_n(\mathcal{C}_{W_1,G}(\mathbf{Z}))(q) \to L^h_n(\mathcal{C}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z}))(q)$ is an isomorphism on the top component,*
- (ii) *the bounded transfer*

$$trf_{W_2}\colon L^h_n(\mathcal{C}_{W_1,G}(\mathbf{Z}))(q) \to L^h_n(\mathcal{C}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z}))(q)$$

  is an injection on the top component, and
- (iii) $\ker(trf_W) = \ker(trf_{W_1}) \subseteq L^h_n(\mathbf{Z}G)(q)$.

Proof. In [17] we localized at an odd prime $p \nmid |G|$ in order to use the Burnside idempotents for all cyclic subgroups of G. The same proof works for the L–groups localized at 2, to show that trf_{W_2} is injective on the top component. □

Lemma 3.9. *For any choice of normal cobordism between f and the identity, the surgery obstruction $\sigma(f)$ is a nonzero element of infinite order in $\tilde{L}^h_n(\mathbf{Z}G)$.*

Proof. See [17, 4.5] □

The following result (combined with Theorem 3.5) shows that there are no non-linear similarities between semi-free G–representations, since $L^h_{n+1}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R},G}(\mathbf{Z})) = L^p_n(\mathbf{Z}G)$ and the natural map $L^h_n(\mathbf{Z}G) \to L^p_n(\mathbf{Z}G)$ may be identified with the bounded transfer $trf_{\mathbf{R}}\colon L^h_n(\mathbf{Z}G) \to L^h_{n+1}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R},G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ [30, §15].

Corollary 3.10. *Under the natural map $L^h_n(\mathbf{Z}G) \to L^p_n(\mathbf{Z}G)$, the image of $\sigma(f)$ is nonzero.*

Proof. The kernel of the map $L^h_n(\mathbf{Z}G) \to L^p_n(\mathbf{Z}G)$ is the image of $H^n(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}G))$ which is a torsion group. □

4. BOUNDED $\mathbf{R}_-$ TRANSFERS

Let G denote a finite group of even order, with a subgroup $H < G$ of index 2. We first describe the connection between the bounded $\mathbf{R}_-$ transfer and the compact line bundle transfer of [34, 12C] by means of the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L^h_n(\mathbf{Z}G, w) \arrow[r, "trf_{I_-}"] \arrow[d, "trf_{\mathbf{R}_-}"'] & L^h_{n+1}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G, w\phi) \arrow[d, "j_*"] \\
L^h_{n+1}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}), w\phi) & L^{k,h}_{n+1}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G, w\phi) \arrow[l, "r_*"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $w\colon G \to \{\pm 1\}$ is the orientation character for G and $\phi\colon G \to \{\pm 1\}$ has kernel H. On $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})$ we start with the standard orientation defined in [**II**], Example 5.4, and then twist by w or $w\phi$. Note that the (untwisted) orientation induced on $\mathcal{C}_{pt}(\mathbf{Z}G)$ via the cone point inclusion $c\colon \mathcal{C}_{pt}(\mathbf{Z}G) \to \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})$ is *non–trivial.* The homomorphism

$$r_*\colon L^{k,h}_{n+1}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G, w\phi) \to L^h_{n+1}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}), w\phi)$$

is obtained by adding a ray $[1, \infty)$ to each point of the boundary double cover in domain and range of a surgery problem. Here $\mathbf{k}$ in the decoration means that we are allowing projective $\mathbf{Z}H$-modules that become free when induced up to $\mathbf{Z}G$

Theorem 4.1. *The map* $r_*\colon L^{k,h}_{n+1}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G, w\phi) \to L^h_{n+1}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}), w\phi)$ *is an isomorphism, and under this identification, the bounded* $\mathbf{R}_-$ *transfer corresponds to the line bundle transfer, followed by the relaxation of projectivity map* j_* *given by* $\mathbf{k}$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})$ with objects that are only nontrivial in a bounded neighborhood of 0. Then $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})$ is $\mathcal{A}$-filtered. The category $\mathcal{A}$ is equivalent to the category of free $\mathbf{Z}G$-modules (with the non-orientable involution). The quotient category $\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{A}$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{C}^{>0}_{[0,\infty),H}(\mathbf{Z})$, which has the same L-theory as $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{Z}H)$, so we get a fibration of spectra

$$\mathbb{L}^k(\mathbf{Z}H) \to \mathbb{L}^h(\mathbf{Z}G) \to \mathbb{L}^h(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \ .$$

This shows that

$$\mathbb{L}^h(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \simeq \mathbb{L}^{k,h}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G)$$

□

The line bundle transfer can be studied by the long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \to LN_n(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G, w\phi) \to L^h_n(\mathbf{Z}G, w) \to L^h_{n+1}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G, w\phi) \\ \to LN_{n-1}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G, w\phi) \to L^h_{n-1}(\mathbf{Z}G, w) \to \dots \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

given in [34, 11.6]. The obstruction groups $LN_n(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G, w\phi)$ for codimension 1 surgery have an algebraic description

$$LN_n(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G, w\phi) \cong L^h_n(\mathbf{Z}H, \alpha, u) \tag{4.3}$$

given by [34, 12.9]. The groups on the right–hand side are the algebraic L–groups of the "twisted" anti–structure defined by choosing some element $t \in G - H$ and then setting $\alpha(x) = w(x)t^{-1}x^{-1}t$ for all $x \in H$, and $u = w(t)t^{-2}$. Another choice of $t \in G - H$ gives a scale equivalent anti–structure on $\mathbf{Z}H$. The same formulas also give a "twisted" anti–structure $(\mathbf{Z}G, \alpha, u)$ on $\mathbf{Z}G$, but since the conjugation by t is now an inner automorphism of G this is scale equivalent to the standard structure $(\mathbf{Z}G, w)$. We can therefore define the twisted induction map

$$\tilde{i}_*\colon L^h_n(\mathbf{Z}H, \alpha, u) \to L^h_n(\mathbf{Z}G, w)$$

and the twisted restriction map

$$\tilde{\gamma}_*\colon L^h_n(\mathbf{Z}G, w) \to L^h_n(\mathbf{Z}H, \alpha, u)$$

as the composites of the ordinary induction or restriction maps (induced by the inclusion $(\mathbf{Z}H, w) \to (\mathbf{Z}G, w)$) with the scale isomorphism.

The twisted anti–structure on $\mathbf{Z}H$ is an example of a "geometric anti–structure" [20, p.110]:

$$\alpha(g) = w(g)\theta(g^{-1}), \qquad\qquad u = \pm b$$

where $\theta\colon G \to G$ is a group automorphism with $\theta^2(g) = bgb^{-1}$, $w \circ \theta = w$, $w(b) = 1$ and $\theta(b) = b$.

Example 4.4. For G cyclic, the orientation character restricted to H is trivial, $\theta(g) = tgt^{-1} = g$ and $u = w(t)t^2$. Choosing $t \in G$ a generator we get $b = t^2$, which is a generator for H.

There is an identification [12, Thm. 3], [19, 50–53] of the exact sequence (4.2) for the line bundle transfer, extending the scaling isomorphism $L^h_n(\mathbf{Z}G, w) \cong L^h_n(\mathbf{Z}G, \alpha, u)$ and (4.3), with the long exact sequence of the "twisted" inclusion

$$\dots L^h_n(\mathbf{Z}H, \alpha, u) \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}_*} L^h_n(\mathbf{Z}G, \alpha, u) \to L^h_n(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G, \alpha, u) \to L^h_{n-1}(\mathbf{Z}H, \alpha, u) \to \dots$$

These identifications can then be substituted into the following "twisting diagram" in order to compute the various maps (see [19, Appendix 2] for a complete tabulation in the case of finite 2–groups).

(4.5)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
LN_n(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G, w\phi) \arrow[dr] \arrow[rr, bend left, "\tilde{i}_*"] & & L_n(\mathbf{Z}G, w) \arrow[dr] \arrow[rr, bend left, "\gamma_*"] & & L_n(\mathbf{Z}H, w) \\
& L_{n+1}(\gamma_*) \arrow[ur] \arrow[dr] & & L_{n+1}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G, w\phi) \arrow[ur] \arrow[dr] & \\
L_{n+1}(\mathbf{Z}H, w) \arrow[ur] \arrow[rr, bend right, "i_*"'] & & L_{n+1}(\mathbf{Z}G, w\phi) \arrow[ur] \arrow[rr, bend right, "\tilde{\gamma}_*"'] & & LN_{n-1}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G, w\phi)
\end{tikzcd}$$

The existence of the diagram depends on the identifications $L_{n+1}(\gamma_*) \cong L_n(\tilde{\gamma}_*)$ and $L_{n+1}(i_*) \cong L_n(\tilde{i}_*)$ obtained geometrically in [12] and algebraically in [29].

5. Some basic facts in K- and L-theory

In this section we record various calculational facts from the literature about K– and L–theory of cyclic groups. A general reference for the K–theory is [26], and for L–theory computations is [21]. Recall that $\widetilde{K}_0(\mathcal{A}) = K_0(\mathcal{A}^\wedge)/K_0(\mathcal{A})$ for any additive category $\mathcal{A}$, and $\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{A})$ is the quotient of $K_1(\mathcal{A})$ by the subgroup defined by the system of stable isomorphisms.

Theorem 5.1. *Let G be a cyclic group, K a subgroup. We then have*

(i) $K_1(\mathbf{Z}G) = (\mathbf{Z}G)^* \subset K_1(\mathbf{Q}G)$ *Here* $(\mathbf{Z}G)^*$ *denotes the units of* $\mathbf{Z}G$.

(ii) *The torsion in* $K_1(\mathbf{Z}G))$ *is precisely* $\{\pm G\}$, *so* $\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G)$ *is torsion free.*

(iii) *The maps* $K_1(\mathbf{Z}K) \to K_1(\mathbf{Z}G)$ *and*

$$\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G)/\,\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}K) \to \mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Q}G)/\,\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Q}K)$$

are injective.

(iv) $\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}G)$ *a torsion group and the map* $\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}G) \to \widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}G$ *is the zero map for all primes* p.

(v) $K_{-1}(\mathbf{Z}G)$ *is torsion free, and sits in an exact sequence*

$$0 \to K_0(\mathbf{Z}) \to K_0(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}G) \oplus K_0(\mathbf{Q}G) \to K_0(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}G) \to K_{-1}(\mathbf{Z}G) \to 0\ .$$

(vi) $K_{-1}(\mathbf{Z}K) \to K_{-1}(\mathbf{Z}G)$ *is an injection.*

(vii) $K_{-j}(\mathbf{Z}G) = 0$ *for* $j \geqq 2$.

Proof. The proof mainly consists of references. See [26, pp.6,14] for the first two parts. Part (iii) follows from (i) and the relation $(\mathbf{Z}G)^* \cap (\mathbf{Q}K)^* = (\mathbf{Z}K)^*$. Part (iv) is due to Swan [33], and part (vii) is a result of Bass and Carter [10]. Part (v) gives the arithmetic sequence for computing $K_{-1}(\mathbf{Z}G)$, and the assertion that $K_{-1}(\mathbf{Z}G)$ is torsion free is easy to deduce (see also [10]). Since $\mathrm{Res}_K \circ \mathrm{Ind}_K$ is multiplication by the index $[G : K]$, part (vi) follows from (v). □

Tate cohomology of K_i-groups play an important role. The involution on K–theory is induced by duality on modules. It is conventionally chosen to have the boundary map

$$K_1(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}(G) \to \tilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}G)$$

preserve the involution, so to make this happen we choose to have the involution on K_0 be given by sending $[P]$ to $-[P^*]$, and the involution on K_1 is given by sending τ to τ^*. This causes a shift in dimension in Ranicki-Rothenberg exact sequences

$$\ldots \to H^0(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathcal{A})) \to L^h_{2k}(\mathcal{A}) \to L^p_{2k}(\mathcal{A}) \to H^1(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathcal{A})) \to \ldots$$

compared to

$$\ldots \to H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{A})) \to L^s_{2k}(\mathcal{A}) \to L^h_{2k}(\mathcal{A}) \to H^0(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{A})) \to \ldots$$

and

$$\ldots \to H^1(K_{-1}(\mathcal{A})) \to L^p_{2k}(\mathcal{A}) \to L^{\langle -1\rangle}_{2k}(\mathcal{A}) \to H^0(K_{-1}(\mathcal{A})) \to \ldots$$

Theorem 5.2. *Let G be a cyclic group, K a subgroup.*

(i) $L^s_{2k}(\mathbf{Z}G)$, $L^p_{2k}(\mathbf{Z}G)$, *and* $L^{\langle -1\rangle}_{2k}(\mathbf{Z}G)$ *are torsion–free when k is even, and when k is odd the only torsion is a* $\mathbf{Z}/2$*-summand generated by the Arf invariant element.*

(ii) *The groups* $L^h_{2k+1}(\mathbf{Z}G) = L^s_{2k+1}(\mathbf{Z}G) = L^p_{2k+1}(\mathbf{Z}G)$ *are zero (k even), or* $\mathbf{Z}/2$ *(if k odd and $|G|$ is even), detected by projection* $G \to C(2)$.

(iii) $L^{\langle -1\rangle}_{2k+1}(\mathbf{Z}G) = H^1(K_{-1}(\mathbf{Z}G))$ *(k even), or* $\mathbf{Z}/2 \oplus H^1(K_{-1}(\mathbf{Z}G))$ *(k odd).*

(iv) *The Ranicki-Rothenberg exact sequence gives*

$$0 \to H^0(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}G)) \to L^h_{2k}(\mathbf{Z}G) \to L^p_{2k}(\mathbf{Z}G) \to H^1(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}G)) \to 0$$

so $L^h_{2k}(\mathbf{Z}G)$ *has the torsion subgroup* $H^0(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}G))$.

(v) *The double coboundary* $\delta^2\colon H^0(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}G)) \to H^0(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G))$ *is injective.*

(vi) *The maps* $L^s_{2k}(\mathbf{Z}K) \to L^s_{2k}(\mathbf{Z}G)$, $L^p_{2k}(\mathbf{Z}K) \to L^p_{2k}(\mathbf{Z}G)$, *and* $L^{\langle -1\rangle}_{2k}(\mathbf{Z}K) \to L^{\langle -1\rangle}_{2k}(\mathbf{Z}G)$ *are injective when k is even or $[G : K]$ is odd. For k odd and $[G : K]$ even, the kernel is generated by the Arf invariant element.*

(vii) *In the oriented case,* $\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G)$ *has trivial involution and* $H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G)) = 0$.

Proof. See [21, §3, §12] for the proof of part (i) for L^s or L^p. Part (ii) is due to Bak for L^s and L^h [2], and is proved in [21, 12.1] for L^p. We can now substitute this information into the Ranicki-Rothenberg sequences above to get part (iv). Furthermore, we see that the maps $L^{\langle -1\rangle}_n(\mathbf{Z}G) \to H^n(K_{-1}(\mathbf{Z}G))$ are all surjective, and the extension giving $L^{\langle -1\rangle}_{2k+1}(\mathbf{Z}G)$ actually splits. This gives part (iii). For part (v) we use the fact that the double coboundary $\delta^2\colon H^0(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}G)) \to H^0(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G))$ can be identified with the composite

$$H^0(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}G)) \to L^h_0(\mathbf{Z}G) \to H^0(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G))$$

(see [**II**], Section 7). Part (vii) is due to Wall [26].

For $L^{\langle -1\rangle}_{2k}(\mathbf{Z}G)$ we use the exact sequence

$$0 \to L^{\langle -1\rangle}_{2k}(\mathbf{Z}G) \to L^p_{2k}(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}G) \oplus L^p_{2k}(\mathbf{Q}G) \to L^p_{2k}(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}G)$$

obtained from the braid of exact sequences given in [13, 3.11] by substituting the calculation $L^p_{2k+1}(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}G) = 0$ from [14, 1.10]. It is also convenient to use the idempotent decomposition (as in [13, §7]) for $G = C(2^r q)$, q odd:

$$L^{\langle -1\rangle}_{2k}(\mathbf{Z}G) = \bigoplus_{d|q} L^{\langle -1\rangle}_{2k}(\mathbf{Z}G)(d)$$

where the d-component, $d \neq q$, is mapped isomorphically under restriction to $L^p_{2k+1}(\mathbf{Z}K, w)(d)$ for $K = C(2^r d)$. This decomposition extends to a decomposition of the arithmetic sequence above. The summand corresponding to $d = 1$ may be neglected since $L^p = L^{\langle -1\rangle}$ for a 2-group (since the K_{-1} vanishes in that case).

We now study $L^p_{2k}(\mathbf{Q}G)$ by comparing it to $L^p_{2k}(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}G) \oplus L^p_{2k}(\mathbf{R}G)$ as in [14, 1.13]. Let $CL^p_n(S) = L^p_n(S \to S_A)$, where S is a factor of $\mathbf{Q}G$, and $S_A = \widehat{S} \oplus (S \otimes \mathbf{R})$. If S has type U, we obtain $CL^p_{2k+1}(S) = 0$, and we have an extension $0 \to \mathbf{Z}/2 \to CL^p_{2k}(S) \to H^1(K_0(S_A)/K_0(S)) \to 0$. We may now assume that $q > 1$, implying that all the factors in the q-component of $\mathbf{Q}G$ have type U. By induction on q it is enough to consider the q-component of the exact sequence above. It can be re-written in the form

$$0 \to L^{\langle -1\rangle}_{2k}(\mathbf{Z}G)(q) \to L^p_{2k}(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}G)(q) \oplus L^p_{2k}(\mathbf{R}G)(q) \to CL^p_{2k}(\mathbf{Q}G)(q)$$

But $L^p_{2k}(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}G)(q) \cong H^1(K_0(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}G)(q))$ by [14, 1.11], and the group $H^1(K_0(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}G)(q))$ injects into $CL^p_{2k}(\mathbf{Q}G)(q)$. To see this we use the exact sequence in Theorem 5.1 (v), and the fact that the involution on $K_0(\mathbf{Q}G)$ is multiplication by -1. We conclude that $L_{2k}^{\langle -1\rangle}(\mathbf{Z}G)(q)$ injects into $L_{2k}^p(\mathbf{R}G)(q)$ which is torsion-free by [14, 1.9]. Part (vi) now follows from part (i) and the property $\mathrm{Res}_K \circ \mathrm{Ind}_K = [G : K]$. □

6. The computation of $L_1^p(\mathbf{Z}G, w)$

Here we correct an error in the statement of [14, 5.1] (Notice however that Table 2 [14, p.553] has the correct answer).

Proposition 6.1. *Let $G = \sigma \times \rho$, where σ is an abelian 2–group and ρ has odd order. Then $L_n^p(\mathbf{Z}G, w) = L_n^p(\mathbf{Z}\sigma, w) \oplus L_n^p(\mathbf{Z}\sigma \to \mathbf{Z}G, w)$ where $w\colon G \to \{\pm 1\}$ is an orientation character. For $i = 2k$, the second summand is free abelian and detected by signatures at the type $U(\mathbf{C})$ representations of G which are non–trivial on ρ. For $n = 2k+1$, the second summand is a direct sum of $\mathbf{Z}/2$'s, one for each type $U(\mathbf{GL})$ representation of G which is non–trivial on ρ.*

Remark 6.2. Note that type $U(\mathbf{C})$ representations of G exist only when $w \equiv 1$, and type $U(\mathbf{GL})$ representations of G exist only when $w \not\equiv 1$. In both cases, the second summand is computed by transfer to cyclic subquotients of order $2^r q$, $q > 1$ odd, with $r \geqq 2$.

Proof. The given direct sum decomposition follows from the existence of a retraction of the inclusion $\sigma \to G$ compatible with w. It also follows that

$$L_{n+1}^{p,h}(\mathbf{Z}G \to \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_2 G, w) \cong L_{n+1}^{p,h}(\mathbf{Z}\sigma \to \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_2\sigma, w) \oplus L_n^p(\mathbf{Z}\sigma \to \mathbf{Z}G, w)$$

since the map $L_n^h(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_2\sigma, w) \to L_n^h(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_2 G, w)$ is an isomorphism. The computation of the relative groups for $\mathbf{Z} \to \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_2$ can be read off from [14, Table 2,Remark 2.14]: for each centre field E of a type $U(\mathbf{GL})$ representation, the contribution is $H^0(C(E)) \cong \mathbf{Z}/2$ if $i \equiv 1 \bmod 2$.

The detection of $L_i^p(\mathbf{Z}\sigma \to \mathbf{Z}G, w)$ by cyclic subquotients is proved in [20, 1.B.7, 3.A.6, 3.B.2]. □

Corollary 6.3. *Let $G = C(2^r q)$, for $q > 1$ odd and $r \geqq 2$. Then the group*

$$L_{2k+1}^p(\mathbf{Z}G, w) = \bigoplus_{d|q} L_{2k+1}^p(\mathbf{Z}G, w)(d)$$

where the d-component, $d \neq q$, is mapped isomorphically under restriction to $L_{2k+1}^p(\mathbf{Z}K, w)(d)$ for $K = C(2^r d)$. The q-component is given by the formula

$$L_{2k+1}^p(\mathbf{Z}G, w)(q) = \bigoplus_{i=2}^{r} CL_2^K(E_i) \cong (\mathbf{Z}/2)^{r-1}$$

when $w \not\equiv 1$, where the summand $CL_2^K(E_i) = H^0(C(E_i))$, $2 \leqq i \leqq r$, corresponds to the type $U(\mathbf{GL})$ rational representation with centre field $E_i = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2^i q})$.

Remark 6.4. The calculation of L_1^p contradicts the assertion in [8, p.733,l.-8] that the projection map $G \to C(2^r)$ induces an isomorphism on L_1^p in the non–oriented case. In fact, the projection detects only the $q = 1$ component. This error invalidates the proofs of the main results of [8] for cyclic groups *not* of 2–power order, so the reader should not rely on the statements. In particular, we have already noted that [8, Thm. 1(i)] and [8, Thm. 2] are incorrect. On the other hand, the conclusions of [8, Thm. 1] are correct for

6-dimensional similarities of $G = C(2^r)$. We will use [8, Cor.(iii)] in Example 9.8 and in Section 10.

Remark 6.5. The $q = 1$ component, $L^p_{2k+1}((\mathbf{Z}G, w)(1)$, is isomorphic to via the projection or restriction map to $L^p_{2k+1}((\mathbf{Z}[C(2^r)], w)$. In this case, the representation with centre field $\mathbf{Q}(i)$ has type $OK(\mathbf{C})$ and contributes $(\mathbf{Z}/2)^2$ to L^p_3, hence $L^p_1(\mathbf{Z}G, w)(1) \cong (\mathbf{Z}/2)^{r-2}$ and $L^p_3(\mathbf{Z}G, w)(1) \cong (\mathbf{Z}/2)^r$.

We now return to our main calculational device for determining non–linear similarities of cyclic groups, namely the "double coboundary"

$$\delta^2 \colon H^1(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}G^-)) \to H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-))$$

from the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G) \to \mathrm{Wh}(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}G) \oplus K_1(\mathbf{Q}G) \to K_1(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}G) \to \widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}G) \to 0\ .$$

We recall that the discriminant induces an isomorphism

$$L^h_1(\mathbf{Z}G, w) \cong H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G), w)$$

since $L^s_i(\mathbf{Z}G, w) \cong L'_i(\mathbf{Z}G, w) = 0$ for $i \equiv 0, 1 \mod 4$ by the calculations of [34, 3.4.5,5.4].

Proposition 6.6. *The kernel of the map $L^h_1(\mathbf{Z}G, w) \to L^p_1(\mathbf{Z}G, w)$ is isomorphic to the image of the double coboundary $\delta^2 \colon H^1(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}G^-)) \to H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-))$ under the isomorphism $L^h_1(\mathbf{Z}G, w) \cong H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-))$ induced by the discriminant .*

Proof. We will use the commutative braid

(6.7)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^1(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}G^-)) \arrow[rr, bend left, "\delta^2"] \arrow[dr] & & H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)) \arrow[rr, bend left] \arrow[dr] & & L^s_0(\mathbf{Z}G, w) \\
& L^h_1(\mathbf{Z}G, w) \arrow[ur] \arrow[dr] & & H^1(\Delta) \arrow[ur] \arrow[dr] & \\
L^s_1(\mathbf{Z}G, w) \arrow[ur] \arrow[rr, bend right] & & L^p_1(\mathbf{Z}G, w) \arrow[ur] \arrow[rr, bend right] & & H^0(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}G^-))
\end{tikzcd}$$

relating the L^h to L^p and the L^s to L^h Rothenberg sequences. The term $H^1(\Delta)$ is the Tate cohomology of the relative group for the double coboundary defined in [**II**], Section 7. The braid diagram is constructed by diagram chasing using the interlocking K and L–theory exact sequences, as in for example [13, §3], [14, p.560], [27, p.3] and [28, 6.2]. We see that the discriminant of an element $\sigma \in L^h_1(\mathbf{Z}G, w)$ lies in the image of the double coboundary if and only if $\sigma \in \ker(L^h_1(\mathbf{Z}G, w) \to L^p_1(\mathbf{Z}G, w))$. □

The braid diagram in this proof also gives:

Corollary 6.8. *There is an isomorphism $L^p_1(\mathbf{Z}G, w) \cong H^1(\Delta)$.*

Remark 6.9. It follows from Corollary 6.3 that $H^1(\Delta)$ is fixed by the induced maps from group automorphisms of G. We will generalize this result in the next section.

Remark 6.10. There is a version of these results for $L^p_3(\mathbf{Z}G, w)$ as well, on the kernel of the projection map $L^p_3(\mathbf{Z}G, w) \to L^p_3(\mathbf{Z}K, w)$, where $K = C(4)$. The point is that $L^s_i(\mathbf{Z}G, w) \cong L^s_i(\mathbf{Z}K, w)$ is an isomorphism for $i \equiv 2, 3 \mod 4$ as well [34, 3.4.5,5.4]. There is also a corresponding braid [**II**] (9.1) for $L^s_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$, $L^h_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ and $L^p_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ involving the double coboundary in bounded K–theory. The cone point inclusion

$$\mathcal{C}_{pt}(\mathbf{Z}G, w) = \mathcal{C}_{pt,G^-}(\mathbf{Z}) \to \mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})$$

induces a natural transformation between the two braid diagrams.

In Section 7 we will need the following calculation. We denote by $L^{\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H)}_n(\mathbf{Z}G^-)$ the L–group of $\mathbf{Z}G$ with the non-oriented involution, and Whitehead torsions allowed in the subgroup $\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H) \subset \mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G)$.

Lemma 6.11. *$L^{\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H)}_1(\mathbf{Z}G^-) = 0$, and the map $L^{\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H)}_0(\mathbf{Z}G^-) \to H^0(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H))$ induced by the discriminant is an injection.*

Proof. The Rothenberg sequence gives

$$L^s_n(\mathbf{Z}G^-) \to L^{\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H)}_n(\mathbf{Z}G^-) \to H^n(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H)) \ .$$

For $n \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ the outside terms are zero, and hence $L^{\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H)}_1(\mathbf{Z}G^-) = 0$. For $n \equiv 0 \bmod 4$, $L^s_0(\mathbf{Z}G^-) = 0$ as noted above and the injectivity follows. ☐

In later sections, it will be convenient to stabilize with trivial representations and use the identification

$$L^p_{n+k}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}^k,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \cong L^{\langle -k\rangle}_n(\mathcal{C}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z})).$$

The composite with the transfer

$$trf_{\mathbf{R}^k} \colon L^p_n(\mathbf{Z}G) \to L^p_{n+k}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}^k,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$$

is just the usual "change of K–theory" map, which may be analysed by the Ranicki-Rothenberg sequences [30]. For G a finite group, $K_{-j}(\mathbf{Z}G) = 0$ if $j \geqq 2$ so only the first stabilization is needed.

Lemma 6.12. *For $G = C(2^r q)$ and $w\colon G \to \{\pm 1\}$ non–trivial, the map*

$$L^p_{2k+1}(\mathbf{Z}G, w) \to L^{\langle -1\rangle}_{2k+1}(\mathbf{Z}G, w)$$

is injective.

Proof. The group $K_{-1}(\mathbf{Z}G)$ is a torsion free quotient of $K_0(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}G)$, and has the involution induced by $[P] \mapsto -[P^*]$ on $K_0(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}G)$ [13, 3.6]. This implies first that $H^0(K_0(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}G)) = 0$, and so the image of the coboundary

$$H^0(K_{-1}(\mathbf{Z}G)) \to H^1(K_0(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}G)) \oplus H^1(K_0(\mathbf{Q}G))$$

consists of the classes $(0, [E])$ where E splits at every finite prime dividing $2q$. We need to compare the exact sequences in the following diagram (see [14], [21]):

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & L^K_{2k+2}(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}G, w) \oplus L^K_{2k+2}(\mathbf{Q}G, w) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & L^K_{2k+2}(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}G, w) \arrow[d] \\
0 \arrow[r] & L^{\langle -1 \rangle}_{2k+2}(\mathbf{Z}G, w) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & L^p_{2k+2}(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}G, w) \oplus L^p_{2k+2}(\mathbf{Q}G, w) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & L^p_{2k+2}(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}G, w) \arrow[d] \\
0 \arrow[r] & H^0(K_{-1}(\mathbf{Z}G)) \arrow[r] & H^1(K_0(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}G)) \oplus H^1(K_0(\mathbf{Q}G)) \arrow[r] & H^1(K_0(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}G))
\end{tikzcd}$$

The groups $L^K_{2k+2}(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}G, w)$ reduce to the L–groups of finite fields, which are zero in type U, and the map $L^p_{2k+2}(\mathbf{Q}G, w) \to H^1(K_0(\mathbf{Q}G))$ is surjective. For each involution invariant field E in the top component of $\mathbf{Q}G$, the group $L^K_{2k+2}(E) = H^0(E^\times)$ which maps injectively into $L^K_{2k+2}(\hat{E}) = H^0(\hat{E}^\times)$, [14]. It follows that the images of $L^K_{2k+2}(E)$ and $L^{\langle -1 \rangle}_{2k+2}(\mathbf{Z}G, w)$ in $L^p_{2k+2}(E)$ have zero intersection , and so the composite map $L^{\langle -1 \rangle}_{2k+2}(\mathbf{Z}G, w) \to H^1(K_0(\mathbf{Q}G))$ is an isomorphism onto the classes which split at all primes dividing $2q$. Therefore the map $L^{\langle -1 \rangle}_{2k+2}(\mathbf{Z}G, w) \to H^0(K_{-1}(\mathbf{Z}G))$ is surjective, and we conclude that the map $L^p_{2k+1}(\mathbf{Z}G, w) \to L^{\langle -1 \rangle}_{2k+1}(\mathbf{Z}G, w)$ is injective. □

Corollary 6.13. *Let $G = C(2^r q)$ and $w\colon G \to \{\pm 1\}$ the non–trivial orientation. If W is a G–representation with $W^G = 0$, then the map*

$$L^p_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z}), w) \to L^{\langle -1 \rangle}_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z}), w)$$

is injective.

Proof. We first note that the cone point maps $K_0(RG) \to K_0(\mathcal{C}_{W,G}(R))$ are surjective for $R = \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}, \mathbf{Q}$ or $\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}$ since for these coefficients RG has vanishing K_{-1} groups. This shows that $K_{-1}(\mathcal{C}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ is again a quotient of $K_0(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}G)$. To see that $K_{-1}(\mathcal{C}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ is also torsion free, consider the boundary map $K_1(\mathcal{C}^{>0}_{W,G}(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}})) \to K_0(\mathcal{C}_W(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}))$ which is just a sum of induction maps $K_0(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}K) \to K_0(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}G)$ from proper subgroups of $K \subset G$. But for G cyclic, these induction maps are split injective. We now complete the argument by comparing the diagram above with the corresponding diagram for the bounded theory, concluding that $H^0(K_{-1}(\mathbf{Z}G)) \to H^0(K_{-1}(\mathcal{C}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z})))$ is surjective. Since $L^{\langle -1 \rangle}_{2k+2}(\mathbf{Z}G, w) \to H^0(K_{-1}(\mathbf{Z}G))$ is also surjective, we are done. □

7. The proof of Theorem A

The condition (i) is equivalent to assuming that $S(V_1)$ and $S(V_2)$ are freely G–homotopy equivalent. Condition (ii) is necessary by Corollary 3.10 which rules out non–linear similarities of semifree representations. Condition (ii) also implies that $S(V_1)$ is s–normally cobordant to $S(V_2)$ by [3, Prop. 2.1], which is another necessary condition for topological similarity. Thus under conditions (i) and (ii), there exists a homotopy equivalence $f\colon S(V_2) \to S(V_1)$, and an element $\sigma = \sigma(f) \in L^h_0(\mathbf{Z}G)$ such that $trf_{\mathbf{R}_-}(\sigma) = 0 \in L^h_1(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ if and only if $V_1 \oplus \mathbf{R}_- \sim_t V_2 \oplus \mathbf{R}_-$.

Comparing the h- and s- surgery exact sequences it is easy to see that the image of σ in $H^0(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G))$ is given by the Whitehead torsion $\{\tau(f)\} = \{\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)\} \in \mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G)$ of the homotopy equivalence $S(V_2)/G \simeq S(V_1)/G$.

In Section 2 we gave the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G)/\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H) \to \mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G) \to \mathbf{k} \to 0$$

where $K_1(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))/\{\pm G\})$ is denoted by $\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) = \mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G)$ and $\mathbf{k} = \ker(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}H) \to \widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}G))$. We proved in Theorem 3.6 that the transfer of the torsion element in $\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G)$ in $\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G)$ is given by the same element under the map induced by inclusion $\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G) \to \mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G)$.

It follows that the image of $trf_W(\sigma)$ in

$$H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))) = H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-))$$

is given by the image of our well-defined element

$$\{\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)\} \in H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)/\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H))$$

under the cone point inclusion into $H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-))$.

The necessity of the condition is now easy. To have a non-linear similarity we must have

$$trf_{\mathbf{R}_-}(\sigma) = 0 \in L^h_1(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))\ .$$

Hence

$$trf_{\mathbf{R}_-}(\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)) = 0 \in H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-))$$

must vanish by naturality of the transfer in the Rothenberg sequence. This element comes from $H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)/\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H))$, so to vanish in $H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-))$ it must be in the image from

$$H^0(\mathbf{k}) \to H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-/\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H))$$

under the coboundary.

To prove sufficiency, we assume that the image of the transferred element

$$\{\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)\} \in H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-))$$

is zero. Consider the long exact sequence derived from the inclusion of filtered categories

$$\mathcal{C}_{pt}(\mathbf{Z}G) \subset \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})$ has the standard orientation [**II**], Example 5.4, inducing the non–trivial orientation at the cone point. The quotient category $\mathcal{C}^{>0}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})$ of germs away from 0 is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}^{>0}_{[0,\infty),H}(\mathbf{Z})$, since by equivariance what happens on the positive half line has to be copied on the negative half line, and what happens near 0 does not matter in the germ category. Since the action of H on $[0,\infty)$ is trivial, this category is precisely $\mathcal{C}^{>0}_{[0,\infty)}(\mathbf{Z}H)$ which has the same K- and L-theory as $\mathcal{C}^{>}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{Z}H)$ by the projection map. By [**II**], Theorem 5.7 we thus get a long exact sequence

$$\dots \to L^k_n(\mathbf{Z}H) \to L^h_n(\mathbf{Z}G^-) \to L^h_n(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to L^k_{n-1}(\mathbf{Z}H) \to \dots$$

where the map is induced by induction. Comparing this sequence with the long exact sequence for the pair $L^{h,k}_n(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-)$ (see Theorem 4.1) it follows that

$$L^h_n(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \cong L^{k,h}_n(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-)\ .$$

Consider the following diagram with exact rows and columns:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L_1^{\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H)}(\mathbf{Z}G^-) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & L_1^{h,\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H)}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & L_0^h(\mathbf{Z}H) \arrow[d] \\
L_1^h(\mathbf{Z}G^-) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & L_1^{k,h}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & L_0^k(\mathbf{Z}H) \arrow[d] \\
H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(G^-)/\,\mathrm{Wh}(H)) \arrow[r] & H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-)) \arrow[r] & H^1(\mathbf{k})
\end{tikzcd}$$

We need to show that $\mathit{trf}_{\mathbf{R}_-}(\sigma)$ vanishes in order to produce the non-linear similarity. We know that the image of $\mathrm{Res}_H(\sigma) = 0$, and our assumption is that the image

$$\{\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)\} \in H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-))$$

vanishes. We will finish the argument by showing:

Lemma 7.1. *Suppose that* $\sigma \in L_0^h(\mathbf{Z}G)$*.*

(i) $L_1^{h,\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H)}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-)$ *is torsion–free.*

(ii) *The torsion subgroup of* $L_1^{k,h}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-)$ *injects into* $H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-))$*, or equivalently, the torsion subgroup of* $L_1^h(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ *injects into* $H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})))$*.*

(iii) *If* $\mathrm{Res}_H(\sigma) = 0 \in L_0^h(\mathbf{Z}H)$*, then* $\mathit{trf}_{\mathbf{R}_-}(\sigma)$ *is a torsion element.*

The proof of Lemma 7.1. For assertion (i), we consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L_0^s(\mathbf{Z}H) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, tail] & L_0^s(\mathbf{Z}G^-) \arrow[d] \\
L_0^h(\mathbf{Z}H) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & L_0^{\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H)}(\mathbf{Z}G^-) \arrow[d] \\
H^0(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H)) \arrow[r, equal] & H^0(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H))
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $L_0^s(\mathbf{Z}G^-) = 0$. Since $L_1^{\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H)}(\mathbf{Z}G^-) = 0$ as well (by Lemma 6.11), it follows that

$$L_1^{h,\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H)}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-) = \ker(L_0^h(\mathbf{Z}H) \to L_0^{\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H)}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)) \cong L_0^s(\mathbf{Z}H)$$

where $L_0^s(\mathbf{Z}H)$ is torsion–free. Part (ii) follows from part (i), since the previous term in the exact sequence has exponent two. For assertion (iii) we refer to part of the twisting diagram of Section 4, namely the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & L_0^h(\mathbf{Z}G) \arrow[d, tail, "\mathit{trf}_{\mathbf{R}_-}"] \arrow[dr, "\mathrm{Res}_H"] & \\
L_1^h(\mathbf{Z}G^-) \arrow[r] & L_1^{k,h}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-) \arrow[r] & L_0^k(\mathbf{Z}H)
\end{tikzcd}$$

and the fact that $\mathrm{Res}_H(\mathit{trf}_{\mathbf{R}_-}(\sigma)) = 0$ since it factors through $\mathrm{Res}_H \colon L_0^h(\mathbf{Z}G) \to L_0^h(\mathbf{Z}H)$. But $L_1^h(\mathbf{Z}G^-) \cong H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)$ has exponent 2. □

Remark 7.2. Theorem A gives necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of 5–dimensional similarities. Consider the situation in dimensions $\leqq 5$. By character theory it suffices to consider a cyclic group G, which must be of order divisable by 4, say $4q$, with index 2 subgroup H. It suffices by Lemma 3.1 to consider the following situation

$$V_1 \oplus W \sim_t V_2 \oplus W$$

where V_i are free homotopy equivalent representations which become isomorphic once restricted to H. Therefore $S(V_1)$ is normally cobordant to $S(V_2)$. If V_i are two-dimensional they are determined by one character, so homotopy equivalence implies isomorphism. We may thus assume V_i are at least 4-dimensional, and $\dim W = 1$. According to Theorem 3.5, non-linear similarity is now determined by $trf_W(\sigma)$, where σ is an element of infinite order in $L_0^h(\mathbf{Z}G)$ hitting the element in the structure set determined by the homotopy equivalence of $S(V_2)/G \simeq S(V_1)/G$. In case W is the trivial representation we may identify trf_W with the map

$$L_0^h(\mathbf{Z}G) \to L_0^p(\mathbf{Z}G)$$

which we have seen (in Corollary 3.10) is injective on the elements of infinite order. Hence we are left with the case where W is the non-trivial one-dimensional representation $\mathbf{R}_-$, which is reduced to number theory by Theorem A. In Corollary 9.3 we work out the number theory for $G = C(2^r)$ as an example, showing that 5–dimensional similarities do not exist for these groups. The general case was done by Cappell and Shaneson in 1981, and this preprint has recently been published [6].

8. The proof of Theorem B

The new ingredient in Theorem B is the double coboundary. As in the last section, we may assume that $f\colon S(V_2) \to S(V_1)$ is a G–homotopy equivalence which is freely G–normally cobordant to the identity, giving an element $\sigma = \sigma(f) \in L_0^h(\mathbf{Z}G)$. Then $V_1 \oplus \mathbf{R}_- \oplus \mathbf{R}_+ \sim_t V_2 \oplus \mathbf{R}_- \oplus \mathbf{R}_+$ if and only if

$$trf_{\mathbf{R}_-\oplus\mathbf{R}_+}(\sigma) = 0 \in L_2^h(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-\oplus\mathbf{R}_+,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$$

by Theorem 3.5. But $L_2^h(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-\oplus\mathbf{R}_+,G}(\mathbf{Z})) = L_1^p(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$, so we may regard the criterion as the vanishing of $trf_{\mathbf{R}_-}(\sigma) \in L_1^p(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ instead.

The main commutative diagram is:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^1(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))) \arrow[rr, bend left, "\delta^2"] \arrow[dr] & & H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))) \arrow[rr, bend left] \arrow[dr] & & L_0^s(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \\
& L_1^h(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \arrow[ur] \arrow[dr] & & H^1(\Delta_{\mathbf{R}_-}) \arrow[ur] \arrow[dr] & \\
L_1^s(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \arrow[ur] \arrow[rr, bend right] & & L_1^p(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \arrow[ur] \arrow[rr, bend right] & & H^0(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})))
\end{tikzcd} \tag{8.1}$$

where $H^1(\Delta_{\mathbf{R}_-})$ denotes the relative group of the double coboundary map. Notice that some of the groups in this diagram already appeared as relative L–groups in the last section. We have

$$L_1^h(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) = L_1^{k,h}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-)$$

and

$$L_1^s(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) = L_1^{h,\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H)}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-)\ .$$

By Lemma 7.1 (i) this group is torsion–free and the preceeding term $H^0(\Delta_{\mathbf{R}_-})$ is 2-torsion. Hence $L_1^s(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ injects into $L_1^p(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$, and we conclude that the torsion subgroup of $L_1^p(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ injects into $H^1(\Delta_{\mathbf{R}_-})$.

However, since $\mathrm{Res}_H(\sigma) = 0 \in L_0^h(\mathbf{Z}H)$, Lemma 7.1 (iii) states that $\mathit{trf}_{\mathbf{R}_-}(\sigma)$ is a torsion element. Furthermore, its image in $H^1(\Delta_{\mathbf{R}_-})$ is zero if and only if

$$\{\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)\} \in H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-))$$

lies in the image of the double coboundary, and this completes the proof of Theorem B.

We conclude this section with an important property of the relative group $H^1(\Delta_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-})$ of the double coboundary

$$\delta^2 \colon H^1(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))) \to H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})))$$

which we will refer to as *Galois invariance*. For G a cyclic 2-group, we establish a similar statement for the image of $H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)/\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H))$ in the relative group $H^1(\Delta_{\mathbf{R}_-})$. This sharper version will be used in determining the non-linear similarities for cyclic 2-groups.

Lemma 8.2. *Let W be a complex G–representation, with $W^G = 0$, containing all the non-trivial irreducible representations of G with isotropy of 2-power index.*

(i) *Automorphisms of G induce the identity on the image of $H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)/\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H))$ in the relative group $H^1(\Delta_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-})$ of the double coboundary.*

(ii) *If G is a cyclic 2-group, then automorphisms of G induce the identity on the image of $H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)/\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H))$ in the relative group $H^1(\Delta_{\mathbf{R}_-})$.*

Proof. The first step is to prove that the image of any $[u] \in H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)/\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H))$ in $H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-)) = H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})))$ equals the discriminant

$$\mathit{trf}_{\mathbf{R}_-}(\sigma) \in L_1^h(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$$

for some $\sigma \in L_0^h(\mathbf{Z}G)$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L_0^h(\mathbf{Z}G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathit{trf}_{\mathbf{R}_-}"'] & H^0(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G)) \arrow[d, "\mathit{trf}_{\mathbf{R}_-}"] \\
L_1^h(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \arrow[r] & H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-))
\end{tikzcd}$$

By Theorem 3.6, the K-theory transfer factors through the map induced by the cone point inclusion $c_* \colon H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)/\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H)) \to H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-))$. Since $L_0^h(\mathbf{Z}G) \to H^0(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G)$ is surjective, there exists $\sigma \in L_0^h(\mathbf{Z}G)$ with given discriminant $[u]$, and $\mathit{trf}_{\mathbf{R}_-}(\sigma)$

has discriminant $c_*([u])$. But the natural map $H^0(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G)) \to H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)/\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H))$ is also surjective, so the first step is complete. By naturality of the transfer

$$\mathit{trf}_W\colon L^h_1(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to L^h_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$$

($\dim W = 2k$), it follows that the image of $c_*([u])$ in $H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})))$ equals the discriminant of the element $\mathit{trf}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-}(\sigma)$.

Our assumption on W implies that $\mathit{trf}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-}(\sigma)$ is a torsion element (see [**II**], Section 4). We can therefore apply [**II**], Theorem 8.1(i): there exists a *torsion* element $\hat\sigma \in L^h_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$, such that $c_*(\hat\sigma) = \mathit{trf}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-}(\sigma)$. Now from the commutative diagram comparing cone point inclusions:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L^h_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "c_*"'] & H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-)) \arrow[d, "c_*"] \\
L^h_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \arrow[r] & H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))
\end{tikzcd}$$

we conclude that the image of $c_*([u])$ in $H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})))$ equals the image of the discriminant of $\hat\sigma \in L^h_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$. The braid diagram used in the proof of Theorem B above now shows that the image of $c_*([u])$ in $H^1(\Delta_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-})$ comes from the image of $\hat\sigma \in L^p_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ in $H^1(\Delta_{\mathbf{R}_-})$, via the natural map $H^1(\Delta_{\mathbf{R}_-}) \to H^1(\Delta_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-})$.

Finally we consider the cone point inclusion sequence

$$L^h_2(\mathcal{C}^{>0}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to L^p_1(\mathbf{Z}G^-) \to L^p_1(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to L^h_1(\mathcal{C}^{>0}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to L^p_0(\mathbf{Z}G^-)$$

The K–theory decoration on the relative group is the image of

$$\widetilde{K}_0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to \widetilde{K}_0(\mathcal{C}^{>0}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \cong K_{-1}(\mathbf{Z}H)$$

but since $K_{-1}(\mathbf{Z}H) \to K_{-1}(\mathbf{Z}G)$ is injective, that image is zero and we get L^h. Now $L^h_2(\mathcal{C}^{>0}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \cong L^p_1(\mathbf{Z}H) = 0$ and $L^h_1(\mathcal{C}^{>0}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \cong L^p_0(\mathbf{Z}H)$ is torsion–free. It follows that the cone point inclusion $L^p_1(\mathbf{Z}G^-) \to L^p_1(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ is an isomorphism onto the torsion subgroup. But by Corollary 6.3 the group $L^p_1(\mathbf{Z}G^-)$ is fixed by group automorphisms of G. This completes the proof of part (i).

In part (ii) we assume that G is a cyclic 2-group, so $K_{-1}(\mathbf{Z}K) = 0$ for all subgroups $K \subseteq G$. By [**II**], Corollary 6.9 we have an isomorphism $H^1(\Delta_{\mathbf{R}_-}) \cong H^1(\Delta_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-})$ and the proof is complete. □

9. Cyclic 2–Groups: Preliminary Results

For $G = C(2^r)$ a cyclic 2–group, we have stronger results because $K_{-1}(\mathbf{Z}G) = 0$. The results of this section prepare for a complete classification of stable and unstable non–linear similarities for cyclic 2–groups, and the computation of $R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G)$.

Lemma 9.1. (R. Oliver, [25]) *For $G = C(2^r)$, the cohomology groups $H^*(\mathbf{k}) = 0$, where $\mathbf{k} = \ker(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}H) \to \widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}G))$.*

Proof. We are indebted to R. Oliver for pointing out that this result follows from [25, Thm. 2.6], which states (in his notation):

$$D(Z[C(2^{n+3})) \cong \mathrm{Im}((5-\gamma)\hat\psi_n)$$

where $D(\mathbf{Z}G)$ is the kernel of the map induced by including $\mathbf{Z}G$ in a maximal order $\mathcal{M}$ in $\mathbf{Q}G$. By Weber's Theorem, the ideal class groups of 2-power cyclotomic fields have odd order, so to prove that $H^*(\mathbf{k}) = 0$ it is enough to show that $\ker(D(\mathbf{Z}H) \to D(\mathbf{Z}G)) = 0$.

The map $\hat{\psi}$ is the reduction $\bmod 2^{n+1}$ of a map $\psi_n \colon M^n \to M^n$ given by the formula

$$\psi_n(e_i) = \sum_{j=0}^{i} 2^{i-j} \gamma_{i-j}^{n-j} e_{n-j}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq n$, where $\{e_0, \dots, e_n\}$ is a basis for the direct sum $M^n = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_2[\Gamma_i] e_i$ and Γ_i denotes the cyclic group of automorphisms of $C(2^{i+2})$ generated by $\gamma(t) = t^5$.

To shorten Oliver's notation, we let $D[n+3] := D(Z[C(2^{n+3}))$ so $D[n+3]$ is identified with the subgroup $\operatorname{Im}((5-\gamma)\hat{\psi}_n)$ of $M^n/2^{n+1}M^n$. It is enough to see that the map

$$\operatorname{Ind} \colon D[n+3] \to D[n+4]$$

given by the subgroup inclusion induces an injection on this subgroup $\operatorname{Im}((5-\gamma)\hat{\psi}_n)$.

However the map Ind corresponds under the identification in [25, Thm. 2.4] with the explicit map $\operatorname{ind}(e_i) = \gamma_i^{i+1} e_{i+1}$ (see last paragraph of [25, §2]). Using this explicit formula, we need to check that $x \in \ker(\hat{\psi}_{n+1} \circ \operatorname{ind})$ implies that $x \in \ker \hat{\psi}_n$. Suppose that $x = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i e_i \in M^n$. Then

$$\psi_{n+1}(\operatorname{ind}(x)) = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i 2^{i+1} \gamma_i^{i+1} \gamma_{i+1}^{n+1} \right) e_{n+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left(\sum_{i=j}^{n} a_i 2^{i-j} \gamma_i^{i+1} \gamma_{i-j}^{n-j} \right) e_{n-j}$$

after re-arranging the summations, and

$$\psi_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left(\sum_{i=j}^{n} a_i 2^{i-j} \gamma_{i-j}^{n-j} \right) e_{n-j} \ .$$

We can then use the formulas after [25, Lemma 1.1] to check that

$$\gamma_i^{i+1} \gamma_{i-j}^{n-j} = 2\gamma_{i-j}^{n-j} \in \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_2[\Gamma_{n-j}]$$

for $0 \leqq j \leqq n$. Since $M^{n+1}/2^{n+2}M^{n+1}$ is a direct sum of the group rings $\mathbf{Z}/2^{n+2}[\Gamma_i]$, it follows that $\psi_{n+1}(\operatorname{ind}(x)) \equiv 0 \bmod 2^{n+2}$ implies $\psi_n(x) \equiv 0 \bmod 2^{n+1}$. $\square$

Our results for cyclic 2-groups can now be improved, starting with similarities with an $\mathbf{R}_-$ but no $\mathbf{R}_+$ summand.

Theorem 9.2. *Let $V_1 = t^{a_1} + \cdots + t^{a_k}$ and $V_2 = t^{b_1} + \cdots + t^{b_k}$ be free G–representations, where $G = C(2^r)$. Let W be a complex G–representation with no $\mathbf{R}_+$ or $\mathbf{R}_-$ summands.*

(i) *If $\operatorname{Res}_H(V_1 \oplus W) \oplus \mathbf{R}_+ \sim_t \operatorname{Res}_H(V_2 \oplus W) \oplus \mathbf{R}_+$, then $V_1 \oplus W \oplus \mathbf{R}_- \sim_t V_2 \oplus W \oplus \mathbf{R}_-$ if and only if $S(V_1)$ is s–normally cobordant to $S(V_2)$ and $\{\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)\} = 0$ in $H^1(\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)/\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H))$.*

(ii) *If $\operatorname{Res}_H V_1 \cong \operatorname{Res}_H V_2$, then $V_1 \oplus W \oplus \mathbf{R}_- \sim_t V_2 \oplus W \oplus \mathbf{R}_-$ if and only if the class $\{\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)\} = 0$ in $H^1(\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-))$.*

Proof. Consider first the situation in part (i). By [**II**], Theorem 9.2, the image of the final surgery obstruction $trf_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-}(\sigma)$ in $L^h_1(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ is just the class $\{\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)\}$ considered as an element in $H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$. Moreover, the natural map

$$H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)/\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H)) \to H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$$

factors through $H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})))$, and the torsion subgroup of $L^h_1(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ injects into $H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})))$ by Lemma 7.1(ii). Therefore, $trf_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-}(\sigma)=0$ if and only if the Reidemeister torsion invariant vanishes in $H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})))$. But $H^*(\mathbf{k})=0$ so the natural map

$$H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)/\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H)) \to H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H\to\mathbf{Z}G^-)) \cong H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})))$$

induces an isomorphism. This proves part (i).

In part (ii), since $\mathrm{Res}_H V_1 \cong \mathrm{Res}_H V_2$, our Reidemeister torsion quotient represents an element $\{\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)\}\in H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-))$, and this group injects into $H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H\to\mathbf{Z}G^-))$. The vanishing of the surgery obstruction is now equivalent to $\{\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)\}=0$ in $H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-))$, by the argument above. □

Corollary 9.3. *The groups $G=C(2^r)$ have no 5–dimensional non–linear similarities.*

Proof. The Reidemeister torsion quotients for possible 5-dimensional similarities are represented by the units $U_{1,i}$ which form a basis of $H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-))$ (see [5], [8, p.733]). □

Higher–dimensional similarities of cyclic 2–groups were previously studied in the 1980's. The 6–dimensional case was worked out in detail for cyclic 2–groups in [8], and general conditions *A–D* were announced in [7] for the classification of non–linear similarities for cyclic 2-groups in any dimension. However, in Example 9.7 we give a counterexample to the necessity of [7, Condition B], and this invalidates the claimed solution. Our next result concerns similarities with both $\mathbf{R}_-$ and $\mathbf{R}_+$ summands.

Theorem 9.4. *Let $V_1=t^{a_1}+\cdots+t^{a_k}$ and $V_2=t^{b_1}+\cdots+t^{b_k}$ be free G–representations, where $G=C(2^r)$. Let W be a complex G–representation with no $\mathbf{R}_+$ summands. Then there exists a topological similarity $V_1\oplus W\oplus\mathbf{R}_-\oplus\mathbf{R}_+\sim_t V_2\oplus W\oplus\mathbf{R}_-\oplus\mathbf{R}_+$ if and only if*

- (i) *$S(V_1)$ is s–normally cobordant to $S(V_2)$,*
- (ii) *$\mathrm{Res}_H(V_1\oplus W)\oplus\mathbf{R}_+\sim_t\mathrm{Res}_H(V_2\oplus W)\oplus\mathbf{R}_+$, and*
- (iii) *the element $\{\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)\}$ is in the image of the double coboundary*

$$\delta^2\colon H^1(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}H\to\mathbf{Z}G^-))\to H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H\to\mathbf{Z}G^-))\ .$$

Corollary 9.5. *There is a stable topological similarity $V_1\approx_t V_2$ if and only if $S(V_1)$ is s–normally cobordant to $S(V_2)$ and $\mathrm{Res}_K\{\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)\}$ is in the image of the double coboundary δ^2_K for all subgroups $K\subseteq G$.*

Remark 9.6. The double coboundary δ^2_K in this statement is the one for the subgroup K with respect to an index two subgroup $K_1<K$. Corollary 9.5 follows from Theorem 9.4 and [**II**], Proposition 7.6. Notice that Theorem 9.4 also gives a way to construct the stable similarity, assuming that the conditions are satisfied. In the most complicated case, one would need all proper subgroups of G appearing as isotropy groups in W. This will be explained precisely in Section 11.

The proof of Theorem 9.4. By [**II**], Corollary 6.9 the double coboundary

$$\delta^2_W \colon H^1(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathcal{C}_{W_{max}\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))) \to H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{W_{max}\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})))$$

is isomorphic to

$$\delta^2 \colon H^1(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-)) \to H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-))$$

under the cone point inclusion. The result now follows from Theorem C. □

We conclude this section with two examples showing methods of constructing non–linear similarities.

Example 9.7. For $G = C(2^r)$, $r \geqq 5$, the element $(t^9 + t^{1+2^{r-2}} - t - t^{9+2^{r-2}})$ lies in $\widetilde{R}^{free}_t(G)$. To prove this assertion, note that $(t^9 + t^{1+2^{r-2}} - t - t^{9+2^{r-2}})$ lies in $\widetilde{R}^{free}_n(G)$ by applying [7, Condition A′]. Let $V_1 = t^9 + t^{1+2^{r-2}}$, $V_2 = t + t^{9+2^{r-2}}$ and let W denote the complex 2–dimensional representation with isotropy of index 4. The surgery obstruction in $L^p_3(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ is zero by a similar argument to that given in [8, p.734], based on the fact that $9(1+2^{r-2}) \equiv 1 \bmod 8$ when $r \geqq 5$, and invariance under group automorphisms (similar to [8, 4.1]). The Reidemeister torsion invariant

$$u = \Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2) = \frac{(t^9-1)(t^{1+2^{r-2}}-1)}{(t-1)(t^{9+2^{r-2}}-1)}$$

can be written in the form $u = \alpha(v)v$ where

$$v = \frac{(t^\ell-1)(t^{1+2^{r-2}}-1)}{(t-1)(t^{\ell+2^{r-2}}-1)}$$

for $\ell^2 \equiv 9 \bmod 2^r$ and $\ell \equiv 1 \bmod 4$. The Galois automorphism is $\alpha(t) = t^\ell$. Once again we justify these formulas by appeal to a pull–back diagram for the group ring. Since the surgery obstruction is determined by the image of u in $H^1(\Delta_{\mathbf{R}_-})$, which has exponent 2, and group automorphisms of G induce the identity on this group by Lemma 8.2(ii), the element $(t^9 + t^{1+2^{r-2}} - t - t^{9+2^{r-2}})$ lies in $\widetilde{R}^{free}_t(G)$.

We note that this gives a counterexample to the *necessity* of [7, Condition B] for non-linear similarities. If $f \colon S(V_1) \to S(V_2)$ is a G-homotopy equivalence, then the Whitehead torsion $\tau(f) = \Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2) \in \mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G)$, but its restriction to $\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H)$ is given by $U_{9,1+2^{r-2}} = U_{1,9}(U_{1,1})^{-1}$, and this is *not* a square, since it is non–trivial in $H^0(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H))$ by the results of Cappell–Shaneson on units (see [8, p.733]).

Example 9.8. According to [7, Thm. 2], the element $t - t^5$ has order 2^{r-2} in $R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G)$ for $G = C(2^r)$, $r > 3$, and order 4 for $G = C(8)$. We will verify this claim using our methods. Note that $2^{r-2}(t-t^5) \in \widetilde{R}^{free}_h(G)$, for $r \geqq 3$ and this is the smallest multiple that works. A short calculation using [7, Condition A′] (done in [**II**], Lemma 12.5) also shows that $2^{r-2}(t-t^5) \in \widetilde{R}^{free}_n(G)$, and it remains to consider the surgery obstruction.

For $r = 3$ it follows from [8, Cor.(iii)] that $2(t-t^5)$ does not give a 6–dimensional similarity. By Theorem 9.4 it follows that $2(t-t^5) \notin R_t(G)$, but is contained in $R_n(G)$. Since the surgery obstruction has exponent 2, we get $4(t-t^5) \approx_t 0$ as claimed.

To handle the general case, let $U_1 = 2^{r-3}t$ and $U_2 = 2^{r-3}t^5$ be the free representations over $H = C(2^{r-1})$, and let $V_1 = 2^{r-3}t$ and $V_2 = 2^{r-3}t^5$ be the corresponding free representations over $G = C(2^r)$. Notice that $\mathrm{Ind}_H(U_1 - U_2) = (V_1 - V_2) + (V_1^\tau - V_2^\tau)$ where τ is the group automorphism $\tau(t) = t^{1+2^{r-1}}$. By [8, Cor(iii)] we have $2t \approx_t 2t^{1+2^{r-1}}$ and $2t^5 \approx_t 2t^{5+2^{r-1}}$, whenever $r \geqq 4$. Therefore, $2^{r-2}t = V_1 \oplus V_1 \approx_t V_1 \oplus V_1^\tau$ and $2^{r-2}t^5 = V_2 \oplus V_2 \approx_t V_2 \oplus V_2^\tau$.

For $r > 3$, the assertion is that $2^{r-2}(t - t^5) \in \widetilde{R}_t^{free}(G)$ already. We prove this by induction starting with $2(t - t^5)$ in $R_n(C(8))$. Suppose that $2^{r-3}(t - t^5) \in R_n(H)$ for $H = C(2^{r-1})$, where $r > 3$. Then $\operatorname{Ind}_H(\Delta(U_1)/\Delta(U_2)) = 0$ in $H^1(\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)/\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H))$, since we are dividing out exactly the image of Ind_H. If $r = 4$, it follows from Theorem 9.4 that $\operatorname{Ind}_H(U_1 - U_2) \in R_t(C(16))$. For $r \geqq 5$ we conclude by induction that $\operatorname{Ind}_H(U_1 - U_2) \in R_t(G)$, for $G = C(2^r)$ since $R_t(G)$ is closed under induction from subgroups. Now the calculation above for $\operatorname{Ind}_H(U_1 - U_2)$ shows that $2^{r-2}(t - t^5) \in \widetilde{R}_t^{free}(G)$ for $r \geqq 4$.

10. The proof of Theorem E

Our next example is the computation of $R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G)$ for $G = C(2^r)$. We first choose a nice basis for $\widetilde{R}^{free}(G)$. Let

$$a_s^{(i)}(r) = t^{5^i} - t^{5^{2^{r-s-2}+i}}, \quad \text{for } 0 \leqq i < 2^{r-s-2} \text{ and } 1 \leqq s \leqq r-2$$

and let σ denote the automorphism of G given by $\sigma(t) = t^5$. It is easy to check that the $\{a_s^{(i)}(r)\}$ give an additive basis for $\widetilde{R}^{free}(G)$. For later use, we let $\alpha_s(r) = [a_s^{(0)}(r)]$ and $\beta_s(r) = [a_s^{(1)}(r)]$ denote elements in $\widetilde{R}_{\mathrm{Top}}^{free}(G)$ for $1 \leqq s \leqq r-2$. When the order 2^r of G is understood, we will just write α_s, β_s. These elements admit some stable non–linear similarities, and behave well under induction and restriction.

Lemma 10.1. *We have the following relations.*

(i) $\operatorname{Res}_H(a_s^{(i)}(r)) = a_{s-1}^{(i)}(r-1)$ *for* $0 \leqq i < 2^{r-s-2}$ *and* $s \geqq 2$.
(ii) $\operatorname{Res}_H(a_1^{(i)}(r)) = 0$.
(iii) $a_s^{(i)}(r) \approx_t a_s^{(i+2)}(r)$ *for* $0 \leqq i < 2^{r-s-2} - 2$, *with* $1 \leqq s \leqq r-4$ *and* $r \geqq 5$.
(iv) $0 \neq \alpha_1(r) + \beta_1(r) \in \widetilde{R}_{n,\mathrm{Top}}^{free}(G)$ *for* $r \geqq 4$, *but* $2(\alpha_1(r) + \beta_1(r)) = 0$.
(v) $\operatorname{Ind}_H(a_{s-1}^{(i)}(r-1)) = 2a_s^{(i)}(r) - a_1^{(i)}(r) + a_1^{(2^{r-s-2}+i)}(r)$ *for* $2 \leqq s \leqq r-2$.
(vi) $2^{s-2}(\alpha_s(r) - \beta_s(r)) \notin \widetilde{R}_{n,\mathrm{Top}}^{free}(G)$ *for* $s \geqq 2$.
(vii) $2^{s-1}(\alpha_s(r) + \beta_s(r)) = 0$ *for* $2 \leqq s < r-2$ *and* $r \geqq 5$.
(viii) $2^s(\alpha_s(r)) = 0$ *for* $1 \leqq s \leqq r-2$ *and* $r \geqq 4$.

Proof. The first two parts are immediate from the definitions. Part (iii) uses the Cappell–Shaneson trick described in Example 9.7. Let

$$u(a, b; c, d) = \frac{(t^{5^a} - 1)(t^{5^b} - 1)}{(t^{5^c} - 1)(t^{5^d} - 1)}$$

This element represents a unit in $\mathbf{Z}G$ provided that $a + b \equiv c + d \bmod 2^{r-2}$. As mentioned in Section 2, we can calculate in a pull–back square for $\mathbf{Z}G$ over the corner where these elements become cyclotomic units. Notice that $u(a, b; c, d)^{-1} = u(c, d; a, b)$ and $\sigma(u(a, b; c, d) = u(a + 1, b + 1; c + 1, d + 1)$. Consider the units $u = u(i, 2^{r-s-2} + i + 2; 2^{r-s-2} + i, i + 2)$ associated to the Reidemeister torsion quotient $\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)$ for the element $a_s^{(i)}(r) - a_s^{(i+2)}(r)$. We can write $u = \sigma(v)v$ where $v = u(i, 2^{r-s-2} + i + 1; i + 1, 2^{r-s-2} + i)$ also represents a unit in $\mathbf{Z}G$. Since $\dim V_i = 4$ the spheres $S(V_1)$ and $S(V_2)$ are G–normally cobordant. Then we restrict to H and use induction on r, starting with $r = 4$ where the similarity follows from [8, Cor.(iii),p.719]. Theorem 9.4 and Galois invariance of the surgery obstruction under the action of σ (by Lemma 8.2(ii)) completes the inductive step.

The non–existence of a 6–dimensional similarity in Part(iv) follows from the calculation [8, Cor.(iii),p.719]. Theorem 9.4 shows that there is no higher dimensional similarity.

Part (v) is again immediate, and Part (vi) is an easy calculation showing that the element $2^{s-2}(\alpha_s(r) - \beta_s(r)) \in \widetilde{R}_h^{free}(G)$ fails the first congruence condition in [35, Thm.1.2], which for this case is the same as the congruence on the sum of the squares of the weights given in [7, Condition A$'$]. Part (viii) follows from part (v) and induction on the order of G. In Example 9.8 we did the case $s = r - 2$. For $s \leqq r - 3$ we induce up from the similarity $2\alpha_1(r-s+1) = 0$ provided by [8, p.719], which applies since we now have $r-s+1 \geqq 4$. Part (vii) is proved in a similar way, using part (v), starting from the element $\alpha_1(r-1)+\beta_1(r-1)$ for $r \geqq 5$. Inducing this element in $\widetilde{R}_{n,\mathrm{Top}}^{free}(C(2^{r-1}))$ gives

$$2(\alpha_2(r) + \beta_2(r)) + a_1^{(2^{r-4})}(r) - a_1^{(0)}(r) + a_1^{(2^{r-4}+1)}(r) - a_1^{(1)}(r)$$

and applying part (iii) now gives $2(\alpha_2(r) + \beta_2(r)) = 0$. The required similarities for $s > 2$ are obtained by inducing up from this one, and using the relations $2a_1^{(i)}(r) = 0$ again to remove the lower terms in the formula from part (v). □

The proof of Theorem E. We already have the generators and relations claimed in the statement of Theorem E, so it remains to eliminate all other possible relations. In Example 9.8 we proved that $\widetilde{R}_{\mathrm{Top}}^{free}(C(8)) = \mathbf{Z}/4$ generated by $\alpha_1 = t - t^5$, where $0 \neq 2\alpha_1 \in \widetilde{R}_{n,\mathrm{Top}}^{free}(C(8)$. For $G = C(16)$, we have

$$\widetilde{R}_{\mathrm{Top}}^{free}(C(16)) = \langle \alpha_2, \alpha_1, \beta_1 \rangle$$

and we observe that the elements $2\alpha_2 + \alpha_1$ and $2\alpha_2 + \beta_1$ are not Galois invariant, and hence do not lie in $\widetilde{R}_{n,\mathrm{Top}}^{free}(G)$ by [**II**], Theorem 13.1(iii). Therefore $\widetilde{R}_{\mathrm{Top}}^{free}(C(16)) = \mathbf{Z}/4 \oplus \mathbf{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}/2$ as claimed in Theorem E.

We now assume the result for $H = C(2^{r-1})$, with $r \geqq 5$. By applying the inductive assumption, it is not difficult to give generators for the subgroup $\ker \mathrm{Res}_H \cap \widetilde{R}_{h,\mathrm{Top}}^{free}(G)$. Indeed, a generating set consists of the elements (type I)

$$\langle 2^{\ell-1}\alpha_\ell + \alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \beta_1 \mid 2 \leqq \ell \leqq r - 2 \rangle$$

together with the elements (type II)

$$\langle 2^{\ell-2}(\alpha_\ell - \beta_\ell) \mid 3 \leqq \ell \leqq r - 3 \rangle,$$

where the type II elements appear for $r \geqq 6$. Notice that none of the generators (except $\alpha_1 + \beta_1$) are in $\widetilde{R}_{n,\mathrm{Top}}^{free}(G)$, and all the generators have exponent 2.

Let $\gamma_\ell = 2^{\ell-1}\alpha_\ell + \alpha_1$ and consider an linear relation among type I elements of the form

$$\sum n_\ell \gamma_\ell + \epsilon(\alpha_1 + \beta_1) = 0$$

If $\#\{n_\ell \neq 0\}$ is odd, then the left side is not Galois invariant, so it can't be a relation. On the other hand, if $\#\{n_\ell \neq 0\}$ is even, then we can write the first term as a sum of terms $2^{\ell_1-1}\alpha_{\ell_1} - 2^{\ell_2-1}\alpha_{\ell_2} \in \widetilde{R}_h^{free}(G)$ with each $\ell_i \geqq 2$. Suppose first that $\epsilon = 1$. If the first sum on the lefthand side was in $\widetilde{R}^{free}_{n,\mathrm{Top}}(G)$, then its surgery obstruction would be a square and hence trivial by Theorem 9.4. This contradicts the fact that $\alpha_1 + \beta_1 \neq 0$.

We are left with the possibility that $\#\{n_\ell \neq 0\}$ is even and $\epsilon = 0$. Suppose that $\ell_0 \leqq r-3$ is the minimal index such that $n_{\ell_0} = 1$, and write the left side as

$$2^{\ell_0 - 1}\big[\sum_{\ell > \ell_0} n_\ell 2^{\ell-\ell_0}\alpha_\ell + \alpha_{\ell_0}\big] = 0\ .$$

However, if we call the term in brackets ω and restrict it $(\ell_0 - 1)$ steps to the subgroup of index 2^{ℓ_0-1} in G, we get

$$\sum_{\ell > \ell_0} n_\ell 2^{\ell-\ell_0}\alpha_{\ell-\ell_0+1} + \alpha_1$$

and this is not Galois invariant. We can arrange the signs of the coefficients n_ℓ so that $\omega \in \widetilde{R}^{free}_{h,\mathrm{Top}}(G)$. Since twice this element is trivial, its normal invariant order equals 2. Now by [**II**], Theorem 13.1(iv) we conclude that the normal invariant order (over G) of the bracketed term ω must be 2^{ℓ_0}. Hence there is no relation of this form.

Next we let $\xi_\ell = 2^{\ell-2}(\alpha_\ell - \beta_\ell)$, and suppose that we have a relation of the form

$$\sum_{\ell \geq \ell_0} n_\ell \xi_\ell = 0$$

where ℓ_0 is the minimal non-zero coefficient index as before. If $\#\{n_\ell \neq 0\}$ is odd, then the left-hand side fails the first congruence test for the normal invariant and so it can't be a relation. If $\#\{n_\ell \neq 0\}$ is even we write the left side as $2^{\ell_0-2}\omega$, with $\omega \in \widetilde{R}^{free}_{h,\mathrm{Top}}(G)$, and restrict ω down to a subgroup K of index $2^{\ell_0-2} \leqq 2^{r-5}$. The restriction has the form

$$\mathrm{Res}_K(\omega) = \sum_{\ell > \ell_0} n_\ell 2^{\ell-\ell_0}(\alpha_{\ell-\ell_0+2} - \beta_{\ell-\ell_0+2}) + (\alpha_2 + \beta_2)$$

Since $\#\{n_\ell \neq 0\}$ is even, this can be re-written as a sum $\mathrm{Res}_K(\omega) = \theta - \sigma(\theta)$ with $\theta \in \widetilde{R}^{free}_{h,\mathrm{Top}}(K)$. If $\mathrm{Res}_K(\omega) \in \widetilde{R}^{free}_{n,\mathrm{Top}}(K)$, this would imply that its surgery obstruction was trivial, hence $\mathrm{Res}_K(\omega) = 0$. But restriction one more step down gives $\alpha_1 + \beta_1 \neq 0$ and we have a contradiction. It follows that the normal invariant order of $\mathrm{Res}_K(\omega)$ equals 2, and that of ω is 2^{ℓ_0-1} so the original relation was trivial.

We are left with the possibility of further relations among the type I and type II elements of the form

$$\sum n_\ell \gamma_\ell + \sum m_\ell \xi_\ell + \epsilon(\alpha_1 + \beta_1) = 0.$$

It is easy to reduce to a relation of the form

$$\sum n_\ell 2^{\ell-1}\alpha_\ell + \sum m_\ell 2^{\ell-2}(\alpha_\ell - \beta_\ell) = 0$$

where $\#\{n_\ell \neq 0\} = 2\cdot\nu$ is even and $\#\{m_\ell \neq 0\} + \nu$ is even. This follows from the Galois invariance and the fact that the p_1–obstruction for normal cobordism is non-trivial for the order 2 elements of the form $(2^{\ell_1-1}\alpha_{\ell_1} - 2^{\ell_2-1}\alpha_{\ell_2})$.

Now if ℓ_0 denotes the minimal index such that n_ℓ or m_ℓ is non–zero, we have two cases. First, if $m_{\ell_0} \neq 0$, we factor out 2^{ℓ_0-2} and restrict our relation to the subgroup K of index

2^{ℓ_0-2}. We obtain

$$2^{\ell_0-2}\big[\sum_{\ell\geqq\ell_0} n_\ell 2^{\ell-\ell_0+1}\alpha_{\ell-\ell_0+2}+\sum_{\ell>\ell_0} m_\ell 2^{\ell-\ell_0}(\alpha_{\ell-\ell_0+2}-\beta_{\ell-\ell_0+2})+(\alpha_2-\beta_2)\big]$$

If the normal invariant obstruction for the term in brackets vanishes, then its surgery obstruction is zero: when ν is even we can rewrite the sum of type II elements as above to get a Reidemeister torsion obstruction of the form $\theta-\sigma(\theta)$, with $\theta\in\widetilde{R}^{free}_{h,\mathrm{Top}}(K)$. The remaining type I terms are collected in pairs $(2^{\ell_1+1}\alpha_{\ell_1+2}-2^{\ell_2+1}\alpha_{\ell_2+2})$ whose surgery obstructions are squares. When ν is odd, we replace $\alpha_2-\beta_2$ by the expression $(\alpha_2-2^t\alpha_{t+2})-(\beta_2-2^t\beta_{t+2})+2^t(\alpha_{t+2}-\beta_{t+2})$ whose torsion has the form $\theta-\sigma(\theta)$ plus a square, and continue as for ν even. The vanishing of this surgery obstruction contradicts $(\alpha_1+\beta_1)\neq 0$ on restricting one step further down.

In the remaining case, if $n_{\ell_0}\neq 0$ and $m_{\ell_0}=0$, we factor out 2^{ℓ_0-1} and restrict to the subgroup of index 2^{ℓ_0-1}. As above, the other factor is not Galois invariant and we get a contradiction to the existence of a normal invariant. This completes the proof. □

11. Non–Linear Similarity for Cyclic 2-Groups

In this final section we will apply our previous results to give explicit necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a non-linear similarity $V_1\oplus W\sim_t V_2\oplus W$ for representations of finite cyclic 2-groups. The main result is Theorem 11.6.

Lemma 11.1. *Let $G=C(2^r)$ be a cyclic 2-group. A basis for $\widetilde{R}^{free}_t(C(8))$ is given by $4(t-t^5)$. A basis for $\widetilde{R}^{free}_t(G)$, $r\geqq 4$, is given by the elements*

- (i) *$2^s\alpha_s(r)$ for $1\leqq s\leqq r-2$, and*
- (ii) *$2(\alpha_1(r)+\beta_1(r))$,*

together with (provided $r\geqq 5$) the elements

- (iii) *$2^{s-1}(\alpha_s(r)+\beta_s(r))$ for $2\leqq s\leqq r-3$, and*
- (iv) *$\gamma_s^{(i)}(r)=(a_s^{(i)}(r)-a_s^{(i+2)}(r))$ for $0\leqq i<2^{r-s-2}-2$ and $1\leqq s\leqq r-4$.*

Proof. This an immediate consequence of Theorem E. □

The next step is to rewrite the basis is a more convenient form. It will be useful to introduce some notation for certain subsets of $\widetilde{R}^{free}(G)$. Let

$$\mathcal{A}(r)=\{2\alpha_1(r),2(\alpha_1(r)+\beta_1(r))\}$$

for $r\geqq 4$ and set $\mathcal{A}(3)=\{4\alpha_1(3)\}$. Next, let

$$\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(r)=\{2\alpha_1(r),\alpha_1(r)+\beta_1(r)\}$$

for $r\geqq 4$, and let $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(3)=\{2\alpha_1(3)\}$. Finally, let

$$\mathcal{C}(r)=\{\gamma_s^{(i)}(r)\,|\,0\leqq i<2^{r-s-2}-2 \text{ and } 1\leqq s\leqq r-4\}$$

when $r\geqq 5$, and otherwise $\mathcal{C}(r)=\emptyset$.

Recall the notation G_k for the subgroup of index 2^k in G. We let Ind_k denote induction of representations from G_k to G, and Res_k denote restriction of representations from G_k to G_{k+1}. Define

$$\mathcal{B}(r)=\{\mathrm{Ind}_k(\chi)\,|\,\chi\in\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(r-k)\}$$

for $r \geqq 4$ and $0 < k < r-2$. Note that $x \in \mathcal{B}(r)$ implies that $x = \mathrm{Ind}_k(\chi)$ and $\mathrm{Res}_k(\chi) = 0$.

Lemma 11.2. *The free abelian group $\widetilde{R}_t^{free}(G)$ has an integral basis given by the elements in the set $\mathcal{A}(r) \cup \mathcal{B}(r) \cup \mathcal{C}(r)$.*

Proof. This follows easily from the relations in Lemma 10.1, particularly the induction formula

$$\mathrm{Ind}_H(a_{s-1}^{(i)}(r-1)) = 2a_s^{(i)}(r) - (a_1^{(i)}(r) - a_1^{(2^{r-s-2}+i)}(r))$$

valid for $2 \leqq s \leqq r-2$. Notice that the second term on the right-hand side is just $\alpha_1(r) - \beta_1(r)$ if $s = r-2$, and otherwise it is a linear combination of the basis elements $\gamma_1^{(j)}(r)$. The result is easy for $r = 3$ or $r = 4$, and the inductively we assume it for $r-1$. Then $\mathrm{Ind}_H(2^{s-1}\alpha_{s-1}(r-1)) = 2^s\alpha_s(r)$, plus terms in $\ker \mathrm{Res}_H$ which are all contained in the span of $\mathcal{A}(r)$ and $\mathcal{C}(r)$. Similarly,

$$\mathrm{Ind}_H(2^{s-2}(\alpha_{s-1}(r-1) + \beta_{s-1}(r-1))) = 2^{s-1}(\alpha_s(r) + \beta_s(r)),$$

plus terms in the span of $\mathcal{A}(r)$ and $\mathcal{C}(r)$. This shows that integral linear combinations of the set $\mathcal{A}(r) \cup \mathcal{B}(r) \cup \mathcal{C}(r)$ span $\widetilde{R}_t^{free}(G)$. Since $\mathrm{Res}_H(\gamma_s^{(i)}(r)) = \gamma_{s-1}^{(i)}(r-1)$, $\mathrm{Res}_H \circ \mathrm{Ind}_H = 2$, and $\mathcal{A}(r) \subset \ker \mathrm{Res}_H$, we conclude by induction that there are no non-trivial integral relations among the elements of $\mathcal{A}(r) \cup \mathcal{B}(r) \cup \mathcal{C}(r)$. ☐

Using the basis given in Lemma 11.2, we now define the set of *weights* $\theta(x) = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_\ell\}$ of an element $x \in \widetilde{R}_t^{free}(G)$. This will be a subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, r-2\}$ arranged in strictly ascending order. It will be used to identify the minimal set of isotropy subgroups needed for the construction of a non-linear similarity for $x \in \widetilde{R}_t^{free}(G)$.

Definition 11.3. The weights for $x \in \mathcal{A}(r) \cup \mathcal{B}(r) \cup \mathcal{C}(r)$ are given as follows:

(i) If $x \in \ker \mathrm{Res}_H$ then $\theta(x) = \{1\}$.
(ii) If $x = \mathrm{Ind}_k(2\alpha_1(r-k))$, for $r > r-k \geqq 4$, then $\theta(x) = \{k+1\}$.
(iii) If $x = \mathrm{Ind}_k(\alpha_1(r-k) + \beta_1(r-k))$, for $r > r-k \geq 4$, or $x = \mathrm{Ind}_k(2\alpha_1(3))$, then $\theta(x) = \{k, k+1\}$.
(iv) If $x = \gamma_s^{(i)}(r)$, then $\theta(x) = \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$.

If $x = \sum n_\ell$ is an integral linear combination of elements $\omega_\ell \in \mathcal{A}(r) \cup \mathcal{B}(r) \cup \mathcal{C}(r)$, then $\theta(x) = \bigcup\{\theta(\omega_\ell) \mid n_\ell \neq 0\}$.

In other words, after collecting the indices of the subgroups involved in the unique linear combination of basis elements for x, we arrange them in ascending order ignoring repetitions to produce $\theta(x)$.

Definition 11.4. We say that an element $x \in \widetilde{R}_t^{free}(G)$ is *even* if $x = \sum n_\ell \omega_\ell$, $\omega_\ell \in \mathcal{A}(r) \cup \mathcal{B}(r) \cup \mathcal{C}(r)$, has $n_\ell \equiv 0 \bmod 2$ whenever one of the following holds:

(i) $\omega_\ell = 2\alpha_1(r) \in \mathcal{A}(r)$, or
(ii) $\omega_\ell \in \mathcal{C}(r)$.

Otherwise, we say the element x is *odd*. An element x has *mixed* type if x is even, but $n_\ell \not\equiv 0 \bmod 2$ for some $\omega_\ell = \mathrm{Ind}(2\alpha_1(r-k))$ with $r > r-k \geqq 4$. Such an element x has *depth* equal to the minimum k for which x contains a constituent $\mathrm{Ind}(2\alpha_1(r-k))$ with odd multiplicity.

Lemma 11.5. *An element* $x = [V_1 - V_2] \in \widetilde{R}_t^{free}(G)$ *is even if and only if its Reidemeister torsion invariant* $\{\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)\} = 0$ *in* $H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)/\,\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H))$*.*

Proof. The Reidemeister torsion invariants for elements of $\ker \mathrm{Res}_H$ lie in $H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-))$, which has a basis of units $U_{1,i}$ for $1 \leqq i < 2^{r-1}$ and $i \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ (see [5, §5]). In particular, $\{\Delta(2\alpha_1(r))\} = U_{1,1} \neq 0$, and $\{\Delta(\alpha_1(r) + \beta_1(r))\} = U_{1,5} \neq 0$, but $\{\Delta(2(\alpha_1(r) + \beta_1(r)))\} = U_{1,5}^2 = 0$ in $H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-))$. Note that $H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-))$ injects into $H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)/\,\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H))$.

Suppose first that $x = [V_1 - V_2] \in \widetilde{R}_t^{free}(G)$ is even. It follows that its Reidemeister torsion invariant is either a square or induced up from H, so $\{\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)\} = 0$ in $H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)/\,\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H))$. Conversely, suppose that $x \in \widetilde{R}_t^{free}(G)$ has an odd coefficient n_ℓ for $\omega_\ell = 2\alpha_1(r)$ or $\omega_\ell = \gamma_1^{(i)}(r)$ in $\ker \mathrm{Res}_H$. In these cases, $\{\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)\} \neq 0$ in $H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)/\,\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H))$.

Finally, suppose that n_ℓ is odd for some $\omega_\ell = \gamma_s^{(i)}(r) \in \mathcal{C}(r)$ with $s \geqq 2$. If the Reidemeister torsion invariant for ω_ℓ were trivial in $H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)/\,\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H))$, then its image under the twisted restriction map would also be trivial. This is the map defined by composing the twisting isomorphism

$$H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)/\,\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H)) \cong H^0(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G)/\,\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H))$$

with Res_H, followed by another twisting isomorphism at the index two level. But

$$\mathrm{Res}_H(\gamma_s^{(i)}(r)) = \gamma_{s-1}^{(i)}(r-1)\ ,$$

so after restricting $s-1$ steps we arrive at $\gamma_1^{(i)}(r-s+1) \in \ker \mathrm{Res}_{s-1}$, and a contradiction as in the previous case. □

For $2 \leqq i \leqq r-2$, let W_i denote any irreducible 2-dimensional real representation of G with isotropy group G_i, let $W_1 = \mathbf{R}_-$, and let $W_0 = \mathbf{R}_+$. The terms defined in Definitions 11.3 and 11.4 will be used in the statement of our classification result.

Theorem 11.6. *Let* $G = C(2^r)$ *and* V_1, V_2 *be free* G*-representations. Suppose that* $x = [V_1 - V_2] \in \widetilde{R}_t^{free}(G)$*. Then* $V_1 \oplus W \sim_t V_2 \oplus W$ *for a given* G*-representation* W *if and only if the representation* W *contains:*

(i) *a summand* W_k *for each* $k \in \theta(x)$*,*
(ii) *a summand* W_t *for some* $0 \leqq t \leqq depth(x)$ *when* x *has mixed type, and*
(iii) *a summand* $\mathbf{R}_+$ *when* x *is odd.*

Proof. The sufficiency of the given conditions follows immediately from Theorem 9.4, the basic list of 6-dimensional similarities in [8, Thm.1 (iii)], and the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L^h_{2k}(\mathbf{Z}H) \arrow[r, "i_*"] \arrow[d, "trf_{\mathrm{Res}_H W}"'] & L^h_{2k}(\mathbf{Z}G) \arrow[d, "trf_W"'] \\
L^h_{2k+m}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Res}\,W,H}(\mathbf{Z})) \arrow[r, "i_*"] & L^h_{2k+m}(\mathcal{C}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z}))
\end{tikzcd}$$

or the corresponding L^p version if W contains an $\mathbf{R}_+$ sub-representation. The summand $\mathbf{R}_+$ will be unnecessary exactly when $\{\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)\} = 0$ in $H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)/\,\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H))$, by Theorem 9.2. By Lemma 11.5 this happens precisely when x is even.

For all elements $[V_1 - V_2] \in \widetilde{R}_t^{free}(H)$ we have $\dim V_i = 2k \equiv 0 \bmod 4$. To handle the surgery obstructions of induced representations, note that

$$\{\Delta(\operatorname{Ind} V_1)/\Delta(\operatorname{Ind} V_2)\} = i_* \{\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)\} \in H^0(\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G))$$

for any $x = [V_1 - V_2] \in \widetilde{R}_t^{free}(H)$. For the surgery obstruction of an H-homotopy equivalence $f\colon S(V_2) \to S(V_1)$ we have the relation

$$\sigma(\operatorname{Ind}(f)) = i_*(\sigma(f)) + i_*(\beta) \in L_0^h(\mathbf{Z}G)$$

for some $\beta \in L_0^s(\mathbf{Z}H)$. It follows that

$$i_*(trf_{\operatorname{Res} W}(\sigma(f))) = trf_W(\sigma(\operatorname{Ind}(f))) \in L_m^h(\mathcal{C}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$$

provided that $\operatorname{Res} W$ contains an $\mathbf{R}_-$ summand. This formula gives the existence of unstable similarities for elements $\operatorname{Ind}_k(\chi) \in \mathcal{B}(r)$ under the given conditions on W.

The necessity of condition (i) follows immediately from [17, Thm. 5.1], once we relate the odd p-local components used there to our setting. The surgery obstruction group $L_{2k}^h(\mathbf{Z}G)$ has a natural splitting, after localizing at any odd prime, indexed by the divisors of $|G| = 2^r$. An element $\sigma \in L_{2k}^h(\mathbf{Z}G)$ of infinite order will have a non-trivial projection in the "top" 2^r-component provided that $\operatorname{Res}_H(\sigma) = 0$. This applies to our basis elements $2\alpha_1(r)$, $2(\alpha_1(r) + \beta_1(r))$, $4\alpha_1(3)$, and $\gamma_1^{(i)}(r)$. If any of these occurs as a constituent in x, a summand $\mathbf{R}_-$ is necessary to produce the non-linear similarity.

More generally, σ will have a non-trivial projection in the 2^{r-k}-component for $k > 0$ provided that $\operatorname{Res}_{G_k}(\sigma)$ is non-zero but $\operatorname{Res}_{G_{k+1}}(\sigma) = 0$. In this case the representation W must contain at least one summand W_{k+1} which restricts to $\mathbf{R}_-$ for the subgroup G_k. To see this we restrict the surgery obstruction for our element $x = [V_1 - V_2]$ to each G_k for $k+1 \in \theta(x)$ and apply [17, Thm. 5.1]. This establishes part of condition (i) for x containing any one of the basis elements $\operatorname{Ind}_k(\chi) \in \mathcal{B}(r)$. We will deal below with the necessity of the additional summand W_k for the basis elements $\operatorname{Ind}_k(\alpha_1(r-k) + \beta_1(r-k))$, or $\operatorname{Ind}_k(2\alpha_1(3))$, having $\theta = \{k, k+1\}$.

For the elements $\gamma_s^{(i)}(r)$ with $s \geqq 2$, we use the relation $(2x - \operatorname{Ind}_H(\operatorname{Res}_H x)) \in \ker \operatorname{Res}_H$ and the induction formula in Lemma 10.1 again. This shows that the elements $\gamma_s^{(i)}(r)$ have a non-trivial projection into each component of index $\leqq 2^s$, and we again apply [17, Thm. 5.1]. Condition (i) is now established for any x containing some $\gamma_s^{(i)}(r)$ as a constituent.

Condition (ii) applies only to elements x of mixed type. These contain a constituent $\operatorname{Ind}_k(2\alpha_1(r-k))$, for $r - k \geqq 4$, with odd multiplicity. It says that W must contain a summand which restricts to a sum of $\mathbf{R}_+$ representations over the subgroup G_k. Suppose if possible that $W = W_{k+1} \oplus U$ where U has isotropy groups contained in G_{k+1}. Then $K_1(\mathcal{C}_{W_{k+1},G}(\mathbf{Z})) \cong K_1(\mathcal{C}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ by [**II**], Lemma 6.1, and

$$\operatorname{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{W_{k+1},G}(\mathbf{Z})) \cong \operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G)/\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G_{k+1})\ .$$

However, the results of [5, Prop. 1.2] show that the inclusion induces an injection

$$H^0(\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G_k)/\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G_{k+1})) \to H^0(\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G)/\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G_{k+1}))\ .$$

It follows that the surgery obstruction $trf_W(\sigma)$ is non-zero, contradicting the existence of such a non-linear similarity.

To see that a summand W_k is also necessary when x has a constituent of the form $\mathrm{Ind}_k(\alpha_1(r-k)+\beta_1(r-k))$, $r \geqq 4$, or $\mathrm{Ind}_k(2\alpha_1(3))$, we start with the argument of the last paragraph again. It shows that W must contain some W_t for $t \leqq k$. However the representations W_t with $t < k$ all restrict to $\mathbf{R}_+$ or $\mathbf{R}_+^2$ over G_k, so it is enough to eliminate similarities of the form $W = W_2 \oplus \mathbf{R}_+$ for G with $k = 1$. Restriction from G to G_{k-1} then gives the general case. However, a non-linear similarity of this form is ruled out by considering the surgery obstruction $trf_W(\mathrm{Ind}_H(\sigma)) \in L_2^p(\mathcal{C}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$. Over the subgroup H the representation $\mathrm{Res}_H W_2 = \mathbf{R}_-^2$, and the surgery obstruction $trf_{\mathrm{Res}_H W}(\sigma)$ is computed from the twisting diagrams tabulated in [19, Appendix 2]. In particular, it is non-zero in $L_2^p(\mathbf{Z}H)/L_2^p(\mathbf{Z}K)$ (see [19, Table 2: $U \to U$, p.123]), which injects into $L_2^p(\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Res}_H W,H}(\mathbf{Z}))$, where K denotes the subgroup of index 4 in G. Since

$$0 \to L_2^p(\mathbf{Z}G)/L_2^p(\mathbf{Z}K) \to L_2^p(\mathcal{C}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to L_0^p(\mathbf{Z}K) \to 0$$

we check that the inclusion $H \subset G$ induces an injection

$$L_2^p(\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Res}_H W,H}(\mathbf{Z})) \to L_2^p(\mathcal{C}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$$

and hence the surgery obstruction $trf_W(\sigma) \neq 0$ from the commutative diagram above. □

References

[1] M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott, *A Lefschetz fixed-point formula for elliptic complexes II*, Ann. of Math. (2) **88** (1968), 451–491.

[2] A. Bak, *Odd dimension surgery groups of odd torsion groups vanish*, Topology **14** (1975), 367–374.

[3] S. E. Cappell and J. L. Shaneson, *Non-linear similarity*, Ann. of Math. (2) **113** (1981), 315–355.

[4] ———, *The topological rationality of linear representations*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **56** (1983), 309–336.

[5] ———, *Torsion in L-groups*, Algebraic and Geometric Topology, Rutgers 1983, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1126, Springer, 1985, pp. 22–50.

[6] ———, *Non-linear similarity and linear similarity are equivalent below dimension* 6, Tel Aviv Topology Conference: Rothenberg Festschrift (1998), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 59–66.

[7] S. E. Cappell, J. L. Shaneson, M. Steinberger, S. Weinberger, and J. West, *The classification of non-linear similarities over* $\mathbb{Z}/2^r$, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **22** (1990), 51–57.

[8] S. E. Cappell, J. L. Shaneson, M. Steinberger, and J. West, *Non-linear similarity begins in dimension six*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **111** (1989), 717–752.

[9] M. Cárdenas and E. K. Pedersen, *On the Karoubi filtration of a category*, K-theory **12** (1997), 165–191.

[10] D. Carter, *Localizations in lower algebraic K-theory*, Comm. Algebra **8** (1980), 603–622.

[11] S. C. Ferry and E. K. Pedersen, *Epsilon surgery Theory*, Novikov Conjectures, Rigidity and Index Theorems Vol. 2, (Oberwolfach, 1993), London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes, vol. 227, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995, pp. 167–226.

[12] I. Hambleton, *Projective surgery obstructions on closed manifolds*, Algebraic K-theory, Part II (Oberwolfach, 1980), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 967, Springer, Berlin, 1982, pp. 101–131.

[13] I. Hambleton and I. Madsen, *Actions of finite groups on* R^{n+k} *with fixed set* R^k, Canad. J. Math. **38** (1986), 781–860.

[14] ———, *On the computation of the projective surgery obstruction group*, K-theory **7** (1993), 537–574.

[15] I. Hambleton, R. J. Milgram, L. R. Taylor, and B. Williams, *Surgery with finite fundamental group*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **56** (1988), 349–379.

[16] I. Hambleton and E. K. Pedersen, *Bounded surgery and dihedral group actions on spheres*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1991), 105–126.

[17] ———, *Non-linear similarity revisited*, Prospects in Topology: (Princeton, NJ, 1994), Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 138, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1995, pp. 157–174.

[18] ———, *Topological equivalence of linear representations for cyclic groups*: **II**, Forum Math. (to appear).

[19] I. Hambleton, L. Taylor, and B. Williams, *An introduction to the maps between surgery obstruction groups*, Algebraic Topology (Aarhus, 1982), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1051, Springer, Berlin, 1984, pp. 49–127.

[20] ———, *Detection theorems in K-theory and L-theory*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **63** (1990), 247–299.

[21] I. Hambleton and L. R. Taylor, *A guide to the calculation of surgery obstruction groups*, Surveys in Surgery Theory, Volume I, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 145, Princeton Univ. Press, 2000, pp. 225–274.

[22] W-C. Hsiang and W. Pardon, *When are topologically equivalent representations linearly equivalent*, Invent. Math. **68** (1982), 275–316.

[23] I. Madsen and M. Rothenberg, *On the classification of G-spheres I: equivariant transversality*, Acta Math. **160** (1988), 65–104.

[24] J. Milnor, *Whitehead torsion*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **72** (1966), 358–426.

[25] R. Oliver, *Class groups of cyclic p-groups*, Mathematica **30** (1983), 26–57.

[26] ———, *Whitehead Groups of Finite Groups*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes, vol. 132, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1988.

[27] A. A. Ranicki, *The double coboundary*, Letter to B. Williams, available at the web page http://www.maths.ed.ac.uk/ aar/surgery/brucelet.pdf, 1981.

[28] ———, *Exact Sequences in the Algebraic Theory of Surgery*, Math. Notes, vol. 26, Princeton Univ. Press, 1981.

[29] ———, *The L-theory of twisted quadratic extensions*, Canad. J. Math. **39** (1987), 345–364.

[30] ———, *Lower K- and L-theory*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes, vol. 178, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1992.

[31] G. de Rham, *Sur les nouveaux invariants topologiques de M Reidemeister*, Mat. Sbornik **43** (1936), 737–743, Proc. International Conference of Topology (Moscow, 1935).

[32] ———, *Reidemeister's torsion invariant and rotations of S^n*, Differential Analysis, (Bombay Colloq.), Oxford University Press, London, 1964, pp. 27–36.

[33] R. Swan, *Induced representations and projective modules*, Ann. of Math. (2) **71** (1960), 267–291.

[34] C. T. C. Wall, *Surgery on Compact Manifolds*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.

[35] C. Young, *Normal invariants of lens spaces*, Canad. Math. Bull. **41** (1998), 374–384.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, MCMASTER UNIVERSITY
HAMILTON, ONT., CANADA, L8S 4K1
E-mail address: `ian@math.mcmaster.ca`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SUNY AT BINGHAMTON
BINGHAMTON, NY, 13901
E-mail address: `erik@math.binghamton.edu`

arXiv:math/0109036v2 [math.GT] 14 Apr 2004

TOPOLOGICAL EQUIVALENCE OF LINEAR REPRESENTATIONS FOR CYCLIC GROUPS: II

IAN HAMBLETON AND ERIK K. PEDERSEN

Abstract. In the two parts of this paper we prove that the Reidemeister torsion invariants determine topological equivalence of G–representations, for G a finite cyclic group.

1. Introduction

Let G be a finite group and V, V' finite dimensional real orthogonal representations of G. Then V is said to be *topologically equivalent* to V' (denoted $V \sim_t V'$) if there exists a homeomorphism $h\colon V \to V'$ which is G-equivariant. If V, V' are topologically equivalent, but not linearly isomorphic, then such a homeomorphism is called a non-linear similarity. These notions were introduced and studied by de Rham [24], [25], and developed extensively in [1], [2], [15], [16], and [5]. In the two parts of this paper, referred to as [**I**] and [**II**], we complete de Rham's program by showing that Reidemeister torsion invariants and number theory determine non-linear similarity for finite cyclic groups.

A G-representation is called *free* if each element $1 \neq g \in G$ fixes only the zero vector. Every representation of a finite cyclic group has a unique maximal free subrepresentation.

Theorem. *Let G be a finite cyclic group and V_1, V_2 be free G–representations. For any G–representation W, the existence of a non-linear similarity $V_1 \oplus W \sim_t V_2 \oplus W$ is entirely determined by explicit congruences in the weights of the free summands V_1, V_2, and the ratio $\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)$ of their Reidemeister torsions, up to an algebraically described indeterminacy.*

The notation and the indeterminacy are given in Section 2 and a detailed statement of results in Theorems A–E. This part of the paper contains the foundational results and calculations in bounded algebraic K- and L-theory needed to prove the main results on non-linear similarity. The study of non-linear similarities $V_1 \oplus W \sim_t V_2 \oplus W$ increases in difficulty with the number of isotropy types in W. We introduce a new method using excision in bounded surgery theory, based on the *orbit type filtration*, to organize and deal with these difficulties. We expect that this technique will be useful for other applications. Our most general results about non-linear similarity for arbitrary cyclic groups are Theorem C and its extensions (see Sections 9 and 10).

In Sections 3 and 13 we study the group $R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G)$ of G–representations modulo *stable* topological equivalences (see [2] where $R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ is computed). As an application of our general results, we determine the structure of the torsion in $R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G)$, for G any cyclic group (see Theorem 13.1), and in Theorem D we give the calculation of $R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G)$ for $G = C(4q)$,

---

Date: Feb. 19. 2004.

Partially supported by NSERC grant A4000 and NSF grant DMS 9104026. The authors also wish to thank the Max Planck Institut für Mathematik, Bonn, for its hospitality and support.

for q odd, correcting [5, Thm. 2]. One interesting feature is that Corollary 2.4 and Theorem D indicate a connection between the orders of the *ideal class groups* for cyclotomic fields and topological equivalence of linear representations.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Statement of Results 2
3. A splitting of $R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G)$ 7
4. A rational computation 9
5. Excision in bounded surgery theory 12
6. Calculations in bounded K-theory 17
7. The double coboundary 22
8. Calculations in bounded L–theory 25
9. The proof of Theorem C 30
10. Iterated $\mathbf{R}_-$ transfers 32
11. The proof of Corollary 2.4 36
12. The normal invariant 37
13. The proof of Theorem D 40

References 42

2. Statement of Results

For the reader's convenience, we recall some notation from Part **I**, and then give the main results of both parts. Theorems A and B are proved in Part **I** and Theorems C and D are proved in Part **II**. The proof of Theorem E is divided between the two parts.

Let $G = C(4q)$, where $q > 1$, and let $H = C(2q)$ denote the subgroup of index 2 in G. The maximal odd order subgroup of G is denoted G_{odd}. We fix a generator $G = \langle t \rangle$ and a primitive $4q^{th}$-root of unity $\zeta = \exp 2\pi i/4q$. The group G has both a trivial 1-dimensional real representation, denoted $\mathbf{R}_+$, and a non-trivial 1-dimensional real representation, denoted $\mathbf{R}_-$.

A *free* G–representation is a sum of faithful 1-dimensional complex representations. Let t^a, $a \in \mathbf{Z}$, denote the complex numbers $\mathbf{C}$ with action $t \cdot z = \zeta^a z$ for all $z \in \mathbf{C}$. This representation is free if and only if $(a, 4q) = 1$, and the coefficient a is well–defined only modulo $4q$. Since $t^a \cong t^{-a}$ as real G–representations, we can always choose the weights $a \equiv 1 \bmod 4$. This will be assumed unless otherwise mentioned.

Now suppose that $V_1 = t^{a_1} + \cdots + t^{a_k}$ is a free G–representation. The Reidemeister torsion invariant of V_1 is defined as

$$\Delta(V_1) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} (t^{a_i} - 1) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]/\{\pm t^m\} \ .$$

Let $V_2 = t^{b_1} + \cdots + t^{b_k}$ be another free representation, such that $S(V_1)$ and $S(V_2)$ are G-homotopy equivalent. This just means that the products of the weights $\prod a_i \equiv \prod b_i \bmod 4q$.

Then the Whitehead torsion of any G-homotopy equivalence is determined by the element

$$\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2) = \frac{\prod(t^{a_i}-1)}{\prod(t^{b_i}-1)}$$

since $\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G) \to \mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Q}G)$ is monic [18, p.14].

Let W be a finite-dimensional G-representation. A necessary condition for a non-linear similarity $V_1 \oplus W \sim_t V_2 \oplus W$ is the existence of a G-homotopy equivalence $f\colon S(V_2) \to S(V_1)$ such that $f * id\colon S(V_2 \oplus U) \to S(V_1 \oplus U)$ is freely G-normally cobordant to the identity map on $S(V_1 \oplus U)$, for *all* free G–representations U (see [**I**], Section 3). If V_1 and V_2 satisfy this condition, we say that $S(V_1)$ and $S(V_2)$ are *s–normally cobordant.* This condition for non-linear similarity can be decided by explicit congruences in the weights of V_1 and V_2 (see [31, Thm. 1.2]).

This quantity, $\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)$ is the basic invariant determining non-linear similarity. It represents a unit in the group ring $\mathbf{Z}G$, explicitly described for $G = C(2^r)$ by Cappell and Shaneson in [3, §1] using a pull-back square of rings. To state concrete results we need to evaluate this invariant modulo suitable indeterminacy.

The involution $t \mapsto t^{-1}$ induces the identity on $\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G)$, so we get an element

$$\{\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)\} \in H^0(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G))$$

where we use $H^i(A)$ to denote the Tate cohomology $H^i(\mathbf{Z}/2; A)$ of $\mathbf{Z}/2$ with coefficients in A.

Let $\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)$ denote the Whitehead group $\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G)$ together with the involution induced by $t \mapsto -t^{-1}$. Then for $\tau(t) = \frac{\prod(t^{a_i}-1)}{\prod(t^{b_i}-1)}$, we compute

$$\tau(t)\tau(-t) = \frac{\prod(t^{a_i}-1)\prod((-t)^{a_i}-1)}{\prod(t^{b_i}-1)\prod((-t)^{b_i}-1)} = \prod \frac{(t^2)^{a_i}-1}{((t^2)^{b_i}-1)}$$

which is clearly induced from $\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H)$. Hence we also get a well defined element

$$\{\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)\} \in H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)/\,\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H))\ .$$

This calculation takes place over the ring $\Lambda_{2q} = \mathbf{Z}[t]/(1 + t^2 + \cdots + t^{4q-2})$, but the result holds over $\mathbf{Z}G$ via the involution–invariant pull-back square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{Z}G \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Lambda_{2q} \arrow[d] \\
\mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{Z}/2] \arrow[r] & \mathbf{Z}/2q[\mathbf{Z}/2]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Consider the exact sequence of modules with involution:

$$K_1(\mathbf{Z}H) \to K_1(\mathbf{Z}G) \to K_1(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G) \to \widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}H) \to \widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}G) \tag{2.1}$$

and define $\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G) = K_1(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G)/_{\{\pm G\}}$. We then have a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G)/\,\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H) \to \mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G) \to \mathbf{k} \to 0$$

where $\mathbf{k} = \ker(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}H) \to \widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}G))$. Such an exact sequence of $\mathbf{Z}/2$-modules induces a long exact sequence in Tate cohomology. In particular, we have a coboundary map

$$\delta\colon H^0(\mathbf{k}) \to H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)/\,\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H))\ .$$

Our first result deals with isotropy groups of index 2, as is the case for all the non–linear similarities constructed in [1].

Theorem A. *Let* $V_1 = t^{a_1} + \cdots + t^{a_k}$ *and* $V_2 = t^{b_1} + \cdots + t^{b_k}$ *be free* G*–representations, with* $a_i \equiv b_i \equiv 1 \bmod 4$*. There exists a topological similarity* $V_1 \oplus \mathbf{R}_- \sim_t V_2 \oplus \mathbf{R}_-$ *if and only if*

(i) $\prod a_i \equiv \prod b_i \bmod 4q$,
(ii) $\operatorname{Res}_H V_1 \cong \operatorname{Res}_H V_2$, *and*
(iii) *the element* $\{\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)\} \in H^1(\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)/\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H))$ *is in the image of the coboundary* $\delta\colon H^0(\mathbf{k}) \to H^1(\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)/\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H))$.

Remark 2.2. The proof of this result is in Part **I**, but note that Condition (iii) simplifies for G a cyclic 2-group since $H^0(\mathbf{k}) = 0$ in that case (see [**I**], Lemma 9.1). Theorem A should be compared with [1, Cor.1], where more explicit conditions are given for "first-time" similarities of this kind under the assumption that q is odd, or a 2-power, or $4q$ is a "tempered" number. See also Theorem 9.2 for a more general result concerning similarities without $\mathbf{R}_+$ summands. The case $\dim V_1 = \dim V_2 = 4$ gives a reduction to number theory for the existence of 5-dimensional similarities (see [**I**], Remark 7.2).

Our next result uses a more elaborate setting for the invariant. Let

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Z}H & \rightarrow & \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_2 H \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{Z}G & \rightarrow & \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_2 G \end{pmatrix}$$

and consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to K_1(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G) \to K_1(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_2 H \to \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_2 G) \to K_1(\Phi) \to \widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G) \to 0 \ . \tag{2.3}$$

Again we can define the Whitehead group versions by dividing out trivial units $\{\pm G\}$, and get a double coboundary

$$\delta^2\colon H^1(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-)) \to H^1(\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-)) \ .$$

There is a natural map $H^1(\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)/\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H)) \to H^1(\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-))$. We will use the same notation

$$\{\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)\} \in H^1(\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-))$$

to denote the image of our Reidemeister torsion invariant. The non-linear similarities handled by the next result have isotropy of index ≤ 2.

Theorem B. *Let* $V_1 = t^{a_1} + \cdots + t^{a_k}$ *and* $V_2 = t^{b_1} + \cdots + t^{b_k}$ *be free* G*–representations. There exists a topological similarity* $V_1 \oplus \mathbf{R}_- \oplus \mathbf{R}_+ \sim_t V_2 \oplus \mathbf{R}_- \oplus \mathbf{R}_+$ *if and only if*

(i) $\prod a_i \equiv \prod b_i \bmod 4q$,
(ii) $\operatorname{Res}_H V_1 \cong \operatorname{Res}_H V_2$, *and*
(iii) *the element* $\{\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)\}$ *is in the image of the double coboundary*

$$\delta^2\colon H^1(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-)) \to H^1(\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-)) \ .$$

This result can be applied to 6-dimensional similarities.

Corollary 2.4. *Let* $G = C(4q)$*, with* q *odd, and suppose that the fields* $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_d)$ *have odd class number for all* $d \mid 4q$*. Then* G *has no* 6*-dimensional non-linear similarities.*

Remark 2.5. For example, the class number condition is satisfied for $q \leq 11$, but not for $q = 29$. The proof of the Corollary 2.4 is given in Section 11 assuming Theorem B, which is proved in Part **I**. This result corrects [5, Thm.1(i)], and shows that the computations of $R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G)$ given in [5, Thm. 2] are incorrect.

Our final example of the computation of bounded transfers is suitable for determining stable non–linear similarities inductively, with only a minor assumption on the isotropy subgroups. To state the algebraic conditions, we must again generalize the indeterminacy for the Reidemeister torsion invariant to include bounded K–groups (see Section 5). In this setting $\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G) = \widetilde{K}_0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ and $\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G) = \mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$. We consider the analogous double coboundary

$$\delta^2 \colon H^1(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))) \to H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})))$$

and note that there is a map $\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to \mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ induced by the inclusion on the control spaces. We will again use the same notation

$$\{\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)\} \in H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})))$$

for the image of the Reidemeister torsion invariant in this new domain.

Theorem C. *Let $V_1 = t^{a_1} + \cdots + t^{a_k}$ and $V_2 = t^{b_1} + \cdots + t^{b_k}$ be free G–representations. Let W be a complex G–representation with no $\mathbf{R}_+$ summands. Then there exists a topological similarity $V_1 \oplus W \oplus \mathbf{R}_- \oplus \mathbf{R}_+ \sim_t V_2 \oplus W \oplus \mathbf{R}_- \oplus \mathbf{R}_+$ if and only if*

(i) *$S(V_1)$ is s-normally cobordant to $S(V_2)$,*
(ii) $\mathrm{Res}_H(V_1 \oplus W) \oplus \mathbf{R}_+ \sim_t \mathrm{Res}_H(V_2 \oplus W) \oplus \mathbf{R}_+$, *and*
(iii) *the element $\{\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)\}$ is in the image of the double coboundary*

$$\delta^2 \colon H^1(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathcal{C}_{W_{max}\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))) \to H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{W_{max}\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))) \ ,$$

where $0 \subseteq W_{max} \subseteq W$ is a complex subrepresentation of real dimension ≤ 2, with maximal isotropy group among the isotropy groups of W with 2-power index.

Remark 2.6. The existence of a similarity $V_1 \oplus W \sim_t V_2 \oplus W$ implies that $S(V_1)$ and $S(V_2)$ are s-normally cobordant. In particular, $S(V_1)$ must be freely G-normally cobordant to $S(V_2)$ and this (unstable) normal invariant condition is enough to give us a surgery problem. Crossing with W defines the bounded transfer map

$$trf_W \colon L^h_{2k}(\mathbf{Z}G) \to L^h_{2k+\dim W}(\mathcal{C}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$$

introduced in [10]. The vanishing of the surgery obstruction is equivalent to the existence of a similarity (see [**I**], Theorem 3.5). The computation of the bounded transfer in L-theory leads to condition (iii), and an expression of the obstruction purely in terms of bounded K-theory. To carry out this computation we may need to stabilize in the free part, and this uses the s-normal cobordism condition.

Remark 2.7. Note that $W_{max} = 0$ in condition (iii) if W has no isotropy subgroups of 2-power index. Theorem C suffices to handle stable topological similarities, but leaves out cases where W has an odd number of $\mathbf{R}_-$ summands (handled in Theorem 9.2 and the results of Section 10). Simpler conditions can be given when $G = C(2^r)$ (see [**I**], Section 9).

The double coboundary in (iii) can also be expressed in more "classical" terms by using the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to \mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{W_{max}\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to K_1(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{W_{max}\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to 0 \tag{2.8}$$

derived in Corollary 6.9. We have $K_1(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{W_{max}\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) = K_{-1}(\mathbf{Z}K)$, where K is the isotropy group of W_{max}, and $\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) = \mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G)$. The indeterminacy in Theorem C is then generated by the double coboundary

$$\delta^2 \colon H^1(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-)) \to H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-))$$

used in Theorem B and the coboundary

$$\delta \colon H^0(K_{-1}(\mathbf{Z}K)) \to H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-))$$

from the Tate cohomology sequence of (2.8).

Finally, we apply these results to $R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G)$. Since its rank is known (see [2] or Section 4), it remains to determine its torsion subgroup. In Section 3, we will define a filtration

$$R_t(G) \subseteq R_n(G) \subseteq R_h(G) \subseteq R(G) \tag{2.9}$$

on the real representation ring $R(G)$, inducing a filtration on $R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G) = R(G)/R_t(G)$. Here the subgroup

$$R_t(G) = \{(V_1 - V_2) \mid V_1 \oplus W \sim_t V_2 \oplus W \text{ for some } W\}$$

is generated by stable topological similarity. Note that $R(G)$ has the following nice basis: $\{t^i, \delta, \epsilon \mid 1 \leqq i \leqq 2q-1\}$, where $\delta = [\mathbf{R}_-]$ and $\epsilon = [\mathbf{R}_+]$ (although we do not have $i \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ for all the weights).

Let $R^{free}(G) = \{t^a \mid (a, 4q) = 1\} \subset R(G)$ be the subgroup generated by the free representations. To complete the definition, we let $R^{free}(C(2)) = \{\mathbf{R}_-\}$ and $R^{free}(e) = \{\mathbf{R}_+\}$. Then inflation and fixed sets of representations defines an isomorphism

$$R(G) = \bigoplus_{K\subseteq G} R^{free}(G/K)$$

and this direct sum splitting can be intersected with $R_t(G)$ to define $R_t^{free}(G)$. We let $R_{\mathrm{Top}}^{free}(G) = R^{free}(G)/R_t^{free}(G)$. Since inflation and fixed sets preserve topological similarities, we obtain an induced splitting

$$R_{\mathrm{Top}}^{free}(G) = \bigoplus_{K\subseteq G} R_{\mathrm{Top}}^{free}(G/K) \ .$$

By induction on the order of G, we see that it suffices to study the summand $R_{\mathrm{Top}}^{free}(G)$.

Let $\widetilde{R}^{free}(G) = \ker(\mathrm{Res}\colon R^{free}(G) \to R^{free}(G_{odd}))$, and then project into $R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G)$ to define

$$\widetilde{R}_{\mathrm{Top}}^{free}(G) = \widetilde{R}^{free}(G)/R_t^{free}(G) \ .$$

In Section 4 we prove that $\widetilde{R}_{\mathrm{Top}}^{free}(G)$ is *precisely* the torsion subgroup of $R_{\mathrm{Top}}^{free}(G)$. Here is a specific computation (correcting [5, Thm. 2]).

Theorem D. *Let $G = C(4q)$, with $q > 1$ odd, and suppose that the fields $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_d)$ have odd class number for all $d \mid 4q$. Then $\widetilde{R}_{\mathrm{Top}}^{free}(G) = \mathbf{Z}/4$ generated by $(t - t^{1+2q})$.*

For any cyclic group G, we use normal cobordism and homotopy equivalence to define a filtration

$$R^{free}_t(G) \subseteq R^{free}_n(G) \subseteq R^{free}_h(G) \subseteq R^{free}(G)$$

leading by direct sum to the filtration of $R(G)$ mentioned above. Both $\widetilde{R}^{free}(G)/\widetilde{R}^{free}_h(G)$ and $\widetilde{R}^{free}_h(G)/\widetilde{R}^{free}_n(G)$ are torsion groups which can be explicitly determined by congruences in the weights (see Section 12 and [31, Thm.1.2]). The subquotient $\widetilde{R}^{free}_n(G)/R^{free}_t(G)$ always has exponent two (see Section 13).

We conclude this list of sample results with a calculation of $R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G)$ for cyclic 2-groups (see [**I**] for the proof).

Theorem E. *Let* $G = C(2^r)$, *with* $r \geqq 4$. *Then*

$$\widetilde{R}^{free}_{\mathrm{Top}}(G) = \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{r-2}, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{r-3} \rangle$$

subject to the relations $2^s\alpha_s = 0$ *for* $1 \leqq s \leqq r-2$, *and* $2^{s-1}(\alpha_s + \beta_s) = 0$ *for* $2 \leqq s \leqq r-3$, *together with* $2(\alpha_1 + \beta_1) = 0$.

The generators for $r \geqq 4$ are given by the elements

$$\alpha_s = t - t^{5^{2^{r-s-2}}} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_s = t^5 - t^{5^{2^{r-s-2}+1}}.$$

We remark that $\widetilde{R}^{free}_{\mathrm{Top}}(C(8)) = \mathbf{Z}/4$ generated by $t - t^5$. In [**I**], Theorem 11.6 we use this information to give a complete topological classification of linear representations for cyclic 2-groups.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to express their appreciation to the referee for many constructive comments and suggestions. In particular, the referee pointed out an improvement to the statement of Theorem E. If we let $\psi_i = \alpha_{i+1} + \beta_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r-4$, then $\widetilde{R}^{free}_{\mathrm{Top}}(C(2^r))$ is a direct sum of cyclic groups generated by $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r-2}, \beta_1$ and $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{r-4}$ where the order of the cyclic group generated by a basis element with subscript i is 2^i. This basis displays the group structure explicitly.

3. A splitting of $R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G)$

In this section we point out an elementary splitting of $R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G)$, and some useful filtrations. For G any finite group, we denote by $R(G)$ the *real* representation ring of G. Elements in $R(G)$ can be given as formal differences $(V_1 - V_2)$ of G–representations, and $(V_1 - V_2) \sim 0$ if and only if there exists a representation W such that $V_1 \oplus W \cong V_2 \oplus W$.

Notice that for K any normal subgroup of G, taking fixed sets gives a retraction of the inflation map

$$\mathrm{inf}_K \colon R(G/K) \to R(G)$$

defined by pulling back a G/K representation using the composition with the quotient map $G \to G/K$. More explicitly,

$$\mathrm{Fix}_K \colon R(G) \to R(G/K)$$

is defined by $\mathrm{Fix}_K(V_1 - V_2) = (V_1^K - V_2^K)$ for each normal subgroup $K \lhd G$. Then $\mathrm{Fix}_K \circ \mathrm{inf}_K = id \colon R(G/K) \to R(G/K)$.

Definition 3.1. A G–representation V is *free* if $V^K = \{0\}$ for all non–trivial normal subgroups $1 \neq K \lhd G$.

This is the same as the usual definition (no non–identity element of G fixes any non–zero vector) for cyclic groups. We let

$$R^{free}(G) = \bigcap \{\ker \mathrm{Fix}_K \mid 1 \neq K \lhd G\} \tag{3.2}$$

denote the subgroup of $R(G)$ such that there is a representative of the stable equivalence class $(V_1 - V_2)$ with V_1, V_2 free representations.

Proposition 3.3. *There is a direct sum splitting*

$$R(G) = \bigoplus_{K \lhd G} R^{free}(G/K)$$

indexed by the normal subgroups K in G.

Proof. Let $V(K)$ denote the G–invariant subspace given by the sum of all the irreducible sub–representations of V with kernel exactly K. This is a free G/K representation. The decomposition above is given by mapping $(V_1 - V_2)$ to the elements $(V_1(K) - V_2(K))$. □

Inside $R(G)$ we have the subgroup of stably topologically similar representations

$$R_t(G) = \{(V_1 - V_2) \mid V_1 \oplus W \sim_t V_2 \oplus W \text{ for some } W\} \tag{3.4}$$

and the quotient group is $R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G)$ by definition. We define $R_t^{free}(G) = R^{free}(G) \cap R_t(G)$. Since $R_t(G)$ is preserved by inflation and taking fixed sets, we obtain

Corollary 3.5. *There is a direct sum decomposition*

$$R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G) = \bigoplus_{K \lhd G} R_{\mathrm{Top}}^{free}(G/K)$$

where the summands are the quotients $R^{free}(G/K)\big/R_t^{free}(G/K)$.

We will also need a certain filtration of $R(G)$. First we define

$$R_h^{free}(G) = \{(V_1 - V_2) \mid S(V_1) \simeq_G S(V_2) \text{ for } V_1 \text{ and } V_2 \text{ free}\} \tag{3.6}$$

where $\simeq_G$ denotes G–homotopy equivalence. This is a subgroup of $R^{free}(G)$, in fact a sub–Mackey functor since it has induction and restriction for subgroups of G. We define

$$R_h(G) = \bigoplus_{K \lhd G} R_h^{free}(G/K) \tag{3.7}$$

If there exists a G–homotopy equivalence $f\colon S(V_1) \to S(V_2)$ such that

$$S(V_1 \oplus U) = S(V_1) * S(U) \xrightarrow{f*1} S(V_2) * S(U) = S(V_2 \oplus U)$$

is freely G–normally cobordant to the identity for all free G–representations U, then we say that $S(V_1)$ and $S(V_2)$ are s-normally cobordant, and we write $S(V_1) \doteqdot_G S(V_2)$. Define

$$R_n^{free}(G) = \{\alpha \in R_h^{free}(G) \mid \exists V_1, V_2 \text{ with } \alpha = (V_1 - V_2) \text{ and } S(V_1) \doteqdot_G S(V_2)\} \tag{3.8}$$

and note that $R_n^{free}(G)$ is also a subgroup of $R^{free}(G)$. Indeed, if $(V_1 - V_2)$ and $(V_1' - V_2')$ are in $R_n^{free}(G)$, there exist G-homotopy equivalences $f\colon S(V_1) \to S(V_2)$ and $f'\colon S(V_1') \to S(V_2')$ with $f*1$ and $f'*1$ normally cobordant to the identity under any stabilization. But $f*f' \simeq_G (1*f') \circ (f*1)$, so we just glue together the normal cobordisms for $f*1$ (after stabilizing by $U = V_1'$) and for $1{*}f'$ (after stabilizing by $U = V_2$) along the common boundary $id\colon S(V_2 \oplus V_1')$. As above, we define

$$R_n(G) = \bigoplus_{K \lhd G} R_n^{free}(G/K) \tag{3.9}$$

Since $R_t^{free}(G) \subseteq R_n^{free}(G)$, we have defined a filtration

$$R_t(G) \subseteq R_n(G) \subseteq R_h(G) \subseteq R(G) \tag{3.10}$$

of $R(G)$, natural with respect to restriction of representations. All the terms except possibly $R_n(G)$ are also natural with respect to induction of representations.

Remark 3.11. It follows from the proof of [31, 3.1] that $S(V_1 \oplus U_0)$ is s-normally cobordant to $S(V_2 \oplus U_0)$, for some free G-representation U_0, if and only if $S(V_1)$ is s-normally cobordant to $S(V_2)$. It follows that we could have used the latter condition to define $R_n^{free}(G)$.

4. A RATIONAL COMPUTATION

In this section we use [**I**], Theorem 3.5 and the splitting of the last section to describe the torsion subgroup of $R_{\mathrm{Top}}^{free}(G)$. We also give a new proof of Cappell and Shaneson's result computing $R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ for all finite groups G.

First we consider cyclic groups. Let $G = C(2^r q)$ be a cyclic group, where $q \geqq 1$ is odd. The Odd Order Theorem [15], [16] gives $R(G) = R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G)$ if $r \leqq 1$, and we recall the definition

$$\widetilde{R}_{\mathrm{Top}}^{free}(G) = \widetilde{R}^{free}(G)/R_t^{free}(G)$$

where $\widetilde{R}^{free}(G) = \ker\{\mathrm{Res}\colon R^{free}(G) \to R^{free}(G_{odd})\}$. Here $\widetilde{R}^{free}(C(2q)) = \widetilde{R}^{free}(C(q)) = 0$, for $q \geqq 1$ odd.

Theorem 4.1. *For G cyclic, the kernel and cokernel of*

$$\mathrm{Res}\colon R_{\mathrm{Top}}^{free}(G) \to R_{\mathrm{Top}}^{free}(G_{odd}) = R^{free}(G_{odd})$$

are 2–primary torsion groups.

Corollary 4.2. *Let $G = C(2^r q)$, q odd, be a finite cyclic group.*

- (i) *The torsion subgroup of $R_{\mathrm{Top}}^{free}(G)$ is $\widetilde{R}_{\mathrm{Top}}^{free}(G)$.*
- (ii) *The rank of $R_{\mathrm{Top}}^{free}(G)$ is $\varphi(q)/2$ (resp. 1) if $q > 1$ (resp. $q = 1$).*
- (iii) *We have the formula*

$$rank(R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G)) = \begin{cases} (r+1)\left\{\sum_{1 \neq d \mid q} \varphi(d)/2 + 1\right\}, & \text{for } q > 1 \\ (r+1) & \text{for } q = 1. \end{cases}$$

where $\varphi(d)$ is the Euler function.

Proof. The first part follows directly from Theorem 4.1. For parts (ii) and (iii) count the free representations of G_{odd}. □

Now let F be the composite of all subfields of $\mathbf{R}$ of the form $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta + \zeta^{-1})$ where $\zeta \in \mathbf{C}$ is an odd root of unity.

Lemma 4.3. *For G cyclic, the composition of the natural map $R_F(G) \to R(G)$ and* $\mathrm{Res}\colon R(G) \to R(G_{odd})$ *induces a p–local isomorphism $R_F^{free}(G) \to R^{free}(G_{odd})$, for any odd prime p.*

Proof. According to a result of Brauer [26, Thm.24] $R_F(G_{odd}) = R(G_{odd})$, and any representation of G can be realized over the field $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{|G|})$. In addition, the restriction map

$$\mathrm{Res}\colon R_F(G) \to R_F(G_{odd})$$

is a p–local surjection (since $\mathrm{Res}_{G_{odd}} \circ \mathrm{Ind}_{G_{odd}}$ is just multiplication by 2^r). But the rank of $R_F(G)$ given in [26, 12.4] equals the rank of $R(G_{odd})$, so we are done. □

Corollary 4.4. *For G cyclic and any odd prime p, the natural map $R_F(G) \to R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G)$ induces a p–local isomorphism.*

Proof. This follows from the Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 4.1. □

In [2], Cappell and Shaneson obtained the following result by a different argument. It computes the rank of $R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ for any finite group G.

Theorem 4.5. *Let F be the composite of all subfields of $\mathbf{C}$ of the form $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta + \zeta^{-1})$ where $\zeta \in \mathbf{C}$ is an odd root of unity. Then for any finite group G, the natural map $R_F(G) \to R(G)$ induces an isomorphism $R_F(G) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{(p)} \cong R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ for any odd prime p.*

Proof. The result holds for cyclic groups G by Corollary 4.4, and we apply induction theory to handle general finite groups.

First we observe that the Mackey functors $R(G) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ and $R_F(G) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ are generated by induction from p–elementary subgroups (see [26, Thm.27] and note that $\Gamma_F = \{\pm 1\}$). Therefore, by [18, 11.2] they are p–elementary computable in the sense of Dress induction theory [9]. We may therefore assume that G is p–elementary.

Now if G is p–elementary, it is a product of a p–group and a cyclic group prime to p. Any irreducible complex representation of G is then induced from a linear representation on a subgroup [26, Thm.16].

It follows that $R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G)$ is generated by generalized induction (i.e. inflation followed by induction) from cyclic subquotients. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
R_F(G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, tail, shift left, "\mathrm{Res}"] & R(G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, shift left] & R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G) \arrow[d, shift left] \\
\bigoplus\limits_C R_F(C) \arrow[r] \arrow[u, shift left, "\mathrm{Ind}"] & \bigoplus\limits_C R(C) \arrow[r] \arrow[u, shift left] & \bigoplus\limits_C R_{\mathrm{Top}}(C) \arrow[u, two heads, shift left]
\end{tikzcd}$$

It follows from Corollary 4.4 that the top composite map $R_F(G) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{(p)} \to R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G)) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ is surjective.

The sum of the (ordinary) restriction maps to cyclic subgroups induces a rational injection on $R_F(G)$ (see [28, 2.5,2.10]). Since $R_F(G)$ is torsion–free, it follows again from Corollary 4.4 that the map $R_F(G) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{(p)} \to R_{\mathrm{Top}}(G)) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ is injective. □

The proof of Theorem 4.1. Since an F–representation is free if and only if it is the sum of Galois conjugates of free G–representations, we can decompose $R_F(G)$ as in Section 3, and conclude that $R_F^{free}(G_{odd}) = R^{free}(G_{odd})$. It remains to show that $\widetilde{R}_{\mathrm{Top}}^{free}(G)$ is a torsion group with 2–primary exponent. For this we use the filtration of §3.

For $\widetilde{R}^{free}(G)/\widetilde{R}_h^{free}(G)$ this is easy since the k–invariant gives a homomorphism (via joins of free G–spheres) to $(\mathbf{Z}/2^r)^\times$ and this is a 2–group. The next quotient is also 2–primary torsion, by results of [31]: a sufficiently large 2–power join of a G–homotopy equivalence between two free G–spheres, which are linearly equivalent over G_{odd}, becomes s–normally cobordant to the identity. The point is that the normal invariant is detected by a finite number of 2–power congruences conditions among the Hirzebruch L–classes of the tangent bundles of the lens spaces, and this can be satisfied after sufficiently many joins.

Finally, the last quotient $\widetilde{R}_n^{free}(G)/\widetilde{R}_t^{free}(G)$ is shown to be 2–primary torsion in the next proposition. ☐

Proposition 4.6. *Let $G = C(2^r q)$, q odd, and assume*

$$\sigma \in \ker(\operatorname{Res}\colon L_{2k}^h(\mathbf{Z}G) \to L_{2k}^h(\mathbf{Z}G_{odd})).$$

Then there exists a complex representation W with $W^G = 0$ such that $trf_W(2^r\sigma) = 0$.

Proof. We will take $W = \mathbf{R}_- \oplus \mathbf{R}_- \oplus W_0$, where W_0 is the sum of all the irreducible 2–dimensional representations of G with isotropy of 2–power index. Note that the $\mathbf{R}_-$-transfer is just the compact I_- transfer of one-sided codimension 1 surgery followed by adding rays to infinity, so whenever the I_-transfer is 0, the $\mathbf{R}_-$-transfer will have to be 0. This was discussed in more detail in [**I**], Section 4.

Step 1: If G has odd order, there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, let $H \subset G$ be of index 2. If $\operatorname{Res}_H(\sigma) = 0$, then $trf_{\mathbf{R}_-}(\sigma) \in \operatorname{Im}(L_1^h(\mathbf{Z}G^-) \to L_1^h(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-))$. But $L_1^h(\mathbf{Z}G^-)$ has exponent 2 [14, 12.3], so $trf_{\mathbf{R}_-}(2\sigma) = 0$. Then take $W = \mathbf{R}_- \oplus \mathbf{R}_-$. Note that this case applies to $G = C(2q)$, so we can always get started.

Step 2: We may assume that $r \geqq 2$. If $\operatorname{Res}_H(\sigma) \neq 0$ note that

$$\operatorname{Res}_H(2\sigma - \operatorname{Ind}_H \operatorname{Res}_H(\sigma)) = 2\operatorname{Res}_H(\sigma) - 2\operatorname{Res}_H(\sigma) = 0.$$

By induction $\operatorname{Res}_H W_0$ works for $\operatorname{Res}_H(\sigma)$: say

$$trf_{\operatorname{Res}_H W_0}(2^{r-1}\operatorname{Res}_H(\sigma)) = 0$$

and $W_0^H = 0$. Let $\dim W_0 = m$ and consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L_{2k}(\mathbf{Z}G) \arrow[r, "\operatorname{Res}"] \arrow[d, "trf_{W_0}"'] & L_{2k}(\mathbf{Z}H) \arrow[r, "\operatorname{Ind}"] \arrow[d, "trf_{\operatorname{Res}_H W_0}"'] & L_{2k}(\mathbf{Z}G) \arrow[d, "trf_{W_0}"'] \\
L_{2k+m}(\mathcal{C}_{W_0,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \arrow[r, "\operatorname{Res}"] & L_{2k+m}(\mathcal{C}_{\operatorname{Res} W_0,H}(\mathbf{Z})) \arrow[r, "\operatorname{Ind}"] & L_{2k+m}(\mathcal{C}_{W_0,G}(\mathbf{Z})).
\end{tikzcd}$$

From this we get

$$2^{r-1} \cdot trf_{W_0}(\operatorname{Ind}_H \operatorname{Res}_H(\sigma)) = 0\ .$$

The first step implies that $trf_{\mathbf{R}_-^2}(2\sigma_1) = 0$, where $\sigma_1 = 2\sigma - \operatorname{Ind}_H \operatorname{Res}_H(\sigma)$. Let $W = \mathbf{R}_- \oplus \mathbf{R}_- \oplus W_0$, so that we have W complex and $W^G = 0$. Note that $trf_W = trf_{\mathbf{R}_-^2} \circ trf_{W_0} = trf_{W_0} \circ trf_{\mathbf{R}_-^2}$. But

$$2^r \cdot trf_W(\sigma) = 2^{r-1} trf_W(2\sigma - \operatorname{Ind}_H \operatorname{Res}_H(\sigma)) + 2^{r-1} trf_W(\operatorname{Ind}_H \operatorname{Res}_H(\sigma))$$

and both terms vanish (because $r \geqq 2$ and by the property of W_0 respectively). ☐

A similar argument to that in Step 2 above gives:

Proposition 4.7. *If* $\operatorname{Res}_H(\mathit{trf}_W(x)) = 0$ *for* $x \in L^h_0(\mathbf{Z}G)$*, then* $4 \cdot \mathit{trf}_{W\times \mathbf{R}_-}(x) = 0$.

Proof. Since

$$4 \cdot \mathit{trf}_{W\times \mathbf{R}_-}(\sigma) = 2 \cdot \mathit{trf}_W \mathit{trf}_{\mathbf{R}_-}(2\sigma - \operatorname{Ind}_H \operatorname{Res}_H(\sigma)) + 2 \cdot \mathit{trf}_{\mathbf{R}_-} \mathit{trf}_W(\operatorname{Ind}_H \operatorname{Res}_H(\sigma))$$

we conclude as above that both terms vanish. ☐

5. Excision in bounded surgery theory

A small additive category with involution $\mathcal{A}$ is a small additive category together with a contravariant endofunctor $*$ such that $*^2 = 1_{\mathcal{A}}$. Ranicki defines algebraic L–theory $L^h_*(\mathcal{A})$ for such categories and corresponding spectra $\mathbb{L}^h(\mathcal{A})$ with $L^h_*(\mathcal{A}) = \pi_*(\mathbb{L}^h(\mathcal{A}))$ [22]. The obstruction groups for bounded surgery are obtained this way for appropriately chosen additive categories. We shall also need a simple version of such groups. For this, the additive category must come equipped with a system of stable isomorphisms and a subgroup $s \subset K_1(\mathcal{A})$, such that any composition resulting in an automorphism defines an element in s. The point here is that whenever two objects are stably isomorphic, there is a canonically chosen stable isomorphism, canonical up to automorphisms defining elements of s. In this situation Ranicki refines the definition of $\mathbb{L}^h(\mathcal{A})$ to give the simple L–theory spectrum $\mathbb{L}^s(\mathcal{A})$, by requiring appropriate isomorphisms to give elements of $K_1(\mathcal{A})$ belonging to the subgroup s. More generally, we also get the $\mathbb{L}^a(\mathcal{A})$-spectra for any involution invariant subgroup a with $s \subset a \subset K_1(\mathcal{A})$, coinciding with $\mathbb{L}^h(\mathcal{A})$ when $a = K_1(\mathcal{A})$.

Example 5.1. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the category of free $\mathbf{Z}G$-modules with a G-invariant $\mathbf{Z}$-basis, and $\mathbf{Z}G$-module morphisms. Two objects are stably isomorphic if and only if they have the same rank. The preferred isomorphisms are chosen to be the ones sending a $\mathbf{Z}$-basis to a $\mathbf{Z}$-basis, so automorphisms define elements of $\{\pm G\} \subset K_1(\mathbf{Z}G)$. In this situation one obtains Wall's L^s-groups.

The theory of projective L–groups fits into the scheme as follows: one defines $\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{L}^h(\mathcal{A}^\wedge)$, where $\mathcal{A}^\wedge$ is the idempotent completion of $\mathcal{A}$. The objects of $\mathcal{A}^\wedge$ are pairs (A, p) with A an object of $\mathcal{A}$ and $p^2 = p$. The morphisms $\phi\colon (A, p) \to (B, q)$ are the $\mathcal{A}$-morphisms $\phi\colon A \to B$ with $q\phi p = \phi$. Again it is possible to "partially" complete $\mathcal{A}$. If $K_0(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathbf{k} \subset K_0(\mathcal{A}^\wedge)$ is an involution invariant subgroup , we define $\mathcal{A}^{\wedge k}$ to be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{A}^\wedge$ with objects defining elements of $\mathbf{k} \subset K_0(\mathcal{A}^\wedge)$. This way we may define $\mathbb{L}^k(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{L}(\mathcal{A}^{\wedge k})$. Similarly to the above, for $\mathbf{k} = K_0(\mathcal{A}) \subset K_0(\mathcal{A}^\wedge)$, $L^k_*(\mathcal{A})$ is naturally isomorphic to $L^h_*(\mathcal{A})$. The quotient $\widetilde{K}_0(\mathcal{A}) = K_0(\mathcal{A}^\wedge)/K_0(\mathcal{A})$ is called the reduced projective class group of $\mathcal{A}$.

Example 5.2. If $\mathcal{A}$ is the category of free $\mathbf{Z}G$-modules then $\mathcal{A}^\wedge$ is isomorphic to the category of projective $\mathbf{Z}G$-modules and the $L^p_*(\mathcal{A})$ are Novikov's original L^p-groups.

Suppose M is a metric space with the finite group G acting by isometries, R a ring with involution. In [10, 3.4] we defined an additive category $\mathcal{G}_{M,G}(R)$ with involution as follows:

Definition 5.3. An object A is a left $R(G)$-module together with a map $f\colon A \longrightarrow F(M)$, where $F(M)$ is the set of finite subsets of M, satisfying

(i) f is G-equivariant.
(ii) $A_x = \{a \in A \mid f(a) \subseteq \{x\}\}$ is a finitely generated free sub R-module
(iii) As an R-module $A = \bigoplus_{x \in M} A_x$
(iv) $f(a+b) \subseteq f(a) \cup f(b)$
(v) For each ball $B \subset M$, $\{x \in B \mid A_x \neq 0\}$ is finite.

A morphism $\phi\colon A \longrightarrow B$ is a morphism of RG-modules, satisfying the following condition: there exists k so that the components $\phi_n^m\colon A_m \longrightarrow B_n$ (which are R-module morphisms) are zero when $d(m,n) > k$. Then $\mathcal{G}_{M,G}(R)$ is an additive category in an obvious way. The full subcategory of $\mathcal{G}_{M,G}(R)$, for which all the object modules are required to be *free* left RG-modules, is denoted $\mathcal{C}_{M,G}(R)$.

Given an object A, an R-module homomorphism $\phi\colon A \longrightarrow R$ is said to be locally finite if the set of $x \in M$ for which $\phi(A_x) \neq 0$ is finite. We define $A^* = \mathrm{Hom}_R^{lf}(A, R)$, the set of locally finite R-homomorphisms. We want to make $*$ a functor from $\mathcal{G}_{M,G}(R)$ to itself to make $\mathcal{G}_{M,G}(R)$ a category with involution. We define $f^*\colon A^* \longrightarrow FM$ by $f^*(\phi) = \{x \mid \phi(A_x) \neq 0\}$ which is finite by assumption. A^* has an obvious right action of G turning it into a right RG module given by $\phi g(a) = \phi(ga)$, and f^* is equivariant with respect to the right action on M given by $xg = g^{-1}x$. To make $*$ an endofunctor of $\mathcal{G}_{M,G}(R)$ we need to replace the right action by a left action. We do this by the standard way in surgery theory by letting g act on the left by letting g^{-1} act on the right. In the unoriented case, given a homomorphism $w\colon G \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\}$, we let g act on the left of A^* by $w(g) \cdot g^{-1}$ on the right. The involution $*$ induces a functor on the subcategory $\mathcal{C}_{M,G}(R)$, so that $\mathcal{C}_{M,G}(R)$ is also a category with involution.

Example 5.4. Let $\rho_W\colon G \to O(W)$ be an orthogonal action of G on a finite dimensional real vector space W. We take $M = W$ with the action through ρ_W, and orientation character $\det(\rho_W)$. This will be called the *standard orientation* on $\mathcal{C}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z})$.

Remark 5.5. We will need to find a system of stable isomorphisms for the category $\mathcal{C}_{M,G}(R)$ to be able to do simple L–theory. To do this we choose a point x in each G-orbit, and an RG_x-basis for A_x, where G_x is the isotropy subgroup of x. We then extend that by equivariance to an R-basis of the module. Having a basis allows defining an isomorphism in the usual fashion. In each case we need to describe the indeterminacy in the choices coming from the choice of R-basis and points in the orbit. For our particular choices of M it will be easy to determine the subgroup s, so we will not formulate a general statement.

We will study the L–theory of the categories $\mathcal{C}_{M,G}(R)$ using excision. Let N be a G-invariant metric subspace of M. Denoting $\mathcal{C}_{M,G}(R)$ by $\mathcal{U}$, let $\mathcal{A}$ be the full subcategory on modules A so that $A_x = 0$ except for x in some bounded neighborhood of N. The category $\mathcal{A}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_{N,G}(R)$ in an obvious fashion. The quotient category $\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{A}$, which we shall denote by $\mathcal{C}_{M,G}^{>N}(R)$, has the same objects as $\mathcal{U}$ but two morphisms are identified if the difference factors through $\mathcal{A}$, or in other words, if they differ in a bounded neighborhood of N. This is a typical example of an additive category $\mathcal{U}$ which is $\mathcal{A}$-filtered in the sense of Karoubi. We recall the definition.

Definition 5.6. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a full subcategory of an additive category $\mathcal{U}$. Denote objects of $\mathcal{A}$ by the letters A through F and objects of $\mathcal{U}$ by the letters U through W. We say that $\mathcal{U}$ is $\mathcal{A}$-filtered, if every object U has a family of decompositions $\{U = E_\alpha \oplus U_\alpha\}$, so that

(i) For each U, the decompositions form a filtered poset under the partial order that $E_\alpha \oplus U_\alpha \leq E_\beta \oplus U_\beta$, whenever $U_\beta \subseteq U_\alpha$ and $E_\alpha \subseteq E_\beta$.
(ii) Every map $A \to U$, factors $A \to E_\alpha \to E_\alpha \oplus U_\alpha = U$ for some α.
(iii) Every map $U \to A$ factors $U = E_\alpha \oplus U_\alpha \to E_\alpha \to A$ for some α.
(iv) For each U, V the filtration on $U \oplus V$ is equivalent to the sum of filtrations $\{U = E_\alpha \oplus U_\alpha\}$ and $\{V = F_\beta \oplus V_\beta\}$ i.e. to $U \oplus V = (E_\alpha \oplus F_\beta) \oplus (U_\alpha \oplus V_\beta)$

The main excision results were proved in [19], [6], [7], [23]. We give a slight generalization of the L–theory results. Let $\mathbb{K}$ denote the Quillen K–theory spectrum, and $\mathbb{K}^{-\infty}$ its non-connective delooping (with the K_{-i}-groups as homotopy groups).

Theorem 5.7. *Let $\mathcal{U}$ be an $\mathcal{A}$-filtered additive category with involution. Consider the map $i\colon K_0(\mathcal{A}^\wedge) \to K_0(\mathcal{U}^\wedge)$ induced by inclusion, and let $k = i^{-1}(K_0(\mathcal{U}))$. There are fibrations of spectra*

$$\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A}^{\wedge k}) \to \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{U}) \to \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{A})$$

and

$$\mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{U}) \to \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{A})$$

If $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ admit compatible involutions there is a fibration of spectra

$$\mathbb{L}^k(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{L}^h(\mathcal{U}) \to \mathbb{L}^h(\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{A}) \ .$$

More generally, if

(i) *$a \subset K_i(\mathcal{A})$,$b \subset K_i(\mathcal{U}^\wedge)$, and $c \subset K_i((\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{A})^\wedge)$, for $i \leqq 1$,*
(ii) *$a = i^{-1}(b)$ and $b \to c$ is onto,*
(iii) *a, b, and c contain $K_0(\mathcal{A})$, $K_0(\mathcal{U})$ and $K_0(\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{A})$ respectively, if $i = 0$, and*
(iv) *a, b and c contain the indeterminacy subgroup given by the system of stable isomorphisms in the case $i = 1$,*

then we have a fibration of spectra

$$\mathbb{L}^a(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{L}^b(\mathcal{U}) \to \mathbb{L}^c(\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{A})$$

Proof. The K–theory statements are implicitly contained in [19]. A simpler, more modern proof and explicit statements are given in [6]. The first L–theory statement was proved in [7], and the other L–theory statements follow by the following argument: we have an exact sequence

$$K_0(\mathcal{A}^{\wedge k}) \to K_0(\mathcal{U}) \to K_0(\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{A}) \to 0,$$

where the map from $K_0(\mathcal{U}) \to K_0(\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{A})$ is onto because the categories have the same objects. Letting I denote the image of $K_1(\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{A})$ in $K_0(\mathcal{A}^{\wedge k})$, we consider the diagram of short exact sequences:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & I \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & K_0(\mathcal{A}^{\wedge k}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & K_0(\mathcal{U}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & K_0(\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{A}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & I \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & a \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & b' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & K_0(\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{A}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & I \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & a \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & b \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & c \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & I \arrow[r] & K_0(\mathcal{A}^{\wedge}) \arrow[r] & K_0(\mathcal{U}^{\wedge}) \arrow[r] & K_0((\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{A})^{\wedge}) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

The vertical arrows are either equalities or inclusions. We define b' simultaneously as the pullback of $a/I \to b \to c$ and as the pushout of $0 \to K_0(\mathcal{A}^{\wedge k})/I \to K_0(\mathcal{U}) \to K_0(\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{A}) \to 0$. We have

$$a/K_0(\mathcal{A}^{\wedge k}) \cong b'/K_0(\mathcal{U}),$$

so using the Ranicki–Rothenberg fibrations of spectra [23]

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{L}^k(\mathcal{A}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{L}^a(\mathcal{A}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{H}(a/K_0(\mathcal{A}^{\wedge k})) \arrow[d] \\
\mathbb{L}^h(\mathcal{U}) \arrow[r] & \mathbb{L}^{b'}(\mathcal{U}) \arrow[r] & \mathbb{H}(b'/K_0(\mathcal{U}))
\end{tikzcd}$$

we get a fibration

$$\mathbb{L}^a(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{L}^{b'}(\mathcal{U}) \to \mathbb{L}^h(\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{A}).$$

We now repeat this argument using the isomorphisms $b/b' \cong c/K_0(\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{A})$ to obtain the desired fibration of spectra. Since L^h-groups may be understood as simple L-groups with all of K_1 as allowed torsions, the above bootstrapping argument extends to fibrations of the L-spectra stated, using the isomorphism

$$K_1(\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{A})/\ker(\partial) \cong \mathrm{image}(\partial)$$

where ∂ is the boundary map $\partial\colon K_1(\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{A}) \to K_0(\mathcal{A}^{\wedge})$. □

In Section 10 we need to use bounded surgery groups with geometric anti–structure generalizing the definition of [**I**], Section 4 (see [10]). The new ingredient is a counterpart to the automorphism $\theta\colon H \to H$ at the metric space level.

Let $\theta_H\colon H \longrightarrow H$ be a group automorphism so that the data (H, θ_H, w, b) gives a geometric anti–structure on RH. Let $\theta_M\colon M \longrightarrow M$ be an isometry with the properties $\theta_M(g \cdot m) = \theta_H(g) \cdot \theta_M(m)$, $\theta_M^2(m) = bm$, and $\theta_H^2(g) = bgb^{-1}$.

Given an object $A \in \mathcal{G}_{M,H}(R)$, we have the functor $*$ from $\mathcal{G}_{M,H}(R)$ to itself so that $\mathcal{G}_{M,H}(R)$ is a category with involution. We may then twist the involution $*$ by composing with the functor sending (A, f) to (A^θ, f^θ) where A^θ is the same R-module, but g acts on the left by multiplication by $\theta(g)$ and $f^\theta = \theta_M^{-1} \cdot f$. This defines the bounded anti–structure on $\mathcal{G}_{M,H}(R)$ and on the subcategory $\mathcal{C}_{M,H}(R)$ of free RH modules.

Example 5.8. Bounded geometric anti–structures arise geometrically as above. The bounded $\mathbf{R}_-$ transfer sits in the long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}(5.8)\quad LN_n(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}),w\phi) \to L^h_n(\mathcal{C}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z}),w) \to L^h_{n+1}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}),w\phi)\\ \to LN_{n-1}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}),w\phi) \to L^h_{n-1}(\mathcal{C}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z}),w) \to \dots\end{aligned}$$

where $w = \det(\rho_W)$ is the standard orientation (see Example 5.4). The bounded LN–group

$$LN_n(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}),w\phi) \cong L_n(\mathcal{C}_{W,H}(\mathbf{Z}),\alpha,u)$$

where $\theta_W(x) = t\cdot x$ and $\theta_H(h) = tht^{-1}$ for a fixed $t \in G - H$.

Conversely, given a bounded geometric antistructure $(\theta_H, \theta_M, b, w)$, we can define $G = \langle H, t \mid t^{-1}ht = \theta_H(h), t^2 = b\rangle$ and $t\cdot m = \theta_M(m)$. Then $\mathcal{C}_{M,G}(R)$ induces $(\theta_H, \theta_M, b, w)$ as above, showing that all geometric antistructures arise by twisting and restricting to an index two subgroup.

The L-theory of these bounded geometric anti–structures also has a useful vanishing property which we now wish to formulate. We first give a basic construction.

Definition 5.9. If $\mathcal{A}$ is an additive category, then the *opposite category* $\mathcal{A}^{op}$ is the category with the same objects as $\mathcal{A}$ but $\hom_{\mathcal{A}^{op}}(A,B) = \hom_{\mathcal{A}}(B,A)$. The product category $\mathcal{A}\times\mathcal{A}^{op}$ is an additive category with involution given by $*\colon (A,B) = (B,A)$ on objects and $*\colon (\alpha,\beta) = (\beta,\alpha)$ on morphisms.

Clearly $K_i(\mathcal{A}^{op}) = K_i(\mathcal{A})$, so we can identify $K_i(\mathcal{A}\times\mathcal{A}^{op}) = K_i(\mathcal{A})\times K_i(\mathcal{A})$.

Lemma 5.10. *Let $b \subseteq K_i(\mathcal{A})$ for some $i \leqq 1$, and $q = b\times b \subseteq K_i(\mathcal{A}\times\mathcal{A}^{op})$. Then $L^q_n(\mathcal{A}\times\mathcal{A}^{op}) = 0$ for all n.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ denote the category with the same objects as $\mathcal{A}$, but with morphisms given by $\mathcal{A}$–isomorphisms. Then it suffices to prove that the quadratic category $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{A}\times\mathcal{A}^{op}) \simeq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ via the hyperbolic map (see [30, p.122]). This shows that $L^h_*(\mathcal{A}\times\mathcal{A}^{op}) = 0$ and other decorations follow trivially from the Ranicki Rothenberg exact sequences (note that the Tate cohomology $H^*(q) = 0$). The result for lower L–groups follows by replacing $\mathcal{A}$ by $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathcal{A})$.

Suppose $(\nu_1,\nu_2)\colon (A,B) \to (B,A)$ is a non–singular quadratic form representing an element in $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{A}\times\mathcal{A}^{op})$. This means that the bilinearization $\nu_1+\nu_2$ is an isomorphism, and we are allowed to change (ν_1,ν_2) by terms of the form $(\alpha,\beta)-(\beta,\alpha)$. We have

$$(\nu_1,\nu_2) + (\nu_2,0) - (0,\nu_2) = (\nu_1+\nu_2, 0)$$

and the right hand side is a hyperbolic form. □

We encounter the $\mathcal{A}\times\mathcal{A}^{op}$ situation in the following setting:

Example 5.11. Let $M = M_1\cup M_2$ be a metric space given as the union of two sub–metric spaces M_1 and M_2, where we denote $M_1\cap M_2$ by N. Suppose that

(i) G acts by isometries on M, such that each $g\in G$ preserves or switches M_1 and M_2 in this decomposition,
(ii) $H = \{g\in G \mid g(M_1) = M_1\}$ is an index two subgroup of G,
(iii) for every $k > 0$ there exists an $l > 0$ such that, if $x\in M_1$ (resp. $x\in M_2$) with $d(x,N) > l$, then $d(x,M_2) > k$ (resp. $d(x,M_1) > k$).

The category $\mathcal{C}_{M,H}(R)$ has a bounded geometric antistructure (α, u) given by $(\theta_H, \theta_M, b, w)$ as in Example 5.8, with $\theta_M(m) = t \cdot m$ and $\theta_H(h) = tht^{-1}$ for a fixed $t \in G - H$. Next, observe that the category

$$\mathcal{C}^{>N}_{M,H}(R) = \mathcal{C}^{>N}_{M_1,H}(R) \times \mathcal{C}^{>N}_{M_2,H}(R)$$

because of our separation condition (iii). Moreover, the functor $T\colon \mathcal{C}^{>N}_{M_1,H}(R) \to \mathcal{C}^{>N}_{M_2,H}(R)^{op}$ defined by $T(A, f) = (A^*, \theta_M^{-1} \circ f^*)$ on objects and $T(\phi) = \phi^*$ on morphisms is an equivalence of categories. We are thus in the $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}^{op}$ situation described above and $L^h_*(\mathcal{C}^{>N}_{M,H}(R), \alpha, u) = 0$ by Lemma 5.10.

For any bounded geometric antistructure, notice that the action of θ_M on M takes H–orbits to H–orbits since $\theta_M(g \cdot m) = \theta_H(g) \cdot \theta_M(m)$. Let $M_{(H,\theta)}$ denote the subset consisting of H–orbits in M which are *fixed* by the θ–action. Then $M_{(H,\theta)} = \{m \in M \mid \theta_M(m) \in H \cdot m\}$. Note that $M_{(H,\theta)}$ is a H–invariant subspace of M.

Theorem 5.12. *Suppose that* $(\mathcal{C}_{M,H}(R), \alpha, u)$ *has a bounded geometric antistructure* (α, u) *given by* $(\theta_H, \theta_M, b, w)$*, such that:*

(i) $M = O(K)$*, where* K *is a finite* H*–CW complex and* M *has the cone of the given* H*–action on* K*,*
(ii) θ_M *is induced by a simplicial map on* K*,*
(iii) $M_{(H,\theta)} \subseteq O(L) := N$ *for some* H*–invariant subcomplex* $L \subset K$*, and*
(iv) *for some* $i \leqq 1$*,* $I \subset K_i(\mathcal{C}^{>N}_{M,H}(R))$ *is a subgroup with* $H^*(I) = 0$*.*

Then $L^I_n(\mathcal{C}^{>N}_{M,H}(R), \alpha, u) = 0$ *for all* n*.*

Corollary 5.13. *Let* $G = C(2^r q)$*,* q *odd, be a cyclic group and* $H \subset G$ *the subgroup of index 2. Let* W *be a* G*–representation, and* $N = \bigcup\{W^K \mid [G : K] \text{ is odd}\}$*. Then* $L^I_n(\mathcal{C}^{>N}_{W,H}(\mathbf{Z}), \alpha, u)(q) = 0$ *on the top component, where* (α, u) *is the antistructure given above.*

The proof of Theorem 5.12. We extend the given H–action on M to a simplicial action of $G = \langle H, t \mid t^{-1}ht = \theta_H(h), t^2 = b\rangle$ as described above. The proof is by induction on cells, so suppose that K is obtained from L by attaching exactly one G–equivariant k–cell $D^k \times G/G_0$. Since $M_{(H,\theta)} \subset O(L) = N$, it follows that $G_0 \subset H$ and we may write $G/G_0 = H/G_0 \sqcup tH/G_0$. Now we define $M_1 = O(L \cup (D^k \times H/G_0))$ and consider the category $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C}^{>O(L)}_{M_1,H}(R)$. By construction, we have

$$\mathcal{C}^{>N}_{M,H}(R) = \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}^{op}$$

which has trivial L–theory by Lemma 5.10. Since the Tate cohomology of the K_1 decoration I vanishes, we get $L^I_n(\mathcal{C}^{>N}_{M,H}(R), \alpha, u) = 0$. □

6. Calculations in bounded K-theory

We begin to compute the bounded transfers trf_W by considering the bounded K–theory analogue. In this section, $G = C(2^r q)$ is cyclic of order $2^r q$, with $r \geqq 2$ and $q \geqq 1$ odd. By [**I**], Theorem 3.8 we can restrict our attention to those W where the isotropy subgroups have 2–power index. Let $G_i \subseteq G$ denote the subgroup of index $[G : G_i] = 2^i$ for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, r$. As above, we reserve the notation $H < G$ for the subgroup of index 2.

Any real, orthogonal G–representation W can be decomposed uniquely into isotypical direct summands indexed by the subgroups $K \subseteq G$, where in each summand G operates with isotropy group K away from the origin. Since we assume that W has isotropy of 2–power index, we can write

$$W = W[0] \oplus W[1] \oplus \cdots \oplus W[r]$$

where $W[i]$ is isotypic with isotropy group G_i. Thus $W[0] = \mathbf{R}^k$ is a trivial G–representation, and $W[1]$ is a sum of $\mathbf{R}_-$ factors. We say that W is *complex* if $\dim W[0]$ and $\dim W[1]$ are even (in this case, W is the underlying real representation of a complex representation). If W is complex, then $W_{max} \subseteq W$ denotes a complex sub-representation of real dim ≤ 2 with maximal proper isotropy subgroup. If $W = W[0]$ then $W_{max} = 0$. Then W_{max} is either irreducible or $W_{max} = \mathbf{R}_- \oplus \mathbf{R}_-$.

We study bounded K–theory by means of equivariant filtrations of the control space. The basic sequence is (see [10]):

$$\cdots \to K_{i+1}(\mathcal{C}^{>U}_{V,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \xrightarrow{\partial_{i+1}} K_i(\mathcal{C}_{U,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to K_i(\mathcal{C}_{V,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to K_i(\mathcal{C}^{>U}_{V,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to \dots$$

valid for $U \subseteq V$ a closed G–invariant subspace. If W_1 is a complex representation with $\dim W_1 = 2$ and isotropy group $K \neq G$, let $U = \bigcup \ell_\alpha$ be the union of $[G : K]$ rays from the origin in W_1, which are freely permuted by G/K. Then $W_1 \setminus \bigcup \ell_\alpha$ is a disjoint union of open fundamental domains for the free G/K–action. If $W = W_1 \oplus W_2$, we call $W_2 \subset \bigcup \ell_\alpha \times W_2 \subset W$ the *orbit type* filtration of W.

Recall that t denotes a generator of G, and thus acts as an isometry on the control spaces M we use in the bounded categories $\mathcal{C}_{M,G}(\mathbf{Z})$. Let t_* denote the action of t on bounded K–theory induced by its action on the control space.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $W = W_1 \oplus W_2$, where W_1 is a complex 2–dimensional sub-representation of W with minimal isotropy subgroup $K \neq G$. Then*

$$K_{i+1}(\mathcal{C}^{>\bigcup \ell_\alpha \times W_2}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \cong K_{i-1-k}(\mathbf{Z}K)$$
$$K_i(\mathcal{C}^{>W_2}_{\bigcup \ell_\alpha \times W_2,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \cong K_{i-1-k}(\mathbf{Z}K),$$

where $k = \dim W_2$. The boundary map $\partial_{i+1} = 1 - t_*$ in the long exact sequence of the orbit type filtration for W.

Proof. The bounded category $\mathcal{C}^{>W_2}_{\bigcup \ell_\alpha \times W_2,G}(\mathbf{Z})$ of germs away from W_2 has effective fundamental group K, as defined in [10, 3.13]. It therefore has the same K–theory as $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}^{k+1}}(\mathcal{C}_{pt}(\mathbf{Z}K))$. The other case is similar. The identification of ∂_{i+1} with $1 - t_*$ is discussed in detail in the proof of Proposition 6.7. □

Since $K_{-j}(\mathbf{Z}K) = 0$ for $j \geqq 2$ by [8], this Lemma gives vanishing results for bounded K–theory as well.

Lemma 6.2. *Suppose that W is complex, and $W^G = 0$. Then the inclusion map induces an isomorphism*

$$K_i(\mathcal{C}_{W_{max} \times \mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to K_i(\mathcal{C}_{W \times \mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$$

for $i \leqq 1$.

Proof. This is an argument using the orbit type filtration. Let $W = W_{max} \oplus W_2$, and suppose that $W_2 \neq 0$ or equivalently $\dim W_2 \geqq 2$, since W is complex. Write $W = W' \oplus W''$ with $W' \subseteq W_2$, $\dim W' = 2$ and $\mathrm{Iso}(W') = K$ minimal. We choose W'' containing W_{max}, and by induction we assume the result holds for W''.

Then applying the first part of Lemma 6.1, we get the calculations

$$K_i(\mathcal{C}^{>W'' \times \mathbf{R}_-}_{\bigcup \ell_\alpha \times W'' \times \mathbf{R}_-, G}(\mathbf{Z})) = K_{i-2-|W''|}(\mathbf{Z}K) \tag{6.3}$$

and

$$K_i(\mathcal{C}^{>\bigcup \ell_\alpha \times W'' \times \mathbf{R}_-}_{W \times \mathbf{R}_-, G}(\mathbf{Z})) = K_{i-3-|W''|}(\mathbf{Z}K)\ . \tag{6.4}$$

Since $\dim W'' \geqq 2$, we get the vanishing results $K_i(\mathcal{C}^{>W'' \times \mathbf{R}_-}_{\bigcup \ell_\alpha \times W'' \times \mathbf{R}_-, G}(\mathbf{Z})) = 0$ for $i \leqq 2$ by [8], and $K_i(\mathcal{C}^{>\bigcup \ell_\alpha \times W'' \times \mathbf{R}_-}_{W \times \mathbf{R}_-, G}(\mathbf{Z})) = 0$ for $i \leqq 3$. From the filtration sequence, it follows that $K_i(\mathcal{C}^{>W'' \times \mathbf{R}_-}_{W \times \mathbf{R}_-, G}(\mathbf{Z})) = 0$ for $i \leqq 2$, and therefore

$$K_i(\mathcal{C}_{W'' \times \mathbf{R}_-, G}(\mathbf{Z})) \xrightarrow{\approx} K_i(\mathcal{C}_{W \times \mathbf{R}_-, G}(\mathbf{Z}))$$

for $i \leqq 1$. We are done, by induction. ☐

Corollary 6.5. *$K_i(\mathcal{C}^{>W_{max} \times \mathbf{R}_-}_{W \times \mathbf{R}_-, G}(\mathbf{Z})) = 0$ for $i \leqq 2$.*

Proof. We continue the notation from above, and look at part of the filtration sequence

$$K_i(\mathcal{C}^{>W_{max} \times \mathbf{R}_-}_{W'' \times \mathbf{R}_-, G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to K_i(\mathcal{C}^{>W_{max} \times \mathbf{R}_-}_{W \times \mathbf{R}_-, G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to K_i(\mathcal{C}^{>W_{max} \times \mathbf{R}_-}_{W \times \mathbf{R}_-, G}(\mathbf{Z}))\ .$$

The first term is zero for $i \leqq 2$ by induction on dimension, and the third term is zero for $i \leqq 2$ as above. ☐

We can obtain a little sharper result with some additional work. First a useful observation:

Lemma 6.6. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an additive category (with involution). Then the map $\mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ sending x to $-x$ induces minus the identity on K-theory (and L-theory) of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathcal{A})$.*

Proof. The category $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathcal{A})$ is filtered by the full subcategory whose objects have support in a bounded neighborhood of 0. This subcategory is equivalent to $\mathcal{A}$ and the quotient category may be identified with $\mathcal{C}^{>0}_{[0,\infty)}(\mathcal{A}) \times \mathcal{C}^{>0}_{(-\infty,0]}(\mathcal{A})$ via the projection maps in an obvious way.

Consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{A} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathcal{A}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{C}^{>0}_{[0,\infty)}(\mathcal{A}) \times \mathcal{C}^{>0}_{(-\infty,0]}(\mathcal{A}) \arrow[d] \\
\mathcal{A} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{C}_{[0,\infty)}(\mathcal{A}) \arrow[r] & \mathcal{C}^{>0}_{[0,\infty)}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical map is induced by $x \mapsto |x|$. In the lower horizontal row, K and L–theory of the middle term is trivial, so the boundary map will be an isomorphism. The lower row splits off the upper row in two different ways, one induced by including $[0,\infty) \subset \mathbf{R}$ and the other by sending $x \in [0,\infty)$ to $-x \in \mathbf{R}$. Under these two splittings we may identify K or L–theory of the quotient $\mathcal{C}^{>0}_{[0,\infty)}(\mathcal{A}) \times \mathcal{C}^{>0}_{(-\infty,0]}(\mathcal{A})$ with $\mathcal{C}^{>0}_{[0,\infty)} \times \mathcal{C}^{>0}_{[0,\infty)}$ and under this identification, the flip map of $\mathbf{R}$ corresponds to interchanging the two factors. On K–theory (or L–theory) we conclude that the exact sequence is of the form

$$0 \to A_* \to A_* \times A_* \xrightarrow{+} A_* \to 0\ .$$

The flip action on the last term is trivial, and on the middle term it interchanges the two factors, so the inclusion must send a to $(a, -a)$. Hence the flip action on the first term must be $a \mapsto -a$. $\square$

As above, t_* denotes the induced action of a generator $t \in G$ on K–theory, and $\varepsilon\colon G \to \{\pm 1\}$ the non-trivial action of G on $\mathbf{R}_-$.

Proposition 6.7. *Let W be a complex 2-dimensional G–representation with $W^G = 0$, and isotropy subgroup K. Then under the isomorphisms of (6.3) and (6.4) the complex*

$$K_{i+1}(\mathcal{C}^{>\bigcup \ell_\alpha \times \mathbf{R}_-}_{W \times \mathbf{R}_-, G}(\mathbf{Z})) \xrightarrow{\partial_a} K_i(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{\bigcup \ell_\alpha \times \mathbf{R}_-, G}(\mathbf{Z})) \xrightarrow{\partial_b} K_{i-1}(\mathcal{C}^{>0}_{\mathbf{R}_-, G}(\mathbf{Z}))$$

with $\partial_b \circ \partial_a = 0$ is isomorphic to

$$K_{i-2}(\mathbf{Z}K) \xrightarrow{\partial'_a} K_{i-2}(\mathbf{Z}K) \xrightarrow{\partial'_b} K_{i-2}(\mathbf{Z}H)$$

where $\partial'_a = 1 - t_* = 2$ and $\partial'_b = \operatorname{Ind}_H \circ (1 - t_*) = 0$.

Proof. The orbit type filtration is based on a G–equivariant simplicial model for W, where G acts through the projection to G/K. The third term in the complex is $K_{i-1}(\mathcal{C}^{>0}_{\mathbf{R}_-, G}(\mathbf{Z})) = K_{i-2}(\mathbf{Z}H)$ and the identification of the boundary maps follows from the definition of the germ categories.

To compute ∂_a, we use the isomorphisms between the domain of ∂_a and $K_{i-2}(\mathbf{Z}K)$ obtained by noticing that every element is induced from an element of

$$K_{i+1}(\mathcal{C}^{>\partial C \times \mathbf{R}}_{C \times \mathbf{R}, K}(\mathbf{Z})),$$

where C is the region between two adjacent half–lines of $\bigcup \ell_\alpha$. This follows since the regions in the complement of $\bigcup \ell_\alpha \times \mathbf{R}_-$ are disjoint and the boundedness condition ensures there is no interference. Similarly the isomorphism of the range of ∂_a with $K_{i-2}(\mathbf{Z})$ is obtained by noticing that every element is induced from $\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}}_{h \times \mathbf{R}, K}$ where h is just one half–line in $\bigcup \ell_\alpha$, and we can think of $\partial C = h \cup th$. To compute the boundary we first take the standard boundary to $\mathcal{C}_{\partial C \times \mathbf{R}, K}(\mathbf{Z})$ which is an isomorphism, and then map away from $0 \times \mathbf{R}$. It follows from the proof of Lemma 6.6 above that this map is of the form $a \mapsto (a, -a)$ in K or L–theory. In this picture, the support of one of the boundary components is along h and the other along th. We need to use the group action to associate both elements to the same ray. Since t flips the $\mathbf{R}$–factor, we get a change of sign before adding, so $t_* = \varepsilon(t) = -1$ and ∂_a sends a to $2a$.

To compute ∂_b, we start with an element in the source of ∂_b which as above is identified via induction with $K_i(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}}_{h \times \mathbf{R}, K, G}(\mathbf{Z}))$. The boundary first sends this isomorphically to $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}, K}(\mathbf{Z})$, then by induction to $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-, G}(\mathbf{Z})$, and then via the natural map to the range of ∂_b, which is

$\mathcal{C}^{>0}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}$. We have a commutative square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_1(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}}_{h\times\mathbf{R},K}(\mathbf{Z})) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Ind}"] \arrow[d, "\partial"'] & K_1(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{\bigcup\ell_\alpha\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \arrow[d, "\partial_b"] \\
K_0(\mathcal{C}^{>0}_{\mathbf{R},K}(\mathbf{Z})) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Ind}"] & K_0(\mathcal{C}^{>0}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\mathcal{C}^{>0}_{\mathbf{R},K}(\mathbf{Z}) = \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R},K}(\mathbf{Z}) \times \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R},K}(\mathbf{Z})$. Under this identification, the natural map to the germ category $K_0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R},K}(\mathbf{Z})) \to K_0(\mathcal{C}^{>0}_{\mathbf{R},K}(\mathbf{Z}))$ is just $a \mapsto (a, -a)$, and the induction map

$$\mathrm{Ind}\colon K_0(\mathcal{C}^{>0}_{\mathbf{R},K}(\mathbf{Z})) \to K_0(\mathcal{C}^{>0}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$$

is given by $(a, b) \mapsto \mathrm{Ind}_H(a + t_*b)$. In this case the action of t on $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})$ is the identity since any element is invariant under the action of G, hence under the action of t. It follows that $\mathrm{Ind}(a, -a) = \mathrm{Ind}_H(a - t_*a) = 0$ as required. ☐

Lemma 6.8. *Let W be a complex 2-dimensional G–representation with proper isotropy group K. The boundary map*

$$K_{i+1}(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{\bigcup\ell_\alpha\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to K_i(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$$

is zero for $i \leqq 1$.

Proof. If $i \leqq -1$ the domain of this boundary map is zero, so the result is trivial. For $i = 1$ we use the injection $\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to \mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_2))$, which follows from the vanishing of $SK_1(\mathbf{Z}G)$. But $\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_2)) = \mathrm{Wh}(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_2G)/\mathrm{Wh}(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_2H)$ and the group $\mathrm{Wh}(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_2G) = \prod_{d|q}\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_2[\zeta_d]G_2$, where $G_2 \subset G$ is the 2-Sylow subgroup and q is the odd part of the order of G. Now Oliver [18, Thm. 6.6] constructed a short exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathrm{Wh}(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_2[\zeta_d]G_2) \to \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_2[\zeta_d]G_2 \to \langle -1\rangle \times G_2 \to 1$$

by means of the integral 2-adic logarithm. This sequence is natural with respect to inclusion of subgroups, so we may use it to compare $\mathrm{Wh}(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_2G)$ and $\mathrm{Wh}(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_2H)$. Since each corresponding term injects, and the middle quotient is $\mathbf{Z}$-torsion free, we conclude that $\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_2))$ is also $\mathbf{Z}$-torsion free. Since $K_2(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{\bigcup\ell_\alpha\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}) = K_0(\mathbf{Z}K)$ is torsion (except for $\mathbf{Z} = K_0(\mathbf{Z})$ which is detected by projection to the trivial group), the given boundary map is zero.

For $i = 0$, we use the surjection $K_0(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}K) \to K_{-1}(\mathbf{Z}K)$, and compute with $\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}$ coefficients and $i = 1$. We will list the steps, and leave the details to the reader. First, compute that $K_1(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}})) = K_1(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}G)/K_1(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}H)$ surjects onto $K_1(\mathcal{C}_{\bigcup\ell_\alpha\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}))$, by means of a braid containing the cone point inclusions into $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}})$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\bigcup\ell_\alpha\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}})$. Second, prove that $K_1(\mathcal{C}_{\bigcup\ell_\alpha\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}))$ fits into a short exact sequence

$$0 \to K_1(\mathcal{C}_{\bigcup\ell_\alpha,H}(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}})) \to K_1(\mathcal{C}_{\bigcup\ell_\alpha,G}(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}})) \to K_1(\mathcal{C}_{\bigcup\ell_\alpha\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}})) \to 0$$

by means of a braid containing the inclusion $\bigcup\ell_\alpha \subseteq \bigcup\ell_\alpha \times \mathbf{R}_-$. Finally, compute the first two terms $K_1(\mathcal{C}_{\bigcup\ell_\alpha,G}(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}})) = K_1(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}G)/K_1(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}K)$, and $K_1(\mathcal{C}_{\bigcup\ell_\alpha,H}(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}})) = K_1(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}H)/K_1(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}K)$ by comparing the groups under the inclusion $H < G$. We conclude that

$$K_1(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}})) \cong K_1(\mathcal{C}_{\bigcup\ell_\alpha\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}))$$

under the inclusion map, and hence $\partial = 0$. $\square$

Corollary 6.9. *Let W be a complex G–representation with $W^G = 0$. Then the inclusion induces an isomorphism $K_i(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to K_i(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ for $i \leqq 0$, and an injection for $i = 1$. If $K_{-1}(\mathbf{Z}K) = 0$ for the maximal proper isotropy groups K of W, then $K_1(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \cong K_1(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$.*

Proof. We may assume that $\dim W = 2$, and apply the filtering argument again. By (6.3) and (6.4) we get $K_i(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) = 0$ for $i \leqq 0$, and $K_1(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ is a quotient of $K_1(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{\bigcup \ell_\alpha\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) = K_{-1}(\mathbf{Z}K)$. Since the composition

$$K_1(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{\bigcup \ell_\alpha\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to K_1(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to K_0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$$

is zero by Lemma 6.8, the result follows for $i \leqq 0$.

Similarly, $K_2(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ is a quotient of $K_2(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{\bigcup \ell_\alpha\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) = K_0(\mathbf{Z}K)$, because the boundary map $K_2(\mathcal{C}^{>\bigcup \ell_\alpha\times\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) = K_{-1}(\mathbf{Z}K)$ to $K_1(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{\bigcup \ell_\alpha\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) = K_{-1}(\mathbf{Z}K)$ is multiplication by 2, and hence injective. Then we make the same argument, using Lemma 6.8.

If we also assume $K_{-1}(\mathbf{Z}K) = 0$, then $K_1(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) = 0$ so we get the isomorphism $K_1(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \xrightarrow{\approxeq} K_1(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$. $\square$

7. The double coboundary

The composite Δ of maps from the $L^h - L^p$ and $L^s - L^h$ Rothenberg sequences

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^i(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}G)) \arrow[r] \arrow[dr, "\Delta"] & L^h_i(\mathbf{Z}G) \arrow[d] \\
& H^i(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G))
\end{tikzcd}$$

(see [14]) has an algebraic description by means of a "double coboundary" homomorphism

$$\delta^2 \colon H^i(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}G)) \to H^i(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G))$$

In this section, we will give a brief description due to Ranicki [20] of this homomorphism (see also [21, §6.2] for related material on "interlocking" exact sequences in K and L–theory).

Let X be a space with a $\mathbf{Z}/2$ action $T \colon X \to X$, and define homomorphisms

$$\Delta \colon H^i(\pi_n(X)) \to H^i(\pi_{n+1}(X))$$

by sending $g \colon S^n \to X$ to

$$h \cup (-1)^i Th \colon S^{n+1} = D^{n+1}_+ \cup_{S^n} D^{n+1}_- \to X$$

for any null-homotopy $h \colon D^{n+1} \to X$ of the map $g + (-1)^{i+1} Tg \colon S^n \to X$.

The maps Δ lead to a universal description of double coboundary maps, as follows. Let $f \colon X \to Y$ be a $\mathbf{Z}/2$–equivariant map of spaces with $\mathbf{Z}/2$ action, and consider the long exact sequence

$$\dots \to \pi_n(X) \xrightarrow{f} \pi_n(Y) \to \pi_n(f) \to \pi_{n-1}(X) \to \pi_{n-1}(Y) \to \dots$$

We define $I_n = \ker(f\colon \pi_n(X) \to \pi_n(Y))$ and $J_n = \operatorname{Im}(\pi_n(Y) \to \pi_n(f))$, and get an exact sequence

$$0 \to \pi_n(X)/I_n \to \pi_n(Y) \to \pi_n(f) \to I_{n-1} \to 0$$

which can be spliced together from the short exact sequences

$$\begin{gathered} 0 \to \pi_n(X)/I_n \to \pi_n(Y) \to J_n \to 0 \\ 0 \to J_n \to \pi_n(f) \to I_{n-1} \to 0\ . \end{gathered} \tag{7.1}$$

Then it follows directly from the definitions that the double coboundary

$$\delta^2\colon H^i(I_{n-1}) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^{i+1}(J_n) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^i(\pi_n(X)/I_n)$$

from the Tate cohomology sequences induced by (7.1) is given by the composite

$$\delta^2\colon H^i(I_{n-1}) \xrightarrow{inc_*} H^i(\pi_{n-1}(X)) \xrightarrow{\Delta} H^i(\pi_n(X)) \xrightarrow{proj_*} H^i(\pi_n(X)/I_n)\ .$$

If we can pick the map $f\colon X \to Y$ appropriately, say with $I_n = 0$ and $I_{n-1} = \pi_{n-1}(X)$, this gives an algebraic description of Δ.

In later sections we will use the relative Tate cohomology groups $H^i(\Delta)$, which are just (by definition) the relative Tate cohomology groups [21, p.166] of the map $\pi_n(Y) \to \pi_n(f)$ in the long exact sequence above. These groups fit into the commutative braids given in [20] which will be used in the proofs of Theorems A-C.

We now give some examples, with G denoting a finite cyclic group as usual. These arise from homotopy groups of certain fibrations of algebraic K-theory spectra.

Example 7.2. There is an exact sequence [14]

$$0 \to \mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G) \to \mathrm{Wh}(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_2G) \to \mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G{\to}\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_2G) \to \widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}G) \to 0$$

of $\mathbf{Z}/2$ modules and the associated double coboundary in Tate cohomology equals

$$\Delta\colon H^i(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}G)) \to H^i(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G))\ .$$

The point here is that $\ker(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G) \to \mathrm{Wh}(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_2G)) = 0$ [18], and the map $\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}G) \to \widetilde{K}_0(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_2G)$ is zero by a result of Swan [27]. We could also use the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G) \to \mathrm{Wh}(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}G) \oplus \mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Q}G) \to \mathrm{Wh}(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}G)) \to \widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}G) \to 0$$

to compute the same map Δ.

Example 7.3. There is an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to \mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to \mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}} \oplus \mathbf{Q})) \to& \\ \mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}})) \to \widetilde{K}_0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to 0& \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) = \mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G)$ and $\widetilde{K}_0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) = \widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G)$. The injectivity on the left follows because $K_2(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}))$ is a quotient of $K_2(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}G)$, mapping trivially through $K_1(\mathbf{Z}G)$ into $\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ (since $SK_1(\mathbf{Z}G) = 0$ [18]). We therefore get an algebraic description of $\delta^2\colon H^i(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-)) \to H^i(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-))$ as used in the statement of Theorem B.

Example 7.4. There is an exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
(7.4)\quad 0 \to \mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to \mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}\oplus\mathbf{Q})) \to&\\
\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}})) \to \widetilde{K}_0(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to 0&
\end{aligned}
$$

for any complex G–representation W with $W^G = 0$. We therefore get an algebraic description of $\delta^2\colon H^i(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))) \to H^i(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})))$ as used in the statement of Theorem C.

Lemma 7.5. *For complex G–representations $W_1 \subseteq W$ with $W^G = 0$, there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^i(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathcal{C}_{W_1\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))) \arrow[r, "\delta^2"] \arrow[d, "c_*"'] & H^i(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{W_1\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))) \arrow[d, "c_*"] \\
H^i(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))) \arrow[r, "\delta^2"] & H^i(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})))
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical maps are induced by the inclusion $W_1 \subseteq W$.

For our applications, the main point of the double coboundary description is that it permits these maps induced by cone point inclusions to be computed using bounded K–theory, instead of bounded L–theory.

The double coboundary maps also commute with restriction to subgroups of G.

Proposition 7.6. *Let $G_1 < G$ be a subgroup of odd index, and $H_1 < G_1$ have index 2, then there are twisted restriction maps*

$$H^i(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-)) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Res}} H^i(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}H_1 \to \mathbf{Z}G_1^-))$$

and

$$H^i(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-)) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Res}} H^i(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H_1 \to \mathbf{Z}G_1^-))$$

such that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^i(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-)) \arrow[r, "\delta^2"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Res}"'] & H^i(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-)) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Res}"] \\
H^i(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}H_1 \to \mathbf{Z}G_1^-)) \arrow[r, "\delta_1^2"] & H^i(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H_1 \to \mathbf{Z}G_1^-))
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes.

Proof. The vertical maps are twisted restriction maps given by composing the twisting isomorphisms $H^i(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-)) \cong H^{i+1}(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G))$ and $H^i(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G^-) \cong H^{i+1}(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G)$, discussed in [**I**], Section 4, with the restriction maps induced by the inclusion $\mathbf{Z}G_1 \to \mathbf{Z}G$ of rings with involution. Since $G_1 < G$ has odd index, $H_1 = H \cap G_1$ and the composition $\mathrm{Res}_{G_1} \circ \mathrm{Ind}_H$ lands in the image of Ind_{H_1} by the double coset formula. $\square$

This can be generalized to the double coboundary maps used in the statement of Theorem C, under certain conditions.

Proposition 7.7. *Let $G_1 < G$ be an odd index subgroup, and $H_1 < G_1$ have index 2. Suppose that W only has proper isotropy subgroups of 2–power index. Then there are a twisted restriction maps* $H^i(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))) \to H^i(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathcal{C}_{\operatorname{Res}W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G_1}(\mathbf{Z})))$ *and* $H^i(\operatorname{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))) \to H^i(\operatorname{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{\operatorname{Res}W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G_1}(\mathbf{Z})))$ *which commute with the corresponding double coboundary maps δ^2_W and $\delta^2_{\operatorname{Res}W}$.*

8. Calculations in bounded L–theory

Suppose that $\sigma = \sigma(f) \in L^h_0(\mathbf{Z}G)$ is the surgery obstruction arising from a normal cobordism between $S(V_1)$ and $S(V_2)$, as in the statement of [**I**], Theorem 3.5. In this section, we establish two important properties of $trf_W(\sigma)$ in preparation for the proof of Theorem C. Unless otherwise mentioned, all bounded categories will have the standard orientation (see Example 5.4).

For a complex G–representation W the standard orientation is trivial, and the cone point inclusion $0 \in W$ induces the map

$$c_*\colon L^h_n(\mathbf{Z}G) \to L^h_n(\mathcal{C}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$$

Note that the presence of an $\mathbf{R}_-$ factor introduces a non-trivial orientation at the cone point

$$c_*\colon L^h_{n+1}(\mathbf{Z}G, w) \to L^h_{n+1}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$$

where $w\colon G \to \{\pm 1\}$ is the non-trivial projection. The properties are:

Theorem 8.1. *Suppose W is a complex G–representation with no $\mathbf{R}_+$ summands. If $trf_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-}(\sigma) \in L^h_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ is a torsion element, then*

(i) *there exists a torsion element $\hat\sigma \in L^h_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ such that*

$$c_*(\hat\sigma) = trf_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-}(\sigma) \in L^h_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$$

(ii) *there exists a torsion element $\hat\sigma \in L^p_{2k+1}(\mathbf{Z}G, w)$ such that*

$$c_*(\hat\sigma) = trf_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-}(\sigma) \in L^p_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$$

where $\dim W = 2k$.

We remark that the condition "$trf_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-}(\sigma)$ is a torsion element" follows from the assumption $\operatorname{Res}_H(V_1 \oplus W) \oplus \mathbf{R}_+ \sim_t \operatorname{Res}_H(V_2 \oplus W) \oplus \mathbf{R}_+$ in Theorem C, as an immediate consequence of Proposition 4.7. Before giving the proof, we need some preliminary results. When the L–theory decoration is not explicitly given, we mean $L^{\langle -\infty\rangle}$.

Lemma 8.2. *Let W be a complex G–representation. Then*

$$L_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \otimes \mathbf{Q} = 0$$

for $k \geqq 0$

Proof. We argue by induction on $\dim W$, starting with

$$L_{2k+1}(\mathbf{Z}G) = \mathbf{Z}/2 \oplus H^1(K_{-1}(\mathbf{Z}G))$$

which is all 2–torsion. It is enough to prove the result for the top component $L_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z}))(q)$, and therefore by [**I**], Theorem 3.8 we may assume that the isotropy groups of W all have 2–power index. Since we are working with $L^{\langle -\infty\rangle}$, we may ignore $\mathbf{R}_+$ summands of W.

Let $W = W' \oplus W''$ where $\dim W' = 2$ and W' has minimal isotropy group K. We assume the result for W'', and let $\bigcup \ell_\alpha \subset W'$ be a G-invariant set of rays from the origin, dividing W' into fundamental domains for the free G/K-action.

Then

$$L_n(\mathcal{C}^{>W''}_{\bigcup \ell_\alpha \times W'', G}(\mathbf{Z})) = L_{n-1}(\mathcal{C}_{W'',G}(\mathbf{Z})) = L_{n-1-|W''|}(\mathbf{Z}K),$$

which is torsion for n even, and

$$L_n(\mathcal{C}^{>\bigcup \ell_\alpha \times W''}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z})) = L_{n-2}(\mathcal{C}_{W'',G}(\mathbf{Z})) = L_{n-2-|W''|}(\mathbf{Z}K),$$

which is torsion for n odd. Moreover, we have a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to L_n(\mathcal{C}^{>W''}_{\bigcup \ell_\alpha \times W'', G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to L_n(\mathcal{C}^{>W''}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to L_n(\mathcal{C}^{>\bigcup \ell_\alpha \times W''}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to \dots$$

We claim that the first map in this sequence is rationally injective. The previous map in the long exact sequence is

$$L_{n+1}(\mathcal{C}^{>\bigcup \ell_\alpha \times W''}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \longrightarrow \partial L_n(\mathcal{C}^{>W''}_{\bigcup \ell_\alpha \times W'', G}(\mathbf{Z})),$$

which may be identified (using the isomorphisms above and Proposition 6.7) with a geometrically induced map

$$L_{n-1-|W''|}(\mathbf{Z}K) \xrightarrow{1-u} L_{n-1-|W''|}(\mathbf{Z}K)$$

"multiplication by $1 - w(t)$", where $\bar{t} = w(t)t^{-1}$ from the action of t in the antistructure used to define the L-group.

In the oriented case, $w(t) = +1$ and this boundary map is zero. Therefore, we conclude that

$$L_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}^{>W''}_{\bigcup \ell_\alpha \times W'', G}(\mathbf{Z})) \xrightarrow{\approx} L_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}^{>W''}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$$

is a rational isomorphism and so

$$L_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}^{>W''}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z})) = L_{2k-|W''|}(\mathbf{Z}K)\ .$$

Finally, we will substitute this computation and our inductive assumption into the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to L_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}_{W'',G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to L_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to$$
$$L_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}^{>W''}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to L_{2k}(\mathcal{C}_{W'',G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to$$

and obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \to L_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to L_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}^{>W''}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to L_{2k}(\mathcal{C}_{W'',G}(\mathbf{Z})).$$

However, the second map in this sequence can be identified with the inclusion map

$$\mathrm{Ind}_K \colon L_{2k}(\mathcal{C}_{W'',K}(\mathbf{Z})) \to L_{2k}(\mathcal{C}_{W'',G}(\mathbf{Z}))$$

and the composition $\mathrm{Res}_K \circ \mathrm{Ind}_K$ is multiplication by $[G : K]$, which is a rational isomorphism. Therefore Ind_K is injective and $L_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z})) = 0$. □

Another computation we will need is

Lemma 8.3. $L_n(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{W \times \mathbf{R}_-, G}(\mathbf{Z})) \otimes \mathbf{Q} = 0$ *for* W *a complex* G*-representation.*

Proof. We may assume that $W^G = 0$ and argue by induction on the dimension of W. We write $W = W' \oplus W''$, where $\mathrm{Iso}(W') = K$ is minimal (2–power index isotropy subgroups may be assumed as usual). We have 2 long exact sequences (all L–groups are tensored with $\mathbf{Q}$):

(i) from the inclusion $W'' \oplus \mathbf{R}_- \subset W \oplus \mathbf{R}_-$. For short, let $A = \mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})$, $B = \mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{W''\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})$, and then $A/B = \mathcal{C}^{>W''\times\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})$. We need the piece of the L-group sequence:

$$...\to L_n(B) \to L_n(A) \to L_n(A/B)... \tag{8.4}$$

and note that $L_n(B) = 0$ by induction.

(ii) we study $L_n(A/B)$ by looking at the usual rays $\bigcup \ell_\alpha \subset W'$ which divide the 2-dim representation W' into G/K chambers. Let

$$D_0 = \mathcal{C}^{>W''\times\mathbf{R}_-}_{\bigcup \ell_\alpha \times W''\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})$$

and

$$D_1 = \mathcal{C}^{>\bigcup \ell_\alpha\times W''\times\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})$$

Then we need the L–group sequence

$$\to L_n(D_0) \to L_n(A/B) \to L_n(D_1) \to L_{n-1}(D_0) \tag{8.5}$$

But the groups $L_n(D_i)$ are the ones we have been computing by using the chamber structure. In particular,

$$L_n(D_0) = L_{n-2}(\mathcal{C}_{W'',K}(\mathbf{Z}))$$

and

$$L_n(D_1) = L_{n-3}(\mathcal{C}_{W'',K}(\mathbf{Z})).$$

But since K is the minimal isotropy group in W, it acts trivially on W'' and these L-groups are just

$$L_n(D_0) = L_{n-2-|W''|}(\mathbf{Z}K)$$

and

$$L_n(D_1) = L_{n-3-|W''|}(\mathbf{Z}K).$$

Now the boundary map

$$L_n(D_1) \to L_{n-1}(D_0) \tag{8.6}$$

in the sequence is just multiplication by $1 - w(t) = 2$ ($w(t) = -1$ since $\mathbf{R}_-$ is non-oriented), and this is a rational isomorphism. Therefore, $L_n(A/B) = 0$ by (8.5) and substituting back into (8.4), the $L_n(A) = 0$.

□

Now a more precise result in a special case:

Lemma 8.7. *Let W be a complex 2–dimensional G-representation with $W^G = 0$. Then $L^s_3(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) = 0$*

Proof. We have an exact sequence

$$\cdots \to L^s_3(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{\bigcup \ell_\alpha \times \mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to L^s_3(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to$$
$$L^I_3(\mathcal{C}^{>\bigcup \ell_\alpha\times\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to L^s_2(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{\bigcup\ell_\alpha\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to \cdots$$

arising from the orbit type filtration. Here

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \operatorname{Im}(K_2(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to K_2(\mathcal{C}^{>\bigcup \ell_\alpha\times\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))) \\ &= \ker(K_2(\mathcal{C}^{>\bigcup \ell_\alpha\times\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to K_1(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{\bigcup\ell_\alpha\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))) \\ &= \ker(K_{-1}(\mathbf{Z}K) \xrightarrow{2} K_{-1}(\mathbf{Z}K)) \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 6.7, where K is the isotropy subgroup of W. But $K_{-1}(\mathbf{Z}K)$ is torsion–free, so $I = \{0\} \subseteq K_2$. Now substitute the computations

$$\begin{aligned} L^s_3(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{\bigcup\ell_\alpha\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) &= L^p_1(\mathbf{Z}K) = 0 \\ L^I_3(\mathcal{C}^{>\bigcup \ell_\alpha\times\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) &= L^p_0(\mathbf{Z}K) \\ L^s_2(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{\bigcup\ell_\alpha\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) &= L^p_0(\mathbf{Z}K) \end{aligned}$$

into the exact sequence. The boundary map

$$L^I_3(\mathcal{C}^{>\bigcup \ell_\alpha\times\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to L^s_2(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{\bigcup\ell_\alpha\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$$

is multiplication by 2 so $L^s_3(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) = 0$. □

Remark 8.8. The same method shows that $L^s_1(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) = L^p_3(\mathbf{Z}K) = \mathbf{Z}/2$ for $\dim W = 2$ as above, assuming that $K \neq 1$.

Our final preliminary result is a Mayer–Vietoris sequence:

Lemma 8.9. *Let W be a complex G–representation with $W^G = 0$. Let $W = W_1 \oplus W_2$ be a direct sum decomposition, where $W_1 = W_{max}$.*

(i) *There is a long exact sequence*

$$\cdots \to L^I_{n+1}(\mathcal{C}^{>W_1\times\mathbf{R}_-\cup W_2\times\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} L^s_n(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to$$
$$L^s_n(\mathcal{C}^{>W_1\times\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \oplus L^s_n(\mathcal{C}^{>W_2\times\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to L^I_n(\mathcal{C}^{>W'\times\mathbf{R}_-\cup W''\times\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$$

of bounded L-groups, where

$$I = \operatorname{Im}\left[K_2(\mathcal{C}^{>W_2\times\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to K_2(\mathcal{C}^{>W'\times\mathbf{R}_-\cup W''\times\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))\right]$$

is the decoration subgroup.

(ii) *For $n \equiv 3 \bmod 4$, the boundary map $\partial_{n+1} = 0$.*

Proof. The Mayer–Vietoris sequence in $L^{\langle -\infty\rangle}$

$$\cdots \to L_{n+1}(\mathcal{C}^{>U\cup V}_{M,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to L_n(\mathcal{C}^{>U\cap V}_{M,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to$$
$$L_n(\mathcal{C}^{>U}_{M,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \oplus L_n(\mathcal{C}^{>V}_{M,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to L_n(\mathcal{C}^{>U\cup V}_{M,G}(\mathbf{Z})$$

where U, V are nice G–invariant subspaces of the control space M, follows from an excision isomorphism

$$L_n(\mathcal{C}^{>U}_{U\cup V,G}(\mathbf{Z})) = L_n(\mathcal{C}^{>U\cap V}_{V,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$$

and standard diagram-chasing. We apply this to $M = W \times \mathbf{R}_-$, $U = W_1 \times \mathbf{R}_-$ and $V = W_2 \times \mathbf{R}_-$, where $U \cap V = \mathbf{R}_-$. The decorations follow from Section 5 and Corollary 6.5.

To see that $\partial_0 = 0$, note that this boundary map is the composition of

$$\partial\colon L^I_0(\mathcal{C}^{>W'\times\mathbf{R}_-\cup W''\times\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to L^s_3(\mathcal{C}^{>W_2\times\mathbf{R}_-}_{W'\times\mathbf{R}_-\cup W''\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$$

and an excision isomorphism

$$L^s_3(\mathcal{C}^{>W_2\times\mathbf{R}_-}_{W'\times\mathbf{R}_-\cup W''\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \cong L^s_3(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{W_1\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))\ .$$

But $L^s_3(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{W_1\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) = 0$ by Lemma 8.7. ☐

Corollary 8.10. *Suppose W is a complex G–representation with no $\mathbf{R}_+$ summands. Then the image of $trf_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-}(\sigma)$ is zero in $L^s_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$, where* $\dim W = 2k$.

Proof. First recall from [**I**], Theorem 3.6 that $trf_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-}(\sigma) \in L^{c_1}_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ where $c_1 = \operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G) \to \operatorname{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})))$ under the cone point inclusion. Therefore, the image of $trf_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-}(\sigma)$ "away from $\mathbf{R}_-$" lands in $L^s_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$. We may therefore apply the Mayer–Vietoris sequence from Lemma 8.9.

As usual, we may assume that W has only 2–power isotropy subgroups, and we will argue by induction on $\dim W$ and on the orbit type filtration of W. The result is true for $\dim W = 2$ (by Lemma 8.7) or for W a free representation (by a direct calculation following the method of Lemma 8.3). For sets of 2–power index subgroups (ordered by inclusion, with repetitions allowed), we say that $\{K'_1, \dots, K'_s\} < \{K_1, \dots, K_t\}$ if $s < t$ or $s = t$, $K'_i = K_i$ for $1 \leqq i < j \leqq s$, and $K'_j \subsetneqq K_j$. Let $\operatorname{Iso}(W) = \{K_1, \dots, K_t\}$ denote the set of isotropy subgroups of W ordered by inclusion. Define an ordering by $W' < W$ if (i) $\dim W' < \dim W$ or (ii) $\dim W' = \dim W$ but $\operatorname{Iso}(W') < \operatorname{Iso}(W)$.

Let $W = W_1 \oplus W_2$, where W_1 has maximal isotropy subgroup K, and suppose the result holds for all $W' < W$. If $\dim W \equiv 2 \bmod 4$, we get an injection

$$L^s_3(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to L^s_3(\mathcal{C}^{>W_1\times\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \oplus L^s_3(\mathcal{C}^{>W_2\times\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$$

from Lemma 8.9, where $W_2 < W$ and $W_1 < W$. If $\dim W \equiv 0 \bmod 4$, we get an injection

$$L^s_3(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times U\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to L^s_3(\mathcal{C}^{>W_1\times\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times U\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \oplus L^s_3(\mathcal{C}^{>W_2\times U\times\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times U\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$$

again from Lemma 8.9, where U is any 2–dimensional free G–representation. Notice that $W_2 \oplus U < W$ in the ordering above. Consider the following commutative diagram (with $\dim W \equiv 2 \bmod 4$):

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L^h_0(\mathbf{Z}G) \arrow[r, "trf_{W_2\times\mathbf{R}_-}"] \arrow[dr, "trf_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-}"'] & L^{c_1}_1(\mathcal{C}_{W_2\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "trf_{W_1}"] & L^s_1(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{W_2\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \arrow[d, "trf_{W_1}"] \\
 & L^{c_3}_3(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \arrow[r] & L^s_3(\mathcal{C}^{>W_1\times\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))
\end{tikzcd}$$

This diagram, and our inductive assumption shows that the image of $\mathit{trf}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-}(\sigma)$ is zero in $L^s_3(\mathcal{C}^{>W_1\times\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$. Similarly, by reversing the roles of W_1 and W_2 in the diagram, we see that the image of $\mathit{trf}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-}(\sigma)$ is zero in $L^s_3(\mathcal{C}^{>W_2\times\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$. Therefore the image of $\mathit{trf}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-}(\sigma)$ is zero in $L^s_3(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$. If $\dim W \equiv 0 \bmod 4$, we replace W by $W\oplus U$ and make a similar argument, using the observation that $W_2\oplus U < W$ to justify the inductive step. □

The proof of Theorem 8.1. Part (i) follows from Corollary 8.10: we know that the image of our obstruction $\mathit{trf}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-}(\sigma)$ is zero "away from $\mathbf{R}_-$", so comes from a torsion element in $\hat\sigma\in L^h_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ (by Lemma 8.3, $L_{2k+2}(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ is torsion).

For part (ii) observe that $L^h_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}^{>0}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) = L^p_{2k}(\mathbf{Z}H)$ is torsion–free (except for the Arf invariant $\mathbf{Z}/2$ which is detected by the trivial group, so does not matter). Hence the image of $\mathit{trf}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-}(\sigma)$ vanishes in $L^h_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}^{>0}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$. The exact sequence

$$\cdots\to L^{\mathbf{U}}_{2k+1}(\mathbf{Z}G,w)\to L^h_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))\to L^h_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}^{>0}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})),$$

with $\mathbf{U}=\ker(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}G)\to\widetilde{K}_0(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})))$, now shows that there exists a torsion element

$$\hat\sigma\in\operatorname{Im}(L^{\mathbf{U}}_{2k+1}(\mathbf{Z}G,w)\to L^p_{2k+1}(\mathbf{Z}G,w))$$

with $c_*(\hat\sigma)=\mathit{trf}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-}(\sigma)\in L^p_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$. □

Remark 8.11. In Theorem 10.7 we use the sharper result $\hat\sigma\in L^{\mathbf{U}}_{2k+1}(\mathbf{Z}G,w)$ to improve our unstable similarity results by removing extra $\mathbf{R}_-$ factors. The L-group decoration $\mathbf{U}$ is independent of W, since $K_0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))\to K_0(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})$ is injective by Corollary 6.9, and hence $\mathbf{U}=\operatorname{Im}(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}H)\to\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}G))$.

9. The proof of Theorem C

By replacing V_i by $V_i\oplus U$ if necessary, where U is free and 2–dimensional, we may assume that $\dim V_i\oplus W\equiv 0 \bmod 4$. This uses the s–normal cobordism condition. By the top component argument (see [**I**], Theorem 3.8) and Proposition 7.7, we may also assume that W has only 2–power isotropy. We have a commutative diagram analogous to [**I**] (8.1).

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^1(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))) \arrow[rr, bend left, "\delta^2"] \arrow[dr] & & H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \arrow[rr, bend left] \arrow[dr] & & L^s_0(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \\
& L^h_1(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \arrow[ur] \arrow[dr] & & H^1(\Delta_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-}) \arrow[ur] \arrow[dr] & \\
L^s_1(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \arrow[ur] \arrow[rr, bend right] & & L^p_1(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \arrow[ur] \arrow[rr, bend right] & & H^0(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})))
\end{tikzcd}\tag{9.1}$$

where $H^1(\Delta_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-})$ denotes the relative group of the double coboundary map.

This diagram for W can be compared with the one for $W_1 = W_{max}$ via the inclusion maps, and we see that the K-theory terms map isomorphically by Lemma 6.2. Now by Theorem 8.1 there exists a torsion element

$$\hat{\sigma} \in \operatorname{Im}(L_1^p(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to L_1^p(\mathcal{C}_{W_1\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})))$$

which hits $trf_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-}$ under the cone point inclusion. In particular, $\hat{\sigma}$ vanishes "away from $\mathbf{R}_-$". The main step in the proof of Theorem C is to show that the torsion subgroup of $L_1^p(\mathcal{C}_{W_1\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ essentially injects into the relative group $H^1(\Delta_{W_1\times\mathbf{R}_-})$ of the double coboundary.

We have the exact sequence

$$L_2^s(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{W_1\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to L_1^Y(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to L_1^s(\mathcal{C}_{W_1\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to L_1^s(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{W_1\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$$

and $Y = \ker(\operatorname{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to \operatorname{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{W_1\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ is zero, by Corollary 6.9. But the first term $L_2^s(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{W_1\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ is a torsion group, by Lemma 8.3, and the next term in the sequence $L_1^{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) = L_1^s(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ is torsion–free by [**I**], Lemma 7.1 so the middle map $L_1^{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \rightarrowtail L_1^s(\mathcal{C}_{W_1\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ is an injection.

Since $L_1^s(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{W_1\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) = \mathbf{Z}/2$ by Remark 8.8 we conclude that the previous group $L_1^s(\mathcal{C}_{W_1\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ is torsion–free modulo this $\mathbf{Z}/2$, which injects into $L_1^{\langle -1\rangle}(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{W_1\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) = \mathbf{Z}/2 \oplus H^1(K_{-1}(\mathbf{Z}K))$. Since $\hat{\sigma}$ vanishes away from $\mathbf{R}_-$, this extra $\mathbf{Z}/2$ may be ignored.

By substituting this computation into the exact sequence

$$L_1^s(\mathcal{C}_{W_1\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to L_1^p(\mathcal{C}_{W_1\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to H^1(\Delta_{W_1\times\mathbf{R}_-})$$

of (9.1), we see that the torsion subgroup of

$$\ker(L_1^p(\mathcal{C}_{W_1\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to L_1^p(\mathcal{C}^{>\mathbf{R}_-}_{W_1\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})))$$

injects into the relative group $H^1(\Delta_{W_1\times\mathbf{R}_-})$ of the double coboundary. Therefore $\hat{\sigma} \in L_1^p(\mathcal{C}_{W_1\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ vanishes if and only if the element $\{\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)\}$ is in the image of the double coboundary

$$\delta^2\colon H^1(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathcal{C}_{W_{max}\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))) \to H^1(\operatorname{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{W_{max}\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})))$$

This completes the proof of Theorem C.

A very similar argument can be used to give an inductive criterion for non–linear similarity without the $\mathbf{R}_+$ summand (generalizing Theorem A). In the statement we will use the analogue to $\mathbf{k} = \ker(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}H) \to \widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}G))$, namely

$$\mathbf{k}_W = \ker(\operatorname{Wh}(\mathcal{C}^{>0}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to \widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}G)).$$

By Corollary 6.9

$$\ker(\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G) \to \operatorname{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \cong \operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H) \to \operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G)) \cong \operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H)$$

so we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G)/\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H) \to \operatorname{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \to \mathbf{k}_W \to 0\ .$$

It follows from our K–theory calculations that $\mathbf{k}_W = \mathbf{k}_{W_{max}}$ and that $\mathbf{k}_W = \mathbf{k}$ whenever $K_{-1}(\mathbf{Z}K) = 0$ for all $K \in \operatorname{Iso}(W)$.

Theorem 9.2. *Let $V_1 = t^{a_1} + \cdots + t^{a_k}$ and $V_2 = t^{b_1} + \cdots + t^{b_k}$ be free G–representations. Let W be a complex G–representation with no $\mathbf{R}_+$ summands. Then there exists a topological similarity $V_1 \oplus W \oplus \mathbf{R}_- \sim_t V_2 \oplus W \oplus \mathbf{R}_-$ if and only if*

(i) *$S(V_1)$ is s–normally cobordant to $S(V_2)$,*
(ii) *$\operatorname{Res}_H(V_1 \oplus W) \oplus \mathbf{R}_+ \sim_t \operatorname{Res}_H(V_2 \oplus W) \oplus \mathbf{R}_+$, and*
(iii) *the element $\{\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)\}$ is in the image of the coboundary*

$$\delta\colon H^0(\mathbf{k}_{W_{max}}) \to H^1(\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)/\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H)),$$

where $0 \subseteq W_{max} \subseteq W$ is a complex subrepresentation of real dimension ≤ 2 with maximal isotropy group among the isotropy groups of W with 2-power index.

Proof. In this case, we have a torsion element $\hat{\sigma} \in L^h_1(\mathcal{C}_{W_1\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ which maps to our surgery obstruction $trf_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-}$ under the cone point inclusion. As in the proof of Theorem C, the torsion subgroup of $L^h_1(\mathcal{C}_{W_1\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ injects into $H^1(\operatorname{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{W_1\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))) \cong H^1(\operatorname{Wh}(\mathcal{C}_{W_1\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})))$. Therefore $\hat{\sigma} \in L^h_1(\mathcal{C}_{W_1\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ vanishes if and only if the element $\{\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)\}$ is in the image of the coboundary

$$\delta\colon H^0(\mathbf{k}_{W_{max}}) \to H^1(\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)/\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H))$$

as required. □

10. ITERATED $\mathbf{R}_-$ TRANSFERS

We now apply Theorem 5.12 to show that iterated $\mathbf{R}_-$ transfers do not lead to any new similarities.

Theorem 10.1. *Suppose that W is a complex G–representation with no $\mathbf{R}_+$ summands. Then $V_1 \oplus W \oplus \mathbf{R}^l_- \oplus \mathbf{R}_+ \sim_t V_2 \oplus W \oplus \mathbf{R}^l_- \oplus \mathbf{R}_+$ for $l \geqq 1$ implies $V_1 \oplus W \oplus \mathbf{R}_- \oplus \mathbf{R}_+ \sim_t V_2 \oplus W \oplus \mathbf{R}_- \oplus \mathbf{R}_+$.*

The first step in the proof is to show injectivity of certain transfer maps. For any homomorphism $w\colon G \to \{\pm 1\}$, we will use the notation $(\mathcal{C}_{W,G}(\mathbf{Z}), w)$ to denote the antistructure where the involution is $g \mapsto w(g)g^{-1}$ at the cone point. The standard orientation (5.4) has $w = \det(\rho_W)$, but we will need others in this section. Let $\phi = \det(\rho_{\mathbf{R}_-})$ for short, and notice that $\phi\colon G \to \{\pm 1\}$ is non–trivial.

Lemma 10.2. *The transfer map $trf_{\mathbf{R}_-}\colon L^p_{2k+1}(\mathbf{Z}G, w) \to L^p_{2k+2}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}), w\phi)$ is injective, where w is the non–trivial orientation.*

Proof. Since $L^p_{2k+2}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}), w) \cong L^p_{2k+2}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G)$, we just have to check that the previous map in the L^p version of (5.8) is zero (using the tables in [14]). □

Next, a similar result for the $\mathbf{R}^2_-$ transfer.

Proposition 10.3. *The bounded transfer*

$$trf_{\mathbf{R}^2_-}\colon L^p_{2k+1}(\mathbf{Z}G, w) \to L^p_{2k+3}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}^2_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}), w)$$

is injective, where w is the non–trivial orientation.

Proof. We can relate the iterated $\mathbf{R}_-$ transfer $trf_1 \circ trf_1$ to $trf_2 = trf_{\mathbf{R}^2_-}$ by means of the braid diagram:

(10.4)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L_n(\mathbf{Z}G, w) \arrow[dr, "trf_1"] \arrow[rr, bend left, "trf_2"] & & L_{n+2}(\mathbf{Z}\Gamma_H \to \mathbf{Z}G, w) \arrow[dr] \arrow[rr, bend left] & & LNS_{n+3}(\Phi) \\
& L_{n+1}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G, w\phi) \arrow[ur, "trf_1"] \arrow[dr] & & LS_{n+3}(\Phi) \arrow[ur] \arrow[dr] & \\
LNS_n(\Phi) \arrow[ur] \arrow[rr, bend right] & & LN_{n+3}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G, w\phi) \arrow[ur] \arrow[rr, bend right] & & L_{n+3}(\mathbf{Z}G, w)
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the new groups $LNS_*(\Phi)$ are the relative groups of the transfer

$$trf_1 = trf_{\mathbf{R}_-} : L^p_k(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-, G}(\mathbf{Z}), w\phi) \to L^p_{k+1}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}^2_-, G}(\mathbf{Z}), w) \ .$$

The diagram Φ of groups (as in [29, Chap.11]) contains $\Gamma_H \cong \mathbf{Z} \times H$ as the fundamental group of $S(\mathbf{R}_- \oplus \mathbf{R}_-)/G$ (see [11, 5.9]).

The groups $LNS_*(\Phi)$ have a geometric bordism description in terms of triples $L^{n-2} \subset N^{n-1} \subset M^n$ where each manifold has fundamental group G, N is characteristic in M, and L is characteristic in N, with respect to the index two inclusion $H < G$. There is also an algebraic description for $LNS_*(\Phi)$ in terms of "twisted antistructures" [13] as for the other groups $LN(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G, w\phi)$ [29, 12C] and for $LS(\Phi, w)$ [21, 7.8.12]. Substituting these descriptions into the braid gives:

(10.5)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L_{2k+1}(\mathbf{Z}G, \alpha, u) \arrow[dr, "trf_1"] \arrow[rr, bend left, "trf_2"] & & L_{2k+1}(\mathbf{Z}\Gamma_H \to \mathbf{Z}G, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{u}) \arrow[dr] \arrow[rr, bend left] & & L_{2k}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}\Gamma_H, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{u}) \\
& L_{2k+1}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G, \alpha, u) \arrow[ur, "trf_1"] \arrow[dr] & & L_{2k}(\mathbf{Z}\Gamma_H, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{u}) \arrow[ur] \arrow[dr] & \\
L_{2k+1}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}\Gamma_H, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{u}) \arrow[ur] \arrow[rr, bend right] & & L_{2k}(\mathbf{Z}H, \alpha, u) \arrow[ur] \arrow[rr, bend right] & & L_{2k}(\mathbf{Z}G, \alpha, u)
\end{tikzcd}$$

The antistructure $(\mathbf{Z}G, \alpha, u)$ is the twisted antistructure obtained by scaling with an element $a \in G - H$. The antistructure $(\mathbf{Z}\Gamma_H, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{u})$ is the one defined by Ranicki [21, p.805], then scaled by $\tilde{a} \in \Gamma_H$, where $\tilde{a}$ maps to a under the projection $\Gamma_H \to G$. Since $\Gamma_H \cong \mathbf{Z} \times H$, we have an exact sequence (see [17, Theorem 4.1])

$$\to L^p_n(\mathbf{Z}H, \alpha, u) \to L^p_n(\mathbf{Z}\Gamma_H, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{u}) \to L^{\langle -1 \rangle}_{n-1}(\mathbf{Z}H, \alpha, u) \xrightarrow{1-w(a)} L^p_{n-1}(\mathbf{Z}H, \alpha, u)$$

and it follows that $L^p_n(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}\Gamma_H, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{u}) \cong L^{\langle -1\rangle}_{n-1}(\mathbf{Z}H, \alpha, u)$. It is not difficult to see that $L^{\langle -1\rangle}_{2k}(\mathbf{Z}H, \alpha, u)$ is torsion–free (except for the Arf invariant summand) by a similar argument to [**I**], Theorem 5.2, using the L^p to $L^{\langle -1\rangle}$ Ranicki-Rothenberg sequence. We first check that $L^p_{2k}(\mathbf{Z}H, \alpha, u)$ is torsion–free (again except for the Arf invariant summand) from the tables in [14, 14.21].

Now observe that $L^p_n(\mathbf{Z}G, \alpha, u) \cong L^p_n(\mathbf{Z}G, w)$, and

$$L^p_{2k+1}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G, \alpha, u) \cong L^p_{2k+2}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G)\ .$$

The transfer $trf_{\mathbf{R}_-} : L^p_{2k+1}(\mathbf{Z}G, w) \to L^p_{2k+2}(\mathbf{Z}H \to \mathbf{Z}G)$ is injective by Lemma 10.2, and since $w(a) = -1$, the map

$$L^{\langle -1\rangle}_{2k}(\mathbf{Z}H, \alpha, u) \xrightarrow{1-w(a)} L^p_{2k}(\mathbf{Z}H, \alpha, u)$$

is also injective (except for the Arf invariant summand). Therefore $L^p_{2k+1}(\mathbf{Z}G, w)$ must inject into the relative group $L^p_{2k+1}(\mathbf{Z}\Gamma_H \to \mathbf{Z}G, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{u}) \cong L^p_{2k+3}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}^2_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}), w)$. $\square$

The final step in the proof is to consider the following commutative square of spectra:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}_{pt}(\mathbf{Z}G), w) \arrow[r, "c_*"] \arrow[d, "trf_{\mathbf{R}_-}"'] & \mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}_{V,G}(\mathbf{Z}), w) \arrow[d, "trf_{\mathbf{R}_-}"] \\
\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}), w\phi) \arrow[r, "c_*"] & \mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}_{V\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}), w\phi)
\end{tikzcd}$$

for any G-representation V with no $\mathbf{R}_+$ summands.

Lemma 10.6. *This is a pull–back square of $L^{\langle -\infty\rangle}$ spectra.*

Proof. The fibres of the vertical $\mathbf{R}_-$ transfers are

$$\mathbb{LN}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}), w\phi) \cong \mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}_{pt}(\mathbf{Z}H, \alpha, u))\ ,$$

and $\mathbb{LN}(\mathcal{C}_{V\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}), w\phi) \cong \mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}_{V,H}(\mathbf{Z}), \alpha, u)$ respectively by (5.8), and the fibre of the cone point inclusion

$$\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}_{pt}(\mathbf{Z}H), \alpha, u) \to \mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}_{V,H}(\mathbf{Z}), \alpha, u)$$

is $\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}^{>0}_{V,H}(\mathbf{Z}), \alpha, u)$. But Theorem 5.12 shows that $\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}^{>0}_{V,H}(\mathbf{Z}), \alpha, u)$ is contractible, and therefore the cone point inclusion is a homotopy equivalence. It follows that the fibres of the horizontal maps are also homotopy equivalent. $\square$

The proof of Theorem 10.1. It is enough to prove that $trf_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-^{l+1}}(\sigma) = 0$, for $l \geqq 1$, implies $trf_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-}(\sigma) = 0$ in the top component of $L^p_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$. We may assume that $\mathrm{Iso}(W)$ only contains subgroups of 2–power index, and let $\dim W = 2k$. We may also assume that l is even, by crossing with another $\mathbf{R}_-$ if necessary. Now by Theorem C, $trf_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-^{2s+1}}(\sigma) = 0$ implies $trf_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-^{2s-1}}(\sigma) = 0$, provided that $s \geqq 2$. It therefore remains to study $l = 2$.

The pullback squares provided by Lemma 10.6 can be combined as follows. Consider the diagram of $L^{\langle -\infty\rangle}$-spectra

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}_{pt}(\mathbf{Z}G), w) \arrow[r, "c_*"] \arrow[d, "trf_{\mathbf{R}_-}"'] & \mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}), w\phi) \arrow[r, "c_*"] \arrow[d, "trf_{\mathbf{R}_-}"'] & \mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}^2_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}), w) \arrow[d, "trf_{\mathbf{R}_-}"'] \\
\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}), w\phi) \arrow[r, "c_*"] & \mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}^2_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}), w) \arrow[r, "c_*"] & \mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}^3_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}), w\phi)
\end{tikzcd}$$

whose outer square ($V = W \times \mathbf{R}^2_-$) and the left-hand square ($V = \mathbf{R}_-$) are both pullback squares, and hence so is the right-hand square. Next consider the diagram of $L^{\langle -\infty\rangle}$-spectra

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}_{pt}(\mathbf{Z}G), w) \arrow[r, "c_*"] \arrow[d, "trf_{\mathbf{R}_-}"'] & \mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}), w\phi) \arrow[d, "trf_{\mathbf{R}_-}"'] \\
\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}), w\phi) \arrow[r, "c_*"] \arrow[d, "trf_{\mathbf{R}_-}"'] & \mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}^2_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}), w) \arrow[d, "trf_{\mathbf{R}_-}"'] \\
\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}^2_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}), w) \arrow[r, "c_*"] & \mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}^3_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}), w\phi)
\end{tikzcd}$$

The lower square was just shown to be a pull-back, and the upper square is another special case of Lemma 10.6 (with $V = W \times \mathbf{R}_-$). Therefore the outer square is a pull-back, and this is the one used for the case $l = 2$.

Now we apply homotopy groups to these pull-back squares (using the fact that $L^{\langle -\infty\rangle} \equiv L^{\langle -1\rangle}$ to obtain the lower squares in the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L^p_{2k+2}(\mathcal{C}^{>0}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\cong"] & L^p_{2k+1}(\mathbf{Z}G, w) \arrow[r, "c_*"] \arrow[d] & L^p_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}), w) \arrow[d] \\
L^{\langle -1\rangle}_{2k+2}(\mathcal{C}^{>0}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\cong"] & L^{\langle -1\rangle}_{2k+1}(\mathbf{Z}G, w) \arrow[r, "c_*"] \arrow[d, "trf_{\mathbf{R}^2_-}"'] & L^{\langle -1\rangle}_{2k+1}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}), w) \arrow[d, "trf_{\mathbf{R}^2_-}"] \\
L^{\langle -1\rangle}_{2k+4}(\mathcal{C}^{>0}_{W\times\mathbf{R}^3_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) \arrow[r] & L^{\langle -1\rangle}_{2k+3}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}^2_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}), w) \arrow[r, "c_*"] & L^{\langle -1\rangle}_{2k+3}(\mathcal{C}_{W\times\mathbf{R}^3_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}), w)
\end{tikzcd}$$

The vertical maps in the top row squares are induced by the change of K-theory decoration. We need some information about the maps in this diagram.

(i) The upper left-hand vertical map is an isomorphism, since $K_{-1}(\mathcal{C}^{>0}_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z})) = 0$.

(ii) The lower left-hand vertical map is an isomorphism, by Lemma 10.6.

(iii) The transfer map

$$trf_{\mathbf{R}^2_-} : L^p_{2k+1}(\mathbf{Z}G, w) \to L^p_{2k+3}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}^2_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}), w)$$

is injective, from Proposition 10.3.

(iv) The map

$$L^p_{2k+3}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}^2_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}), w) \to L^{\langle -1\rangle}_{2k+3}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}^2_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}), w)$$

is injective, by [**I**], Corollary 6.13.

(v) The composite of the middle two vertical maps in the diagram is injective, by combining parts (iii)-(iv).

Suppose that $trf_{W\times\mathbf{R}^3_-}(\sigma)=0$. We have proved that the transfer map

$$trf_{\mathbf{R}^2_-}\colon L^p_{2k+1}(\mathbf{Z}G,w)\to L^{\langle -1\rangle}_{2k+3}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}^2_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}),w)$$

is injective in part (v). Since $trf_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-}(\sigma)=c_*(\hat\sigma)$ for some $\hat\sigma\in L^p_{2k+1}(\mathbf{Z}G,w)$, it follows by a diagram chase that $trf_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-}(\sigma)=0$ and we are done. □

We also have a version without $\mathbf{R}_+$ summands.

Theorem 10.7. *Suppose that W is a complex G–representation with $W^G=0$. Then $V_1\oplus W\oplus\mathbf{R}^l_-\sim_t V_2\oplus W\oplus\mathbf{R}^l_-$ for $l\geqq 3$ implies $V_1\oplus W\oplus\mathbf{R}^3_-\sim_t V_2\oplus W\oplus\mathbf{R}^3_-$.*

Proof. This follows from a similar argument, using Theorem 9.2 instead of Theorem C. The injectivity results of Lemma 10.2 and Proposition 10.3 also hold for trf_1 and trf_2 on $L^{\mathbf{U}}_{2k+1}(\mathbf{Z}G,w)$, where $\mathbf{U}=\operatorname{Im}(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}H)\to\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}G))$ as in Remark 8.11. This L-group decoration fits in exact sequences with $L^p_n(\mathbf{Z}H)$ so the previous calculations for injectivity apply again. The details are left to the reader. □

11. THE PROOF OF COROLLARY 2.4

Suppose that $G=C(4q)$, q odd, and that $V_1\oplus\mathbf{R}_-\oplus\mathbf{R}_+\sim_t V_2\oplus\mathbf{R}_-\oplus\mathbf{R}_+$ with $\dim V_i=4$. We will use Theorem B and the assumption about odd class numbers to prove that $V_1\cong V_2$. This is the only case we need to discuss to prove Corollary 2.4. If we started instead with $V_1\oplus\mathbf{R}^2_-\sim_t V_2\oplus\mathbf{R}^2_-$, then stabilizing with $\mathbf{R}_+$ and applying Theorem 10.1 would reduce to the case above.

We may assume that $q>1$ is a prime, and that the free representations have the form $V_1=t+t^i$ and $V_2=t^{1+2q}+t^{i+2q}$ where $(i,2q)=1$ (not all weights are $\equiv 1\bmod 4$). The Reidemeister torsion invariant is $\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)=U_{1,i}\in\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G)$ in the notation for units of $\mathbf{Z}G$ used in [5, p. 732]. With respect to the involution $^-\colon t\mapsto -t^{-1}$, this element defines a class $u_{1,i}=\{U_{1,i}\}\in H^1(\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-))$. Since the map $L^p_1(\mathbf{Z}G^-)\to L^p_1(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_-,G}(\mathbf{Z}))$ is injective, it is enough to show that the image of $u_{1,i}$ is non–trivial in $H^1(\Delta)$ (see diagram [**I**] (6.7)).

Let $A=\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_{4q}]$ be the ring of cyclotomic integers., and $B=\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_{2q}]$. We will study the top component $\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G)(q)$ by comparing it to $K_1(\mathcal{M})$, where $\mathcal{M}=A\times B\times B$ is the top component of an involution invariant maximal order in $\mathbf{Q}G$ containing $\mathbf{Z}G$. Notice that the two copies of B are interchanged under the involution, so $H^i(K_1(\mathcal{M}))=H^i(A^\times)$. We have the exact sequence

$$0\to\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G)(q)\to K_1(\mathcal{M})\to K_1(\widehat{\mathcal{M}})/\operatorname{Wh}(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}G)(q)\to D(\mathbf{Z}G)(q)\to 0\ .$$

where $D(\mathbf{Z}G)=\ker(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}G)\to\widetilde{K}_0(\mathcal{M}))$. Note that $H^i(D(\mathbf{Z}G))=H^i(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}G))$ since A has odd class number.

Let $i_*\colon H^1(\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)(q))\to H^1(A^\times)$ denote the map on the Tate cohomology induced by the inclusion $i\colon\operatorname{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G)(q)\to A^\times$.

Lemma 11.1. *For $(j,2q)=1$ and $j\equiv 1\bmod 4$, the image $i_*(u_{1,j})=\langle -1\rangle\in H^1(A^\times)$.*

Proof. Let $\gamma_j=\frac{t^j-1}{t-1}\in A^\times$, and compute $\bar\gamma_j/\gamma_j$ with respect to the non–oriented involution. We get $\bar\gamma_j/\gamma_j=u_{1,j}/u_{1,1}$, so $i_*(u_{1,j})=i_*(u_{1,1})$ for all i. Now let $v=\frac{t+1}{t-1}$. Since $t=-t^{1+2q}$, this is a cyclotomic unit in A with $v\bar v=-1$. But $v/\bar v=-u_{1,1}$, so $i_*(u_{1,j})=\langle -1\rangle$ in $H^1(A^\times)$. □

Next we need a computation:

Lemma 11.2. *$0 \neq \langle -1 \rangle \in H^1(A^\times)$.*

Proof. Let $E = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{4q})$ be the quotient field of A. Since A has odd class number, $H^i(E^\times/A^\times) \cong H^i(\hat{E}^\times/\hat{A}^\times)$. Next, observe that the extension E/F is evenly ramified at q, where F is the fixed field of the involution $^-\colon E \to E$. It follows that the map $H^0(\hat{E}_q^\times) \to H^0(\hat{E}_q^\times/\hat{A}_q^\times)$ is zero. We finish by considering the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^0(E^\times) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & H^0(E^\times/A^\times) \arrow[r, "\delta"] \arrow[d, "\approx"] & H^1(A^\times) \arrow[d] \\
H^0(\hat{E}^\times) \arrow[r] & H^0(\hat{E}^\times/\hat{A}^\times) \arrow[r, tail] & H^1(\hat{A}^\times)
\end{tikzcd}$$

and the prime element $w = \zeta_q - \zeta_q^{-1} \in E$ over q. Since $\bar{w}/w = -1$, ω defines an element in $H^0(E^\times/A^\times)$ and $\delta(w) = \langle -1 \rangle \in H^1(A^\times)$. On the other hand, w maps under the middle isomorphism to a non–zero element in $H^0(\hat{E}_q^\times/\hat{A}_q^\times)$ so $\delta(w) \neq 0$. □

Now we can complete the proof of Corollary 2.4 by considering the commutative braid:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^0(A^\times) \arrow[rr, bend left] \arrow[dr] & & H^0\left(\dfrac{K_1(\widehat{\mathcal{M}})}{\mathrm{Wh}(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}G)(q)}\right) \arrow[rr, bend left] \arrow[dr] & & H^0(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}G^-)(q)) \\
& H^0\left(\dfrac{K_1(\mathcal{M})}{\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G)(q)}\right) \arrow[ur] \arrow[dr] & & H^1(\Delta) \arrow[ur] \arrow[dr] & \\
H^1(\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}G^-)(q)) \arrow[ur] \arrow[rr, bend right, "\delta^2"'] & & H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)(q)) \arrow[ur] \arrow[rr, bend right, "i_*"'] & & H^1(A^\times)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{11.3}$$

containing the double coboundary and its relative group $H^1(\Delta)$. By commutativity of the lower right triangle, the image of our obstruction element $u_{1,j}$ is non–zero in $H^1(A^\times)$ and therefore non–zero in $H^1(\Delta)$.

12. The normal invariant

In this section we collect some results about normal cobordisms of lens spaces with cyclic fundamental group G. Recall that a necessary condition for the existence of a similarity $V_1 \oplus W \sim_t V_2 \oplus W$ is that $S(V_1)$ and $S(V_2)$ must be s-normally cobordant. In [4, §1] it is asserted that their formula (A') gives necessary and sufficient conditions for homotopy equivalent lens spaces to be s–normally cobordant, when $G = C(2^r)$. However in [31, 1.3] it is shown that the given conditions (A') are sufficient but not necessary. We only use the sufficiency here, and study the subgroups $\widetilde{R}^{free}(G)$ and $\widetilde{R}_h^{free}(G)$ of $R(G)$ defined in Section 3. Recall that $\widetilde{R}^{free}(G) = \ker(\mathrm{Res}\colon R^{free}(G) \to R^{free}(G_{odd}))$.

Lemma 12.1. *Let $G = C(2^r q)$ be a finite cyclic group, and G_2 be the 2–Sylow subgroup. If $q > 1$ there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \to \mathbf{Z}/2 \to \widetilde{R}^{free}(G)/\widetilde{R}^{free}_h(G) \to \widetilde{R}^{free}(G_2)/\widetilde{R}^{free}_h(G_2) \to 0$$

given by the restriction map Res_{G_2}. *The kernel is generated by any element $\alpha \in \widetilde{R}^{free}(G)$ with k–invariant $k(\alpha) \in (\mathbf{Z}/|G|)^\times/\{\pm 1\}$ in the coset $k \equiv 1 \bmod 2^r$ and $k \equiv -1 \bmod q$.*

Proof. There is a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \widetilde{R}^{free}_h(G) \to \widetilde{R}^{free}(G) \to (\mathbf{Z}/2^r q)^\times/\{\pm 1\}$$

given by the k–invariant. Moreover, the k–invariants of elements in $\widetilde{R}^{free}(G)$ lie in

$$\ker\big((\mathbf{Z}/2^r q)^\times/\{\pm 1\} \to (\mathbf{Z}/q)^\times/\{\pm 1\}\big) \cong \{lq + 1 \mid l \in \mathbf{Z}\} \subseteq (\mathbf{Z}/2^r q)^\times$$

which injects under reduction $\bmod 2^r$ into $(\mathbf{Z}/2^r)^\times$ if $q > 1$. Restriction of the k–invariant to G_2 detects only its value in $(\mathbf{Z}/2^r)^\times/\{\pm 1\}$, so the kernel has order 2. Since the restriction map $\widetilde{R}^{free}G \to \widetilde{R}^{free}(G_2)$ is surjective, we have the required exact sequence. □

The situation for the normal invariant is simpler. Recall that we write $V \doteq V'$ or $(V - V') \doteq 0$ if there exists a homotopy equivalence $f\colon S(V)/G \to S(V')/G$ of lens spaces, such that f is s–normally cobordant to the identity.

Lemma 12.2. *Let $G = C(2^r q)$ be a finite cyclic group. Then*

$$\ker\big(\operatorname{Res}\colon R^{free}(G) \to R^{free}(C(q)) \oplus R^{free}(C(2^r))\big) \subseteq R^{free}_n(G)\ .$$

Proof. We may assume that $r \geq 2$, since $\operatorname{Res}\colon R^{free}(C(2q)) \to R^{free}(C(q))$ is an isomorphism, and consider an element

$$\sum t^{a_i} - \sum t^{b_i} \in \ker\big(R^{free}(G) \to R^{free}(C(q)) \oplus R^{free}(C(2^r))\big).$$

With a suitable ordering of the indices, $a_i \equiv b_i \bmod 2^r$ and $a_i \equiv b_{\tau(i)} \bmod q$ for some permutation τ. Since the normal invariants of lens spaces are detected by a cohomology theory, we can check the condition at each Sylow subgroup separately. It follows that $\sum t^{a_i} \doteq \sum t^{b_i}$. □

Example 12.3. For $G = C(24)$ we have $\widetilde{R}^{free}(G) = \{t - t^5, t - t^7, t - t^{11}\}$ and the subgroup $\ker \operatorname{Res}_{C(8)} \cap \widetilde{R}^{free}(G) = \{t - t^7, t^5 - t^{11}\}$.

Lemma 12.4. *Let $G = C(2^r q)$ be a finite cyclic group, and G_2 be the 2–Sylow subgroup. If $r \geq 2$ there is an isomorphism*

$$\widetilde{R}^{free}_h(G)/\widetilde{R}^{free}_n(G) \to \widetilde{R}^{free}_h(G_2)/\widetilde{R}^{free}_n(G_2)$$

given by the restriction map Res_{G_2}.

Proof. We first remark that if $W = t^{a_1} + \cdots + t^{a_n}$ is a free G_2–representation (here we use the assumption that $r \geq 2$), then by choosing integers $b_i \equiv a_i \bmod 2^r$ and $b_i \equiv 1 \bmod q$ we obtain a free G–representation $V = t^{b_1} + \cdots + t^{b_n}$ with $\operatorname{Res}_{G_2}(V) = W$. It follows that

$$\operatorname{Res}_{G_2}\colon \widetilde{R}^{free}_h(G) \to \widetilde{R}^{free}_h(G_2)$$

is surjective, and therefore

$$\operatorname{Res}_{G_2}\colon \widetilde{R}^{free}_h(G)/\widetilde{R}^{free}_n(G)) \to \widetilde{R}^{free}_h(G_2)/\widetilde{R}^{free}_n(G_2)$$

is also surjective.

Now suppose $\alpha \in \widetilde{R}_h^{free}(G)$ and $\mathrm{Res}_{G_2}(\alpha) \in \widetilde{R}_n^{free}(G_2)$. This means that $\mathrm{Res}_{G_2}(\alpha) = (W - W')$ for some free G_2–representation W, W' such that $W \doteq W'$. By the construction of the last paragraph, we can find free G–representations V, V' such that (i) $\mathrm{Res}_{G_2}(V) = W$ and $\mathrm{Res}_{G_2}(V') = W'$, and (ii) $\alpha' = (V - V') \in \widetilde{R}_h^{free}(G)$. It follows that $V \doteq V'$ and so $\alpha' \in \widetilde{R}_n^{free}(G)$), and

$$\alpha - \alpha' \in \ker\big(R_h^{free}(G) \to R_h^{free}(C(q)) \oplus R_h^{free}(C(2^r))\big).$$

By Lemma 12.2, $\alpha - \alpha' \in \widetilde{R}_n^{free}(G)$ and so $\alpha \in \widetilde{R}_n^{free}(G)$. □

Our final result is a step towards determining $R_h(G)/R_n(G)$ more explicitly.

Lemma 12.5. *Let* $b_{i,s} = (t^i - t^{2^{r-s}q-i}) \in \widetilde{R}^{free}(G)$ *for* $1 \leq i < 2^{r-s-1}q$, $1 \leq s \leq r-1$, *and* $(i, 2q) = 1$. *Let* $l(i,s) = s$ *for* $1 \leq s \leq r-2$, *and* $l(i, r-1)$ *the order of* $i/(2q-i) \in (\mathbf{Z}/2^r)^\times$. *Then* $b_{i,s}$ *is an element of order* $2^{l(i,s)}$ *in* $R(G)/R_h(G)$, *and* $2^{l(i,s)} \cdot b_{i,s} \doteq 0$.

Proof. If $1 \leq s \leq r-2$ then $k(b_{i,s}) = i/(2^{r-s}q - i) \in (\mathbf{Z}/2^r q)^\times/\{\pm 1\}$ is congruent to $-1 \bmod 4q$. By Lemma 12.1 the linear span of these elements in $R(G)/R_h(G)$ injects into $R(G_2)/R_h(G_2)$, where G_2 is the 2–Sylow subgroup. By Lemma 12.4 the normal invariant is also detected by restriction to G_2, so it is enough to prove the assertions about these elements ($s \leq r-2$) when $G = C(2^r)$.

For the first part we must show that the k–invariant of $b_{i,s}$ has order 2^s. We will use the expression

$$\nu_2(r!) = r - \alpha_2(r),$$

where $\alpha_2(r)$ is the number of non-zero coefficients in the 2-adic expansion of r, for the 2-adic valuation of r. Then

$$\nu_2(\binom{2^s}{k}) = s - \nu_2(k)$$

for $1 \leq k \leq 2^s$. These formulas and the binomial expansion show that $k(b_{i,s})^{2^s} \equiv 1 \bmod 2^r$ for $1 \leq s \leq r-2$.

Next we consider the normal invariant. For $1 \leq s \leq r-2$ we will take $q = 1$ and apply the criterion (A') of [4] to show that $2^s \cdot b_{i,s} \approx 0$. This amounts to a re-labelling of our original elements $b_{i,s}$ without changing the order of their k–invariants.

We must now compute the elementary symmetric functions $\sigma_k(2^s \cdot i^2)$ and $\sigma_k(2^s \cdot (2^{r-s} - i)^2)$, where the notation $2^s \cdot i^2$ means that the weight i^2 is repeated 2^s times in the symmetric function. The formula (A') is

$$\sigma_k(2^s \cdot (2^{r-s} + i)^2) - \sigma_k(2^s \cdot i^2) \equiv 2\big((2^{r-s} - i)^{2^s} - i^{2^s}\big)\binom{2^s - 1}{k-1} \bmod 2^{r+3}$$

so on the right-hand side we have

$$\begin{cases} +2^{r+1} \bmod 2^{r+3} & \text{if } k \text{ odd} \\ -2^{r+1} \bmod 2^{r+3} & \text{if } k \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

The formula (A') assumes that the weights are congruent to $1 \bmod 4$, or in our case $i \equiv 1 \bmod 4$. Therefore, if $i \equiv 3 \bmod 4$, we must use the equivalent weights $-i$ and $-(2^{r-s} - i)$.

To compute the left-hand side we use the Newton polynomials s_k and their expressions in term of elementary symmetric functions. We need the property $s_k(2^s \cdot i^2) = 2^s i^{2k}$ and the coefficient of σ_k in s_k which is $(-1)^{k+1}k$. By induction, we see that the left-hand side is just

$$\frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k}\big(s_k(2^s \cdot (2^{r-s} - i)^2) - s_k(2^s \cdot i^2)\big) \equiv \frac{(-1)^{k+1}2^s}{k}\big((2^{r-s} - i)^{2k} - i^{2k}\big) \bmod 2^{r+3}$$

But by writing

$$(2^{r-s} - i)^{2k} - i^{2k} = (2^{r-s}\theta + i^2)^k - i^{2k}$$

where $\theta = 2^{r-s} - 2i \equiv 2 \bmod 4$, our expression becomes

$$\frac{(-1)^{k+1}2^s}{k}(2^{r-s}k\theta) \equiv (-1)^{k+1}2^{r+1} \bmod 2^{r+3}$$

If $s = r - 1$, then $k(b_{i,s}) = i/(2q - i) \equiv -1 \bmod q$, and $k(b_{i,s}) \equiv 1 \bmod 2^r$ whenever $i \equiv q \bmod 2^{r-1}$. This is for example always the case for $G = C(4q)$. Such elements $b_{i,r-1}$ lie in the kernel of the restriction map to $R(C(2^r))$. Moreover, if $b_{i,r-1} \in \ker\big(R(G) \to R(C(2^r))\big)$, then $2b_{i,r-1} \in R_h(G)$ and $2b_{i,r-1} \doteq 0$ by Lemma 12.2. Otherwise, the order of $b_{i,r-1} \in R(G)/R_h(G)$ is the same as the order of its restriction $\operatorname{Res}(b_{i,r-1})$ to the 2–Sylow subgroup, and $\operatorname{Res}(b_{i,r-1}) = \pm \operatorname{Res}(b_{j,s})$ for $l(i, r-1) = s \leq r - 2$ and some j. □

Remark 12.6. As pointed out by the referee, Lemma 12.1 and Lemma 12.4 together give a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbf{Z}/2 \to \widetilde{R}^{free}(G)/\widetilde{R}^{free}_n(G) \to \widetilde{R}^{free}(G_2)/\widetilde{R}^{free}_n(G_2) \to 0,$$

assuming that G is not a cyclic 2-group. It is not difficult to see from the proof of Theorem E (given in [**I**]), that for $G_2 = C(2^r)$, and $r \geqq 4$, the term $\widetilde{R}^{free}(G_2)/\widetilde{R}^{free}_n(G_2)$ is the quotient of $\widetilde{R}^{free}_{\text{Top}}(C(2^r))$ by the subgroup $\langle \alpha_1 + \beta_1 \rangle$.

13. The proof of Theorem D

We first summarize our information about $R_{\text{Top}}(G)$, obtained by putting together results from previous sections. If $\alpha \in \widetilde{R}^{free}_{h,\text{Top}}(G)$, we define the *normal invariant order* of α to be the minimal 2–power such that $2^t\alpha \in \widetilde{R}^{free}_{n,\text{Top}}(G)$.

Theorem 13.1. *Let $G = C(2^r q)$, with q odd, and $r \geqq 2$.*

- (i) *The torsion subgroup of $R^{free}_{\text{Top}}(G)$ is $\widetilde{R}^{free}_{\text{Top}}(G)$.*
- (ii) *The rank of $R^{free}_{\text{Top}}(G)$ is $\varphi(q)/2$ for $q > 1$ (resp. rank 1 for $q = 1$), and the torsion is at most 2–primary.*
- (iii) *The subgroup $\widetilde{R}^{free}_{n,\text{Top}}(G)$ has exponent two, and the Galois action induced by group automorphisms is the identity.*
- (iv) *For any $\alpha \in \widetilde{R}^{free}_{h,\text{Top}}(G)$, if the normal invariant order of $\operatorname{Res}_H(\alpha)$ is 2^t, then the normal invariant order of α is 2^{t+1}.*

Remark 13.2. In part (ii), $\varphi(q)$ is the Euler function. The precise number of $\mathbf{Z}/2$ summands in $\widetilde{R}^{free}_{n,\text{Top}}(G)$ is determined by working out the conditions in Theorem C on the basis elements of $\widetilde{R}^{free}_n(G)$. In cases where the conditions in Theorem C can actually be evaluated, the structure of $R_{\text{Top}}(G)$ will thus be determined completely.

Proof. Parts (i) and (ii) of Theorem 13.1 have already been proved in Corollary 4.2, so it remains to discuss parts (iii) and (iv). In fact, the assertion that $\widetilde{R}^{free}_{n,\mathrm{Top}}(G)$ has exponent 2 is an immediate consequence of Theorem C. To see this, suppose that $(V_1 - V_2)$ is any element in $\widetilde{R}^{free}_{n}(G)$. The obstruction to the existence of a stable non–linear similarity $V_1 \approx_t V_2$ is determined by the class $\{\Delta(V_1)/\Delta(V_2)\}$ in the Tate cohomology group $H^1(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}G^-)/\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z}H))$, which has exponent 2. Since the Reidemeister torsion is multiplicative, $\Delta(V_1 \oplus V_1) = \Delta(V_1)^2$, and we conclude that $V_1 \oplus V_1 \approx_t V_2 \oplus V_2$ by Theorem C. Finally, suppose that $\alpha \in \widetilde{R}^{free}_{n,\mathrm{Top}}(G)$ and that σ is a group automorphism of G. By induction, we can assume that $\mathrm{Res}_H(\alpha - \sigma(\alpha)) = 0$. We now apply Theorem C to $\beta = \alpha - \sigma(\alpha)$, with W a complex G–representation such that $W^G = 0$, containing all the non-trivial irreducible representations of G with isotropy of 2-power index. Then β is detected by the image of its Reidemeister torsion invariant in $H^1(\Delta_{W\times\mathbf{R}_-})$. But by [**I**], Lemma 8.2, the Galois action on this group is trivial. Therefore $\beta = \alpha - \sigma(\alpha) = 0$.

For part (iv) we recall that the normal invariant for G lies in a direct sum of groups $H^{4i}(G;\mathbf{Z}_{(2)}) \cong \mathbf{Z}/2^r$. Since the map Res_H induces the natural projection $\mathbf{Z}/2^r \to \mathbf{Z}/2^{r-1}$ on group cohomology, the result follows from [1, 2.6]. □

It follows from our results that the structure of $\widetilde{R}^{free}_{n,\mathrm{Top}}(G)$ is determined by working out the criteria of Theorem C on a basis of $\widetilde{R}^{free}_{n}(G)$. Suppose that V_1 is stably topologically equivalent to V_2. Then there exists a similarity

$$V_1 \oplus W \oplus \mathbf{R}^l_- \oplus \mathbf{R}^s_+ \sim_t V_2 \oplus W \oplus \mathbf{R}^l_- \oplus \mathbf{R}^s_+$$

where W has no $\mathbf{R}_+$ or $\mathbf{R}_-$ summands, and $l, s \geqq 1$. But by [**I**], Corollary 6.13 we may assume that $s = 1$, and by Theorem 10.1 that $l = 1$, so we are reduced to the situation handled by Theorem C. The algebraic indeterminacy given there is computable, but not very easily if the associated cyclotomic fields have complicated ideal class groups. We carry out the computational details in one further case of interest (Theorem D).

The proof of Theorem D. We have a basis

$$\mathcal{B} = \{t^i - t^{i+2q} \mid (i, 2q) = 1, i \equiv 1 \bmod 4, 1 \leqq i < 4q\}$$

for $\widetilde{R}^{free}(G)$, so it remains to work out the relations given by topological similarity. Notice that $\widetilde{R}^{free}_{h}(G) = \widetilde{R}^{free}_{n}(G)$, and that the sum of any two elements in $\mathcal{B}$ lies in $\widetilde{R}^{free}_{n}(G)$. Moreover, by Corollary 2.4 there are no 6–dimensional similarities for G.

Now suppose that $V_1 \oplus W \oplus \mathbf{R}_- \oplus \mathbf{R}_+ \sim_t V_2 \oplus W \oplus \mathbf{R}_- \oplus \mathbf{R}_+$, for some complex G–representation W. Then $\mathrm{Res}_H V_1 \cong \mathrm{Res}_H V_2$ since q is odd, and by Theorem C we get a similarity of the form $V_1 \oplus \mathbf{R}^l_- \oplus \mathbf{R}_+ \sim_t V_2 \oplus \mathbf{R}^l_- \oplus \mathbf{R}_+$. But by Theorem 10.1 this implies that $V_1 \oplus \mathbf{R}_- \oplus \mathbf{R}_+ \sim_t V_1 \oplus \mathbf{R}_- \oplus \mathbf{R}_+$. Therefore, for any element $a_i = (t^i - t^{i+2q}) \in \mathcal{B}$ we have $2a_i \notin R^{free}_t(G)$ but $4a_i \in R^{free}_t(G)$. However, in Section 11 we determined the bounded surgery obstructions for all these elements. Since $i_*(u_{1,j}) = \langle -1 \rangle \in H^1(A^\times)$ for all j with $(j, 2q) = 1$ by Lemma 11.1, there are further stable relations $a_1 + a_j \approx a_1 + a_k$, or $a_1 \approx a_j$ for all j. It follows that a basis for $\widetilde{R}^{free}_{\mathrm{Top}}(G)$ is given by $\{a_1 \mid 4a_1 \approx 0\}$. □

References

[1] S. E. Cappell and J. L. Shaneson, *Non-linear similarity*, Ann. of Math. (2) **113** (1981), 315–355.

[2] ———, *The topological rationality of linear representations*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **56** (1983), 309–336.

[3] ———, *Torsion in L-groups*, Algebraic and Geometric Topology, Rutgers 1983, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1126, Springer, 1985, pp. 22–50.

[4] S. E. Cappell, J. L. Shaneson, M. Steinberger, S. Weinberger, and J. West, *The classification of non-linear similarities over* $\mathbb{Z}/2^r$, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **22** (1990), 51–57.

[5] S. E. Cappell, J. L. Shaneson, M. Steinberger, and J. West, *Non-linear similarity begins in dimension six*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **111** (1989), 717–752.

[6] M. Cárdenas and E. K. Pedersen, *On the Karoubi filtration of a category*, K-theory **12** (1997), 165–191.

[7] G. Carlsson and E. K. Pedersen, *Controlled algebra and the Novikov conjectures for K- and L-theory*, Topology **34** (1995), 731–758.

[8] D. Carter, *Localizations in lower algebraic K-theory*, Comm. Algebra **8** (1980), 603–622.

[9] A. W. M. Dress, *Induction and structure theorems for orthogonal representations of finite groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **102** (1975), 291–325.

[10] I. Hambleton and E. K. Pedersen, *Bounded surgery and dihedral group actions on spheres*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1991), 105–126.

[11] ———, *Non-linear similarity revisited*, Prospects in Topology: (Princeton, NJ, 1994), Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 138, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1995, pp. 157–174.

[12] ———, *Topological equivalence of linear representations for cyclic groups*: **I**, Ann. of Math. (to appear).

[13] I. Hambleton, L. Taylor, and B. Williams, *An introduction to the maps between surgery obstruction groups*, Algebraic Topology (Aarhus, 1982), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1051, Springer, Berlin, 1984, pp. 49–127.

[14] I. Hambleton and L. R. Taylor, *A guide to the calculation of surgery obstruction groups*, Surveys in Surgery Theory, Volume I, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 145, Princeton Univ. Press, 2000, pp. 225–274.

[15] W-C. Hsiang and W. Pardon, *When are topologically equivalent representations linearly equivalent*, Invent. Math. **68** (1982), 275–316.

[16] I. Madsen and M. Rothenberg, *On the classification of G-spheres I: equivariant transversality*, Acta Math. **160** (1988), 65–104.

[17] R. J. Milgram and A. A. Ranicki, *The L-theory of Laurent extensions and genus* 0 *function fields*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **406** (1990), 121–166.

[18] R. Oliver, *Whitehead Groups of Finite Groups*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes, vol. 132, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1988.

[19] E. K. Pedersen and C. Weibel, *A nonconnective delooping of algebraic K-theory*, Algebraic and Geometric Topology, (Rutgers, 1983), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1126, Springer, Berlin, 1985, pp. 166–181.

[20] A. A. Ranicki, *The double coboundary*, Letter to B. Williams, available at the web page http://www.maths.ed.ac.uk/ aar/surgery/brucelet.pdf, 1981.

[21] ———, *Exact Sequences in the Algebraic Theory of Surgery*, Math. Notes, vol. 26, Princeton Univ. Press, 1981.

[22] ———, *Additive L-theory*, K-theory **3** (1989), 163–195.

[23] ———, *Algebraic L-theory and Topological Manifolds*, Cambridge Tracts in Math., vol. 102, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1992.

[24] G. de Rham, *Sur les nouveaux invariants topologiques de M Reidemeister*, Mat. Sbornik **43** (1936), 737–743, Proc. International Conference of Topology (Moscow, 1935).

[25] ———, *Reidemeister's torsion invariant and rotations of* S^n, Differential Analysis, (Bombay Colloq.), Oxford University Press, London, 1964, pp. 27–36.

[26] J.-P. Serre, *Linear Representations of finite groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 42, Springer, 1977.

[27] R. Swan, *Induced representations and projective modules*, Ann. of Math. (2) **71** (1960), 267–291.

[28] R. G. Swan and E. G. Evans, *K-theory of finite groups and orders*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 149, Springer, 1970.

[29] C. T. C. Wall, *Surgery on Compact Manifolds*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.

[30] ______, *On the classification of Hermitian forms. II. Semisimple rings*, Invent. Math. **18** (1972), 119–141.

[31] C. Young, *Normal invariants of lens spaces*, Canad. Math. Bull. **41** (1998), 374–384.

Department of Mathematics & Statistics, McMaster University
Hamilton, Ont., Canada, L8S 4K1
E-mail address: `ian@math.mcmaster.ca`

Department of Mathematical Sciences, SUNY at Binghamton
Binghamton, NY, 13901
E-mail address: `erik@math.binghamton.edu`